## Andrew McCullough

Christopher Haggerty, LS

From:	Christopher Haggerty <haggerty@bapls.com></haggerty@bapls.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, October 19, 2022 4:24 PM
To:	Andrew McCullough
Cc:	jeffrey.w.forward@gmail.com; John Hemmelgarn
Subject:	Re: Site Survey
Attachments:	Sheet 4.pdf; RICM0163-TOWN OF RICHMOND-TOPO-24x36-20 scale.pdf
Categories:	RITC - Richmond Town Hall
Hi Andrew,	
lot, as well as a CUD plan prepa based on field data, the calcula	our Town of Richmond data. What I did find was our topographic survey of the parking ared for anticipated improvements to the Town Office Building. Though these were both sted FEMA BFEs would likely need recalculating, since they're largely based on the e. The FIRMs in Richmond were contested and the BFE changed in 2014 I believe, when maps.
What I couldn't find was an Ele of every other one we've done	vation Certificate for the Town Office building. I'm not sure we did one, as I have records in the Richmond Village.
So here's what I'm thinking it w	vould take for us to wrap things up:
	d likely require some additional field measurements as the Geoid Model has changed we would generate an EC based on a combination of old and new measurements and I and \$900.
	as above - I believe the parking lot was repaved as a result of our topo plan - we would establish new survey control and generate a new 1' contour surface for the d \$1,200.
historical research for boundar to take many days, using many	ne big one - there's really no way to estimate the level of effort that would go into the ry descriptions and searching for ancient boundary evidence. I would suspect the research sources and the field work to be at least 2 days. As I mentioned on the phone, it's quite be lost to time, and boundary agreements may be required. A rough estimate would be
I should be more available next	t week - I've been buried in field work this week and hope to be back in the office soon.
Thanks, Chris	