

**Town of Richmond
Selectboard Meeting
Minutes of August 4, 2025**

Members Present: Bard Hill, Jay Furr, David Sander, Caitlin Filkins, Adam Wood

Absent: Caitlin Filkins left at ~9:50 PM

Staff Present: Duncan Wardwell, Deputy Town Manager; Keith Osborne, Town Planner

Others Present: MMCTV Erin Wagg, 18023553391, Amanda Repp, Amy Welch, Andres Torizzo, Angela Cote, B Johnsom, Bill Supple, Brad Elliott, Cara LaBounty, Chelsye Brooks, Chris Granda, Christa Kemp, dana.bianchi, David, Debbie, Douglas Thompson, Fiona, Fritz Martin, Gary Bressor, Ian Bender, Ian Stokes, Jay Furr, Jewels Hicks, Judy Rosovsky, Margo Muttillainen, Mark Hubbard, Mary Ann Kittinger, Mary Harrison, Mary Houle, Megan Buckley, noa, Paige Kaleita, Pam Foust, Pam's iPad, Richard Cowles, Rod West, Sarah Heim, Sarah Soltsov, Shannon Walters, Steve Diglio, Ted Lyman, Thomas Senning, Tom Bednar (maryellenbednar), Tom Walters, Trevor Brooks, Virginia Clarke

MMCTV Video: Recorded by MMCTV by Erin Wagg
<https://www.youtube.com/live/xNGrOmTG0Co?si=CzgGhl77TpiXk2fP>

Call to Order: 7:00 p.m.

Welcome by: Furr

Public Comment: Houle confirmed that Item (a) was just an announcement.

Additions, Deletions or Modifications to Agenda: LaBounty requested that item (u) be moved up to earlier in the agenda. Furr confirmed moving item (u) to after item (b).

Items for Presentation or Discussion with those present

Announcement of potential Selectboard vote on August 18, 2025 to extend the timeframe to display the Black Lives Matter sign and flag

Timestamp: 0:03

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Hill

Furr confirmed that at the Selectboard meeting on the 18th, there will be a discussion contemplated regarding timeframes, and display of the Black Lives Matter sign and flag.

Public Hearing for consideration to approve Selectboard revisions to the Richmond Zoning Regulations sections 3.9 Jolina Court District, 5.12 Planned Unit Development (PUD) and Residential PUD, 7 Definitions and the introduction of new sections 6.15 Residential Density Bonus Program and paragraph 6.16 Affordable Housing

Timestamp: 0:04

50
51 [https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b1 -](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b1_-_PC_Chair_Memo.pdf)
52 [PC_Chair_Memo.pdf](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b1_-_PC_Chair_Memo.pdf)
53
54 [https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b2 -](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b2_-_August_4_2025_Richmond-Zoning_-_SB_Copy_8.4.25.pdf)
55 [August_4_2025_Richmond-Zoning_-_SB_Copy_8.4.25.pdf](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b2_-_August_4_2025_Richmond-Zoning_-_SB_Copy_8.4.25.pdf)
56
57 [https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b3 -](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b3_-_August_4_2025_SB_JC_Public_Hearing.pdf)
58 [August_4_2025_SB_JC_Public_Hearing.pdf](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b3_-_August_4_2025_SB_JC_Public_Hearing.pdf)
59
60 [https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b4 -](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b4_-_June_2_2025_Selectboard_amendment_instructions_to_Planning_Commission.pdf)
61 [June_2_2025_Selectboard_amendment_instructions_to_Planning_Commission.pdf](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b4_-_June_2_2025_Selectboard_amendment_instructions_to_Planning_Commission.pdf)
62
63 [https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b5 -](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b5_-_August_4_2025_SB_RZR_Amendment_Motion.pdf)
64 [August_4_2025_SB_RZR_Amendment_Motion.pdf](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3b5_-_August_4_2025_SB_RZR_Amendment_Motion.pdf)
65

66 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Sander, Hill, Osborne, Clarke, Buckley, Hill,
67 Granda,
68

69 *Sander moved to open the public hearing for consideration of Selectboard revisions to*
70 *the Richmond Zoning Regulations sections 3.9 Jolina Court District, 5.12 Planned Unit*
71 *Development (PUD) and Residential PUD, 7 Definitions and the introduction of new*
72 *sections 6.15 Residential Density Bonus Program and paragraph 6.16 Affordable*
73 *Housing. Hill seconded.*

74 *Roll Call Vote: Hill, Filkins, Furr, Sander, Wood in favor. Motion approved.*
75

76 Clarke summarized that the most significant revisions to the Jolina Court Zoning District.
77 (Section 3.9) includes an increase in the allowed maximum base residential density, or
78 the number of housing units that are allowed on this property. Clarke stated that the 3
79 acres to this parcel are allowed to have 72 units on the parcel as the base density.
80

81 Clarke summarized Section 6.15 allowed for an additional 18 units designated for
82 affordable housing or accessible, adaptable housing. The density bonus section is
83 optional.
84

85 Clarke stated that original zoning for this district had a traditional commercial on the
86 ground floor and residential on the upper floors. They changed the conditions to allow
87 residences in the rear half or 80% of the building one.
88

89 Clarke stated that Section 6.16 is taken directly out of statutes that were passed in 2024
90 and it has to meet exact affordable housing standards.
91

92 Clarke confirmed that they took the 15 years of affordable housing from the State statute.
93 Granda stated that this is the first time that the town of Richmond has tried doing this
94 kind of inclusive zoning and they want to see how it works. Clarke summarized that they
95 wanted to make it possible for developers to have just a few units because our scale is so
96 much smaller than places like South Burlington or Essex.
97

Sander moved to close the Public Hearing. Hill seconded.
Roll Call Vote: Hill, Filkins, Furr, Sander, Wood in favor. Motion approved.

Sander moved to approve the following Richmond Zoning Regulation amendments per VSA §4441(g): §3.9, Jolina Court District, §5.12, Planned Unit Development (PUD) and Residential PUD, §7, Definitions and the introduction of new zoning sections §6.15, Residential Density Bonus Program and §6.16, Affordable Housing. For clarity purposes, there is no change to the Official Zoning Map requiring Legislative approval and these approvals shall be effective 21 days from the date of this resolution. Hill seconded.

Roll Call Vote: Hill, Filkins, Furr, Sander, Wood in favor. Motion approved.

Consideration of providing a bonus to the Town Manager

Timestamp: 0:22

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Sander, Wood, Hill, Filkins, LaBounty, Rosovsky, Cote, Brooks

Furr stated that the Selectboard conducted the Town Manager's annual performance review at the last meeting, and it was determined the Town Manager handles an unusually large load of work and in this economy, it would be very difficult to replace him. Sander stated that he does not see this as an annual thing, but the Town Manager worked considerably extra time in the last year, with the second year of unprecedented flooding, time intensive personnel issues, and some big water and sewer projects. Wood confirmed that the Town Manager has handled it extremely professionally and thoughtfully and really has done a great job of mitigating any risks to the Town. Hill elaborated that it is both quantity and quality of work. Filkins stated that he is both humble but also forceful. LaBounty wondered if they were under their legal budget. LaBounty suggested making it part of the salary rather than bonuses. Rosovsky stated that she appreciates the Selectboard acknowledging Josh's skills. Cote suggested that the Town Manager signs a commitment to not terminate employment for a certain period of time. Wood stated that this as a reflection of Josh's performance over the past year. Brooks asked if the Town needs to reevaluate the pay grades and salary.

Sander moved to pay Town Manager Josh Arneson a one-time bonus of \$5,000. Wood seconded.

Roll Call Vote: Hill, Filkins, Furr, Sander, Wood in favor. Motion approved.

Consideration of making an appointment to the Trails Committee

Timestamp: 0:35

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3c_Trails_Amy_Welch_07-18-25.pdf

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Welch, Sander, Hill

Welch summarized her interest in joining the Trails Committee and has a professional background in Exercise Science. Welch expressed interests in taking the longer vacant

term.

Sander moved to appoint Amy Welch to the Trails Committee to fill a vacant seat with a term ending in 2028. Hill seconded.

Roll Call Vote: Hill, Filkins, Furr, Sander, Wood in favor. Motion approved.

Consideration of a request to use Conservation Reserve funds to partially fund a land acquisition by the Richmond Land Trust

Timestamp: 0:38

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3d1_SBmemo.pdf

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3d2_2025_Floodplain_Parcel_Acquisition_garriscrf_Borie.pdf

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3d3_email_on_RCC_Approval.pdf

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Borie, Preston, Hill, Sander, Wood, Bressor, Martin, Clarke, Rosovsky, Buckley, LaBounty

Borie stated he submitted an additional memo which was submitted to the Conservation Commission and a letter of support from the Nature Conservancy. Borie confirmed that virtually all of the Land Trust parcels are accessible to the public in some way. Borie stated that this particular parcel has never really had public access but it's a sensitive riparian floodplain parcel and needs to be preserved from an ecological standpoint and provide flood mitigation for the Town. Borie confirmed that it is not in the plans to develop trails. Borie summarized that the parcel does meet all seven of the general criteria of the Conservation Reserve Fund policy as well as several of the criteria in the natural resource protection section. Preston stated that this was one of the few floodplain forest areas that was still intact from the 1927 flood. Clarke stated that from a Planning point of view, the Statewide goal is to preserve 30% of the land area of Vermont by 2030 and Richmond is not there yet. Rosovsky stated that this piece of land is part of a Silver Maple-Ostrich Fern natural community. LaBounty stated that the funds for Conservation as not just for land and she hopes the Land Trust will support conserving the Town Center building.

Wood moved to approve the use of \$7,500.00 from the Conservation Reserve fund to partially fund the Richmond Land Trust's acquisition of 11.2 acres of land on the north side of the Winooski River just east of the Richmond Village. Sander seconded.

Roll Call Vote: Hill, Filkins, Furr, Sander, Wood in favor. Motion approved.

Discussion of the Andrews Community Forest Committee issuing a Request for Proposals for a copy editor for revisions to the Forest Management Plan

Timestamp: 1:00

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3e1_email_from_Ian_Stokes_7-29-25.pdf

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3e2_RFP_Draft_29-July-2025.pdf

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Stokes, Hill, Rosovsky, Cote, Elliot, LaBounty, Wood, Brooks, Preston

Stokes summarized that the Andrews Community Forest Committee has been working hard to do a substantial, major rewrite of the management plan and would benefit from some help with the technical perspective of writing. They are looking for someone who has expertise in the area of management plans for conserved lands. Stokes stated that they are looking for the Selectboard to approve the general concept, suggest a maximum amount, and to make suggestions about source of funding. Hill stated that the request should go to the Conservation Commission first. Hill wondered if copy editor was the right descriptions as it sounded like they were looking for an editor or author. Furr summarized that the money could come from the ACFC account or could spend some Conservation Reserve funds. Wood stated that they cannot approve any money until they have RFPs in front of them. Furr confirmed that nobody on the Selectboard is against ACFC proceeding with the RFP.

Consideration of approving an agreement with Watershed Consulting to complete the Notice of Intent for the Southview 3 Acre Permit

Timestamp: 1:20

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3f_Southview-SOW-7-31-25.pdf

Review of updated Southview 3 Acre Permit plans, follow up on the question of if the proposed stormwater structures contain PFAS, and follow up on disconnection analysis

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/08/3g1_plan1-25117-review_set_7.30.25.pdf

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3g2_PFAs_Concerns_Response.pdf

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3g3_Southview_Disconnection_Summary_Memo_07-31-2025_with_photos.pdf

Discussion of feedback from the State regarding the need for a sole permittee, or a homeowners association as co-permittee, for an administratively complete Notice of

Intent for the Southview 3-acre Permit

Timestamp:

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3h1_NOI_Permitee_Information.pdf

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3h2_NOI_Number_of_sites_with_no_HOA.pdf

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Hill, Heim, Torizzo, Wood, Kaleita, Hubbard, Bianchi, LaBounty, Senning, Sander, Brooks, Buckley, Filkins

Hill observed that the next three agenda items are interrelated topics. Furr stated that the Town Attorney advised to not proceed with the Notice of Intent because there is no homeowner's association. Furr summarized that if they don't complete the Notice of Intent, they lose the grant money and eat the cost of the engineering. Furr stated that if they complete the Notice of Intent the Town might be on the hook to pay for the entire thing. Hill confirmed that in the State's eyes, the Town owns it as soon as the Notice of Intent is submitted as the sole permittee. Furr stated that this is not a Richmond only problem, so the State owes us some guidance. Heim observed that it doesn't make sense to give us an October 1 deadline when all the other deadlines have been extended by the legislature to give us more guidance.

Torizzo stated that he recommends submitting the Notice of Intent this month even though the plans are still a draft and not considered a final design plan. Hill stated that he is trying to represent all taxpayers comparably and equitably as Greystone and the mobile home park also have 3-Acre parcels where the Town is not paying for engineering or construction.

Kaleita stated that there are 18 non-HOA sites around the State in the same situation and are not doing anything. Kaleita expressed concerns about being over budget on this and surveying properties that are not within the 3-Acre site. Torizzo reviewed that there was a comprehensive assessment that was done, and it is still a draft. Kaleita pointed out discrepancies on the disconnection maps. Torizzo stated that within the collection area of the chambers, those disconnection areas are not counted. A disconnection in a backyard will be highlighted in the permit forever and something that encumbers the property. Torizzo observed that it's a better solution to rely on the drainage treatment system, which is in the public right of way. Senning stated that the Town is the only entity that has the ability to satisfy the State, so a lien is not placed on their property.

Torizzo presented the changes to the plans following the July 22 meeting specifically eliminating one of the chamber systems. Torizzo stated he has not figured out the revised costs, but the operation, maintenance, and inspection costs are going to be less. Brooks stated that submitting the Notice of Intent is a massive financial liability the they count all the sites around Mary Drive. LaBounty estimated that it would cost under \$5,000 per household in Southview to come up with their portion.

Furr stated that unless somebody makes a motion then they are not going to proceed with the Notice of Intent. Filkins observed that if the Town does not pursue this, they lose the grant funding but the Town is still liable for the segment of roads. Hill suggested paying

Torizzo for the work he's done so far, put it in a file, and resurrect it if and when the day comes.

Walters expressed concerns about the forever chemicals in the products and requested a Materials Safety Data Sheet for whatever is planned to be installed.

Consideration of approving engineering plans for the Notice of Intent for the Greystone 3 Acre permit

Timestamp: 2:33

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3i1_GERA_EFA_011224.pdf

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3i2_Construction_Cost_Estimate_Greystone.xls

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Cowles, Hill, LaBounty, Wood, Brooks, Sander, Diglio, Bednar

Furr stated that Greystone has a homeowner's association (GERA) that has started work on the Notice of Intent. Furr summarized that GERA will be responsible for the maintenance of the system on private property and the Town will be responsible for maintenance in the right of way with a cost share for engineering and construction at 44.5% GERA and 55.5% Town.

Cowles stated that he is on the downward section of property from Greystone on Cochran Rd and that the Agency of Natural Resources has determined that Greystone stormwater runs onto his property. Cowles estimated that it created about 5,000 cubic yard washout. Cowles observed that there is a brook that runs off of lots 15, 17, and 19 which is not on this plan. Cowles stated that the application is not accurate. Cowles summarized that he has a piece of property that he cannot get a loan on, so it is devalued. Hill stated that the scope of this requirement is for 3-Acre impervious surfaces and not all the stormwater that comes off the steep hillside. Brooks stated that Greystone residents have high homeowner's association fees to perpetually repair stormwater damage and impacts on the Kemp horse farm.

Diglio, an engineer from KAS, stated that the compliance has been pushed out to 2028. Diglio observed that there is gravel washouts all over the place whether it is developed or not. Bednar stated that there is a grant urgency strictly for engineering and licensing fees.

Furr observed that they do not have answers to these legitimate questions so they will bring this back at another Selectboard meeting.

Consideration of approval of the agreement for Older Adults and Persons with Disabilities Transportation Program and consideration of possible changes to ride frequency to react to the reduction of the grant funding which partially funds the program

Timestamp: 2:56

[https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3j1_O_D_Agreement with the Town of Richmond - FY26.pdf](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3j1_O_D_Agreement_with_the_Town_of_Richmond_-_FY26.pdf)

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3j2_ED_guidelines_for_partners_Effective_5-15-25.pdf

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Sander, Hill, Wood, Sunshine, Buckley, Cote, LaBounty

Furr reviewed the funding that the Town uses to provide transportation to older adults and persons with disabilities, or a 20% match from the Town. Furr summarized that they can reduce or eliminate the social/personal trips or make no changes and absorb all costs about \$10,600. Hill observed that the previous funding did not meet the need, it was an arbitrary figure.

Sander moved to approve the agreement for Older Adults and Persons with Disabilities Transportation Program and to make no changes to the number of rides currently offered with the total amount of local funding not to exceed \$8,650. Wood seconded.

Roll Call Vote: Furr, Hill, Sander, Wood in favor. Filkins absent. Motion approved.

Consideration of approval of a job description for Assistant Fire Chief

Timestamp: 3:15

[https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3k_Assistant Fire Chief Job Description - DRAFT 7-30-25.pdf](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3k_Assistant_Fire_Chief_Job_Description_-_DRAFT_7-30-25.pdf)

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Wood, Hill, Sander

Furr presented the Assistant Fire Chief job description. Wood summarized the process to develop the job description which did not exist.

Hill moved to approve the job description for the Assistant Fire Chief. Sander seconded.

Roll Call Vote: Furr, Hill, Sander, Wood in favor. Filkins absent. Motion approved.

Discussion of creating a sign policy

Timestamp: 3:19

[https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3l_Links to Information on Signs.pdf](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3l_Links_to_Information_on_Signs.pdf)

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Hill, Wood

Furr summarized that current sign ordinances exist in Zoning but there is no free-standing sign policy. Wood stated that the Selectboard writing a policy separate from Zoning is

393 not a good plan.

394

395

396 **Announcement of resignation of police officer**

397 Timestamp: 3:22

398

399 People who participated in discussion: Furr, LaBounty

400

401 Furr summarized that Officer Nukic has chosen to resign his position to take a position
402 with the Hinesburg Police Department.

403

404

405 **Discussion of next steps for the sale of the Tesla**

406 Timestamp: 3:24

407

408 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Sander, Wood, Brooks, LaBounty, Hill

409

410 Furr summarized that the Town cannot sell the Tesla via Carvana. Wood suggested using
411 Facebook marketplace. Brooks and LaBounty suggested having no minimum bid as the
412 Town can reject all bids. Hill suggested Facebook and Craigslist. Furr advised that they
413 go back out to bid.

414

415

416 **Consideration of accepting a FEMA buyout property**

417 Timestamp: 3:30

418

419 People who participated in discussion: Furr, LaBounty, Brooks, Cote

420

421 *Sander moved to approve the conveyance of the property at 54 Jones Mill Road from Ms.*
422 *Andes to the Town, to accept its associated Warranty Deed with the FEMA deed*
423 *restrictions, and to authorize the Town Manager to execute the deed restrictions and any*
424 *other documents related to the Town's acquisition of the property through FEMA's*
425 *Hazard Mitigation Grant Program. Hill seconded.*

426 *Roll Call Vote: Furr, Hill, Sander, Wood in favor. Filkins absent. Motion approved.*

427

428

429 **Consideration of accepting the Subrecipient Grant Agreement from the State of**
430 **Vermont for reimbursement of expenses from the July 2024 Flood**

431 Timestamp: 3:34

432

433 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3p_Richmon
434 [d_02140-84810-067_Agreement.pdf](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3p_Richmond_02140-84810-067_Agreement.pdf)

435

436 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Sander, Hill

437

438 *Sander moved to approve the agreement with FEMA for reimbursement of expenses from*
439 *the July 2024 flood. Hill seconded.*

440 *Roll Call Vote: Furr, Hill, Sander, Wood in favor. Filkins absent. Motion approved.*

441

442

443 **Consideration of approving an engineer for the 2024 Emergency Watershed**
444 **Protection Program**

445 Timestamp: 3:35

446
447 [https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3q_Dubois_a](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3q_Dubois_and_King.pdf)
448 [nd_King.pdf](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3q_Dubois_and_King.pdf)

449
450 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Wood, Sander

451
452 Furr summarized the cost and that they are seeking approval of the funding for the cost of
453 the engineering, if successful covered at 100%.

454
455 *Wood moved to enter into an agreement with Dubois and King for engineering for the*
456 *Emergency Watershed Protection program sites that were damaged by the 2024 flood,*
457 *contingent upon funding being secured from the EWP program. Sander seconded.*
458 *Roll Call Vote: Furr, Hill, Sander, Wood in favor. Filkins absent. Motion approved.*

459
460
461 **Consideration of approving a contractor for the 2023 Emergency Watershed**
462 **Protection Program**

463 Timestamp: 3:37

464
465 [https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3r_Recomme](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3r_Recommendation_to_Award.pdf)
466 [ndation to Award.pdf](https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3r_Recommendation_to_Award.pdf)

467
468 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Sander, Hill

469
470 Furr summarized

471
472 *Sander moved to enter into an agreement with Mangan Excavation LLC for construction*
473 *of the 2023 Emergency Watershed Protection program projects. Hill seconded.*
474 *Roll Call Vote: Furr, Hill, Sander, Wood in favor. Filkins absent. Motion approved.*

475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488 **Review of local concerns feedback for future I89 project to repair or replace the**
489 **bridges over Jericho Rd.**

490 Timestamp: 3:39

491
492 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3s1_email_a

nd map for local concerns survey.pdf

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3s2_Richmond_IM_089-2_59_Community_questionnaire.pdf

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Hill, Brooks, Hicks

Furr summarized that Josh Arneson, Keith Osborne, and Pete Gosselin filled out the survey on behalf of the Town. Hill observed that it's going to be huge with school traffic because all the people from uphill will be diverted through the intersection. Hill suggested that they write it in such a way to emphasize the shutdown of Jericho Rd is going to be a cluster through the village. Brooks summarized that the school is a hub for every summer camp with year-long activity. Brooks observed the stormwater rip-rap activity on the hill between the highway and school property. Hicks confirmed the road shutting down would be hard for buses throughout the summer.

Consideration of approving liquor licenses and outside consumption permits

Timestamp: 3:47

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3t1_DLL_Chefs_Table_Christian_Kruse_Outside_Consumption.pdf

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3t2_DLL_Vermont_Fine_DBA_Kitchen_Table_First_Class_Liquor_License.pdf

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3t3_DLL_Vermont_Fine_DBA_Kitchen_Table_Third_Class_License.pdf

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2025/07/3t4_DLL_Vermont_Fine_DBA_Kitchen_Table_Outside_Consumption.pdf

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Wood, Hill

Wood moved to approve the Outside Consumption Permit for Chef's Table By Christian Kruse. Hill seconded.

Roll Call Vote: Furr, Hill, Sander, Wood in favor. Filkins absent. Motion approved.

Wood moved to approve the First Class liquor license, the Third Class liquor license and the Outside Consumption permit for Vermont Fine LLC. Hill seconded.

Roll Call Vote: Furr, Hill, Sander, Wood in favor. Filkins absent. Motion approved.

Approval of Minutes, Purchase Orders, and Warrants

Timestamp: 3:48

Minutes:

Sander moved to approve the Selectboard Minutes of 7/21/25 as presented. Wood seconded.

543 *Roll Call Vote: Furr, Hill, Sander, Wood in favor. Filkins absent. Motion approved.*
544
545 *Sander moved to approve the Special Selectboard Minutes of 7/22/25 as presented.*
546 *Wood seconded.*
547 *Roll Call Vote: Furr, Hill, Sander, Wood in favor. Filkins absent. Motion approved.*
548
549 **Purchase Orders: None**
550
551 **Warrants:**
552
553 *Wood moved to approve the warrants from the August 4, 2025 meeting. Hill seconded.*
554 *Roll Call Vote: Furr, Hill, Sander, Wood in favor. Filkins absent. Motion approved.*
555
556
557 **Next Meeting Agenda**
558 -Southview 3-Acre Permit
559 -Greystone 3-Acre Permit
560 -ACFC RFP update
561 -Other
562
563 **Adjourn**
564
565 *Sander moved to adjourn. Hill seconded.*
566 *Roll Call Vote: Furr, Hill, Sander, Wood in favor. Filkins absent. Motion approved.*
567
568 **Meeting adjourned at: 10:51 PM**
569
570 **Chat file from Zoom:**
571 01:51:34 noa: Are there any town streets that are disconnected?
572 02:00:58 noa: It sounds like it is assumed that people don't want the disconnect
573 on their property, but did you collect the data to reveal where it actually is currently?
574 02:49:34 Christa Kemp: That's what's happening to me.
575 02:50:54 Caitlin Filkins: I apologize but I need to sign off in 10 minutes. I
576 am pretty sick and need to get some rest.
577 02:59:04 Christa Kemp: What if gravel washouts are pouring down the hill?
578 03:03:24 David: David wants to speak
579 03:20:48 MMCTV Erin Wagg: My coworker is not a senior but uses tssta to get to
580 work. Thanks
581 03:47:26 MMCTV Erin Wagg: Water tower?