1	Town of Richmond
2	Selectboard Meeting
3	Minutes of January 16, 2024
4	
5	Members Present: Bard Hill, David Sander, Jay Furr, Jeff Forward, Lisa Miller
7	Absent: None
8	Absent. None
9	Staff Present: Josh Arneson, Town Manager; Duncan Wardwell, Assistant to the Town
10	Manager; Jim Feinson, Town Treasurer; Tyler Machia, Zoning Administrator
11	Transger, vini Tempon, Town Treasurer, Tyler Traema, Zeimig Trainmistrator
12	Others Present: Meeting was recorded by MMCTV, Alan J iPad, Amy iPad, Angela
13	Cote, Bonny Steuer, Bradley Holt, Caller 18023241536, Cara LaBounty, Carolyn
14	Marshall, Cathleen Gent, Connie van Eeghen, Dave Thomas, Donna Saks, Erin Wagg,
15	Fran Thomas, Greg, Ian Bender, JanetMo Vermont, Jason Pelletier, Jeanne, Jeanne
16	Agner, John Linn, Kendra Ziskie, Kristen, Lisa Kory, Mark Hall, Martha Nye, Nick
17	Bouton, Patty Brushett, Stefani Hartsfield, Tammy's desktop, Theresa's iPhone, Velma,
18	Wright Preston
19	
20	<b>MMCTV Video:</b> <a href="https://youtu.be/rQvLa8kP5sk?si=yIjW_6uipxQx55ck">https://youtu.be/rQvLa8kP5sk?si=yIjW_6uipxQx55ck</a>
21	
22	Call to Order: 7:01 pm
23	
24	Welcome by: Furr
25	Dealth Comments
26	Public Comment:
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	Hill expressed thanks to the folks in the Town, especially the Highway Department that
29	keep us moving during snowfall and slippery conditions. Furr expressed thanks for the
30	crews from the various power companies who braved dangerous conditions to restore
31	electricity.
32	ciocatetty.
33	Additions or Deletions to Agenda: None
34	
35	Items for Presentation or Discussion with those present
36	
37	Consideration of approval of access permits, hammerhead turnaround, and road
38	name for Hillview Heights Subdivision
39	Timestamp: 0:03
40	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3a1_Access
41	Permit_AC2024-01.pdf
42	
43	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3a2_Access
44	Permit_AC2024-02.pdf
45 46	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3a3_C-1
40 47	C-20 Avonda Site Plan.pdf
48	_O Zo_11volida_one_1 lan.pui
49	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3a4_EX-
50	3 Lot 1 Sight Distance.pdf

51
52 <a href="https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3a5\_Memo\_53">https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3a5\_Memo\_53</a>
Regarding Hammerhead Turnaround.pdf

55 <a href="https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3a6\_Permit2">https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3a6\_Permit2</a>
 56 <a href="https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3a6\_Permit2">021-83.pdf</a>

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3a7\_SUB202 3-13-Hillview Heights DecIsion-HV2427.pdf

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Miller, Hill, Forward, Sander, Fran Thomas, Holt, Bouton

Furr stated that this development has passed the Development Review Board and has been approved. Furr stated that the property owners filed for opening up another access, the other access was approved with a mandate that the first access be closed when the second one was open. Furr summarized the situation that the parcel has been divided into multiple lots so the original access would serve one set and the new access would serve a second group and then there's a third lot, that would have its own access. Furr stated that the packet does have information about these lots and presented the lots and access. Furr reviewed that the Selectboard needs to approve a hammerhead turnaround. Arneson stated that the Road Foreman, Pete, has reviewed the hammerhead turnaround and it meets Town specifications. Arneson summarized that Pete reviewed the two access permits to meet the sightlines and the requirements for access onto the road.

Arneson stated that the other thing to do is to consider approval of Hillview Heights Way for the road name to service the four lots which has been approved by E911. Miller stated that the hammerhead looks larger than the individual lot access roads, it doesn't seem like a very good plan for relieving a lot of traffic if there were more lots. Arneson stated that would be a question for a future application. Hill stated that this has been reviewed and approved by the DRB and it comes to us because of this hammerhead. Hill pointed out the memo in the packet from Pete, who supports it. Forward stated that this development has some controversy around it and the Selectboard is not addressing that controversy one way or the other.

Forward moved to approve the following for the Hillview Heights Subdivision: Access Permit 2024-01, Access Permit 2024-02, the road name "Hillview Heights Way" for the road that accesses lots 4-7, and the hammerhead turnaround for the road that accesses lots 4-7. Sander seconded.

89 Roll Call Vote follows discussion.

Thomas stated that when the Selectboard gave a prior access permit to the road that is getting the multiple homes, they said that they had to give up their access to lot 3.

- 93 Thomas asked if the Selectboard is rescinding that. Furr summarized from the Zoning
- Administrator, Tyler that it was not closed because the new one was not entirely open.
- Arneson summarized that now that the subdivision has gone in, that access was to be
- olosed but is now the only access to the lot that it serves so it does not need to be closed.
- 97 Thomas expressed concern about that access going though a wetland and the
- 98 development is under review. Arneson stated that they have wetland permit from the
- 99 State and that was all before the DRB for the subdivision review and was approved but it

is going through an appeal process with the State court. Arneson reviewed that now they've subdivided, you have access to lots 4, 5, 6, 7 and the existing access to lot 3.

Holt stated that he raised numerous concerns about the proposed Hillview Heights subdivision during the DRB hearings. Holt stated that he is opposed to the landowner building a subdivision that fails to comply with Town's regulations. Holt presented his concerns about the driveways not meeting VTrans driveway standards and sight distances. Holt stated that the Selectboard should be explicit in the approval of the standards. Holt listed stopping and sight distances as why the Selectboard should deny this access permit.

Holt stated that lot 3 driveway is less than 12 feet wide and does not meet our Town's minimum driveway standard and the subdivision application shows widening that proposed lot 3 driveway to 12 feet which represents several hundreds of additional square feet of Class 2 wetland buffer disturbances. Holt stated that these measurements and math are quite simple and inarguable, and this driveway is somewhere between 8-10 feet based on observations and measurements taken from the Agency of Natural Resources.

Holt stated that the proposed lots 4-7 access permit makes a mockery of Town Zoning and demonstrates contempt for the Selectboard's authority. Holt reviewed the Selectboard history of putting those two conditions on the access permit, one of which was closing out pre-existing access. Holt stated that the landowner was not completing the work of upgrading the driveway and access road and since receiving the access permit over two years ago they remain unimproved. Holt stated that this proposed driveway also has a Class 2 wetland buffer issue.

Holt stated that the hammerhead is not situated at the road endpoint which is required by our subdivision regulations. Holt asked the Selectboard to seek clarity from the applicant if the road terminates at the currently proposed hammerhead.

Holt stated that the state of Vermont 911 board shared with the Zoning Administrator that the road name was plausible but something more unique might be proposed as backup names.

Furr stated that he would consider delaying this until we can get more information about this. Hill stated that these items tend to be managed by the DRB and Zoning Administrator. Arneson reviewed that the DRB didn't see any issue and Pete had reviewed it as far as specs. Bouton confirmed that all comments that were previously raised were addressed in the DRB hearings. Bouton stated that any road serving more than three lots needs to have some sort of termination point and that point was determined at the start of the individual driveways from the two lots beyond the hammerhead.

143 Forward and Sander expressed interest in withdrawing their motion.

Forward withdrew his motion. Sander seconded.

Forward asked Holt to submit his questions and concerns in writing. Machia asked about what extra review the Selectboard is looking for from the DRB. Machia stated he makes sure that what was submitted for the permit matches what was approved on the plans.

- 150 Furr stated that the Selectboard is not comfortable approving access permits that have
- 151 serious questions about them. Boutin confirmed that the sight distances were calculated
- 152 based on actual measured survey data and the hammerhead is at the termination of the
- 153 shared road beyond that there is no more road, it's just a driveway similar to a cul-de-sac
- 154 in any neighborhood. Machia confirmed that driveways have width requirements so you
- 155 can build it to the minimum width but there's no minimum length. Machia summarized
- 156 that he does not have any authority to provide additional review on top of what the DRB
- 157 has already done. Boutin stated that we're not creating any additional impacts to the
- 158 wetland and if you pull the permit, it will not include that, but we have conversations
- 159 between the State about what we're proposing on that drive.

160 161

Arneson reviewed that if there are questions that have to do with the access permit and sightlines then we talk to Pete to make sure it's meeting criteria.

162 163 164

## Discussion of use of Browns Ct. for recreation and housing

- 165 Timestamp: 1:00
- 166 https://www.richmondyt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3b1 Email f
- 167 rom\_Dave\_Thomas.pdf

will be discussed at Town Meeting."

168

169 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3b2\_Browns 170 Court Sketch 1-9-24.pdf

171 172

173

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Hill, Ziskie, Miller, Linn, Forward, LaBounty, Cote, JanetMo, Fran Thomas

174 175 176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

Furr reviewed the information on this topic from the last two meetings. Furr stated that the Selectboard has talked about having a housing summit to try to get everybody in Town, who is interested in expanding housing to come up with a Town strategy. Furr stated that Town Meeting is the one place that the entire Town can speak and be heard directly. Furr drafted a motion to consider "Whereas usable space at Browns Ct is limited and whereas ARPA funds must be allocated by the end of 2024, it is the sense of the Town of Richmond Selectboard that the Parks Committee shall proceed with their plans for recreational use on the entirety of Browns Ct and that the question of housing

184 185 186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

Hill stated that recreation and housing are two competing goods for our Town. Hill summarized that he asked some of his friends and neighbors and they're not of one opinion. Hill stated that he liked the idea to move forward with recreation and assume all the available space. Furr summarized the surveyed perimeter of the park and the legal boundaries. Furr stated that the top the ridge may not have been, may not be now where it was then and there is some doubt about the eastern boundary line. Furr stated that the actual usable space is not as much as you would think because there is a steep slope and ravine. Furr estimated from the surveyor the usable actual space for recreation may be 2.1 acres and not 3. Ziskie confirmed the 2.1 acres of open flat space where the actual acreage is estimated at 3.15.

- 197 Miller stated that we're pressuring ourselves to come to a decision on this property.
- 198 Miller summarized the timeline is set by the money and we're turning it into a housing
- 199 versus recreation. Miller stated that we're not going to lose people because we don't have

recreation as we don't have it up there now and if we did put it in, we won't attract anyone from out of Town because there's nowhere to live. Miller stated that the official map is a legal document that we can use that goes beyond the Town Plan.

203 204

205

206

207

208

Furr moved that whereas usable space at Browns Ct is limited and whereas ARPA funds must be allocated by the end of 2024, it is the sense of the Town of Richmond Selectboard that the Parks Committee shall proceed with their plans for recreational use on the entirety of Browns Ct and that the question of housing will be discussed at Town Meeting. Sander seconded.

Roll call vote follows discussion.

209210211

212

213

Linn stated that this ARPA money needs to be earmarked by the end of this year and if we switch to housing that gives less than nine months to reallocate those funds. Hill stated that there is a direct nexus between improvement in housing access quality and affordability as a result of Martin Luther King's efforts.

214215216

217

218

219

220

221

Forward stated that he appreciates the motion is in the positive to direct the committee to move forward with a recreational plan. Forward stated that he feels it is an open-ended question. Forward suggested making a motion that would be more specific about whether housing is appropriate at Browns Court. Furr stated that he doesn't like the modification because he doesn't want to restrict the discussion at Town Meeting to housing at Browns Court, he wants to leave the playing field open so that housing in any sense can be discussed.

222223224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

Miller summarized concerns for recreation at Browns Ct including no system for equipment distribution/safekeeping, the maintenance costs, and the contact person to manage an unattended amenity when people show up. Miller stated we don't really have a master plan for recreation. Furr stated that this motion is to give the Parks Committee encouragement to go ahead and find out those answers. LaBounty asked for clarification on the deadline date given to the Parks Committee. LaBounty stated that the Selectboard better be ready to answer with Brown Ct if they plan to sell it, own it, or give it away. LaBounty stated the motion in the positive should be Browns Ct shall only be used for recreational park uses. Cote reviewed the history of the Richmond Village housing down past Richmond Home Supply. Cote stated that we are holding hostage 3.1 acres with only 2.1 acres worth of flat land on it and the only way it will support both recreation and housing is if it gets divided somehow. Cote summarized that Richmond won't own the housing portion of it, there's no mechanism by which Richmond becomes a landlord. JanetMo asked if you do hear from this March meeting that housing sounds great, what's going to happen since the Housing Committee has already reviewed this property. Furr stated that the baggage attached to this question makes it impossible to move anywhere on it, because there's so many competing agendas. Furr stated that he hears a lot of people talking about recreation, but he doesn't see a lot of people demanding housing, so he wants to have that discussion. Bender stated that you can just make your motion and vote on it, then you will all be on the record.

243244245

Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Sander in favor. Miller not in favor. Motion approved.

246247248

# Discussion of responsibility of cleanup of debris from flooding events

249 Timestamp: 1:50

- 251 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Machia, Preston, Feinson, Sander, Miller
- 252 253 Furr summarized the discussion about the culvert running under Rt 2 by the Willis
- 254 Sledding Hill which involves a repair that was managed by VTrans so there's no Town
- 255 property involved in this. Furr stated that the Richmond Land Trust is working with the
- 256 State to get the stream back into its original location. Machia confirmed that work is
- 257 going to need a Zoning permit to do. Feinson confirmed that the Land Trust is aware of
- 258 the Zoning permit and State approvals as well. Feinson stated the Land Trust put in a
- 259 request for proposal stage engineering to determine the feasibility and cost of relocating
- 260 Donohue Brook. Feinson stated that the Land Trust believes it's a combination of natural
- 261 and man-made causes that have created this situation and effect the recreational and
- 262 agricultural resources in Richmond. Preston reviewed that photographic images of that
- area from 1900 up until present before July 16 show that stream flow hasn't occurred 263
- 264 before. Sander and Miller observed the impact of erosion around that area.

## 265 266

250

## **Consideration of approval of Town Meeting Warning**

- 267 Timestamp: 1:56
- 268 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3d TOWN
- 269 REPORT\_2023\_WARNING\_DRAFT\_1-9-24.pdf

#### 270

- 271 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Hill, Sander, Miller, Forward,
- 272 Bender, Dave Thomas

#### 273

- Furr summarized that the draft Town Meeting warning would include that article about 274
- 275 housing. Arneson summarized that the advisory article is not binding. The Selectboard
- 276 debated the wording of the article. Arneson reviewed that it will be a discussion at the
- 277 Town Meeting as long as the moderator and the public would like it to go on and then the 278 question will get called and there'll be a voice vote.
- 279
- 280 Hill moved to include Advisory Article 14 on the Town Meeting Warning as "Shall the
- 281 Town of Richmond investigate developing housing on the Town-owned property of
- 282 Browns Court." Forward seconded.
- 283 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

### 284

- 285 Forward moved to approve the Warning for the 2024 Town Meeting as amended tonight.
- 286 Miller seconded.
- 287 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

## 288 289

#### Consideration of approval of a liquor license

- 290 Timestamp: 2:16
- 291 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3e LIOUOR
- 292 2024 SUMMIT DISTRIBUTING.pdf

# 293

- 294 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3e email fro
- 295 m Linda.pdf

## 296

297 People who participated in discussion: Furr,

#### 298

299 Furr summarized the State licenses divided into various classes.

300	
301	Forward moved to approve a second-class liquor license for Summit Stores LLC. Miller
302	seconded.
303	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
304	
305	Consideration of creating a video with MMCTV to explain social services items on
306	the Town Meeting warning
307	Timestamp: 2:18
308	
309	People who participated in discussion: Furr, Forward, Wagg
310	
311	Furr summarized what's going on with the charitable appropriations think a video would
312	be a real boon to the democratic process. Hill suggested he would do it with a really
313	short script under five minutes about what we did, why we did it, and here's the budget.
314	Wagg agreed that this would be very helpful.
315 316	Undate on Nancon excilability at the Town Center
317	Update on Narcan availability at the Town Center Timestamp: 2:22
318	Timestamp. 2.22
319	People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson
320	reopie who participated in discussion. Turi, Arrieson
321	Furr summarized the Narcan bags at the Town Center as Richmond Rescue only responds
322	to a call. Furr stated that the Town Center staff is exploring the best way to make this
323	available to people in Town. Arneson confirmed that Narcan is at the Town Center and
324	staff were trained in administering the Narcan and they're working on how to distribute
325	the bags discreetly.
326	the bugs discreedy.
327	Update on cleanup of damage from December 18-19, 2023 flooding
328	Timestamp: 2:24
329	1
330	People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson
331	
332	Arneson summarized the Highway staff cleanup of the Volunteers Green playground
333	including removing the broken slide and two concrete benches, washing out the
334	Concession stand, patching up heavy sill in the outfield area behind the baseball fields,
335	and upgrading the gate on the road. Arneson stated that the cleaning company will
336	disinfect the concession stand when the weather breaks and replace the drywall with
337	some pressure treated plywood. Arneson confirmed that the summer flood damage to the
338	plumbing and electronic sensors still need to be replaced before the spring. Arneson
339	summarized the sewer line repair underneath the bridge has been completed this week.
340	
341	Review of advice from Town attorney regarding subcommittee meetings
342	Timestamp: 2:27
343	
344	People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Forward, Miller, Hill
345	
346	Furr summarized the question to what extent a subcommittee of a Town committee must
347	be warned if it's deliberately less than a quorum. The Town attorney advised with Police
348	meetings that we warn those, hold them on Zoom, call the meeting to order, ask for
349	public comment, move into Executive Session. Furr reviewed that part of the point of

350 doing that is to let people know that discussions are being held. Arneson stated that if a 351 committee/board is going to have two members go forward to meet on this topic for some 352 recommendations, they must warn that meeting and have an agenda so that is publicly 353 accessible with posted minutes. Arneson stated that it's going to be challenging to figure 354 out Zoom so there's going to be a bit of a learning curve, but he would recommend 355 minutes as a statutory minimum. Furr summarized that if a two-member group is 356 essentially tasked by its parent committee to do something then warn it but if two people 357 decide to get together at Volunteers Green on a nice day and discuss it completely on 358 their own you don't have to warn that. Forward stated a question whether our lawyer has 359 it right where the sub-committee cannot make any decisions or authority to decide

360 361 362

363

- Consideration of nominating two Selectboard members to work on revisions to financial policies
- 364 Timestamp: 2:38

365

366 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Miller, Forward

anything that comes to the legislative body.

367

- Miller and Forward volunteered to work with the Finance Director updating the Bank Reconciliation, Purchasing, and General Journal Entry policies. Miller stated the any
- 370 revisions would be brought back to the Selectboard for approval.

371372

373

- Consideration of application for Advanced Assistance Hazard Mitigation Program to create a flood mitigation scoping study
- 374 Timestamp: 2:40
- 375 <a href="https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3k1\_email\_o">https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3k1\_email\_o</a>
- 376 <u>n\_program.pdf</u>

377

- 378 <u>https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3k2\_Advanc</u>
- 379 <u>e\_Assistance\_PnP\_Package.pdf</u>

380

- 381 <u>https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3k3\_HMA\_</u>
- 382 AdAsst\_Application\_Form\_DR-4720.pdf

383

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3k4\_25\_Ma tch\_Commitment\_Letter\_-\_General.pdf

386

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Forward, Arneson, Sander

388

- Furr summarized flood mitigation programs like the Advanced Assistance Hazard
- 390 Mitigation Program, and we want to put in an application for 75% funding of a scoping
- 391 study to mitigate flood water levels. Furr stated that Town engineer Tyler Billingsley
- reviewed the information from Vermont Emergency Management and came up with a
- rough budget of \$125,000, which would require a match which could come from ARPA
- 394 funds.

- 396 Forward moved to submit an application to the Advanced Assistance Hazard Mitigation
- 397 Program to create a flood mitigation scoping study and to approve the use of up to
- 398 \$31,250 in ARPA funds as the match and as up to \$5,000 ARPA funds for the cost of
- 399 writing the grant. Sander seconded.

400	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
401	Consideration of consumal of Contificate of Historica Miles
402 403	Consideration of approval of Certificate of Highway Mileage Timestamp: 2:45
404	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/31_MileageC
404	ertificate_RICHMOND_2024.pdf
405	etinicate_KichiyiOND_2024.pdf
407	People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Miller
408	reopie who participated in discussion. Turi, Arneson, wither
409	Furr summarized Town miles of highway the Selectboard approves annually. Arneson
410	confirmed that those costs for roads are actively used or traveled that are on the map.
411	Miller stated that an official map might have that information or if there is any reason not
412	to have it. Miller summarized that the official map is about the right of way. Arneson
413	presented the VTrans map where the class roads are already mapped and reviewed every
414	year.
415	year.
416	Sander moved to approve the Certificate of Highway Mileage for 2024. Miller seconded.
417	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
418	Rou Cuu voie. I orwara, I urr, Itui, Miller, Sander in Javor. Mollon approved.
419	Review of notice of annual meeting of the voters presenting the proposed budget for
420	the Chittenden County Courthouse
421	Timestamp: 2:51
422	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2024/01/3m_CHITT_
423	COUNTY_COURTHOUSE_BUDGET.pdf
424	<u></u>
425	People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson
426	
427	Furr stated that anybody in the county can go if they want.
428	
429	Approval of Minutes, Purchase Orders, Warrants
430	Timestamp: 2:54
431	1
432	Minutes:
433	
434	Forward presented edits to page 1, line 39 for the January 2, 2024, minutes about the
435	changing of the agenda.
436	
437	Forward moved to approve the Minutes of 1/2/24 as amended. Sander seconded.
438	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
439	
440	Purchase Orders:
441	
442	Forward moved to approve PO# 4843 to Hinesburg Police Department for Police
443	Coverage November 2024 not to exceed \$37,360.00. Sander seconded.
444	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
445	
446	Miller moved to approve PO# 4847 to VHB for Engineering and Final Design for Bridge
447	St Sidewalk – southern section not to exceed \$63,544.00. Sander seconded.
448	Roll Call Vote: Forward Furr Hill Miller Sander in favor Motion approved

450 **Warrants:** 451 452 Forward moved to approve the general warrants on 1/16/2024 as presented. Miller 453 seconded. 454 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved. 455 456 **Next Meeting Agenda** 457 \*Hillview Heights revisit 458 \*Official Town Map 459 460 Adjourn 461 462 Forward moved to adjourn. Miller seconded. 463 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved. 464 465 Meeting adjourned at: 10:09 pm 466 467 **Chat file from Zoom:** 468 Bonny Steuer: How would the recreation committee proceed with plans 01:35:25 469 for the Browns Court site without knowing if housing might also be sited there? Seems like wasted design effort. I'd like to see both things but thoughtfully designed (if housing 470 471 is even feasible) 472 01:36:08 Lisa Kory: Reacted to "How would the recrea..." with 473 01:46:48 Bonny Steuer: Can someone clarify if indeed the Housing Committee has 474 said no to siting housing at Browns Court? 475 Cara: Put it on the ballot, "Shall the Town of Richmond use Brown's 01:49:07 476 Court Park for affordable housing instead of a park"? Stefani Hartsfield: 477 02:48:51 Thanks 478 479