1	Town of Richmond
2	Selectboard Meeting
3 4	Minutes of December 4, 2023
5	Members Present: Bard Hill, David Sander, Jay Furr, Jeff Forward, Lisa Miller
6 7 8	Absent: None
9 10 11	Staff Present: Josh Arneson, Town Manager; Duncan Wardwell, Assistant to the Town Manager; Keith Oborne, Town Planner
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Others Present: Meeting was recorded by MMCTV, Andrew Bessette, Becky Vigneault, Bonny Steuer, Brad Elliott, Cara LaBounty, Carolyn Marshall, Christy Witters, Connie van Eeghen, Daniel Wolfson, Denise Barnard, Diane Mariano, Donna Saks, Fran Thomas, Gillian Grefé, Greg, Gretchen Paulsen, Ian Bender, Ian Stokes, Irwin Langer, Janet Morris, Jeanne Adams, Jeanne Agner, John Linn, Jon Kart, Julie Thorpe, Judy Rosovsky, June Heston, Kate Kreider, Kit Emery, Kristen, Kyle Hartsfield, Lane Marshall, Laurie Dana, Marcy Harding, Martha Laing, Martha Nye, Mary Claire Carroll, Melissa Wolaver, Nancy Zimny, Patty Brushett, Pennie Rand, Robert Lowe, Rod, Sheri Lynn, Stefani Hartsfield, Susan Wells, Tess Storrs, Virginia Clarke
21 22	MMCTV Video: https://youtu.be/59rwGg8ypxs?si=z1_51Z_XXTKQNq6C
23 24 25	Call to Order: 7:00 pm
25 26 27	Welcome by: Furr
28 29	Public Comment:
30 31 32 33 34	Laing provided an update on the book and bake sale that happened at the Library this weekend. Laing stated that a lot of visitors were also going to the Friday Food Affair, so it was a community weekend. Furr summarized that the Friday Food Affair had 119 meals and will be doing it again on December 15 and every other week to start.
35 36	Additions or Deletions to Agenda: None
37	Items for Presentation or Discussion with those present.
38 39 40	Consideration of amending the 2024 Casella Solid Waste Transfer Station price list to include large lithium batteries
41	Timestamp: 0:03
42	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3a_Richmon
43 44	d VT Drop Off Price List 3 with lithium bateries.pdf
45 46	People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Hill, Forward, Sander
47 48 49 50	Furr reviewed that the Town voted on the 2024 prices for everything else at the October 4 meeting, but this is an additional item that they would need to amend. Arneson summarized that this is for 18 volts or larger lithium batteries like car batteries, electric bike batteries. Arneson stated that the \$20 covers their processing piece. Hill stated that

this presumably goes to recycling and reuse of lithium. Arneson confirmed that it's a recycling program.

53

54 Sander moved to amend the 2024 Casella Sold Waste Transfer Station price list to include a \$20 charge for lithium batteries over 18 volts. Hill seconded.

56 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

5758

59

Consideration of approval of applying for a Vermont Outdoor Recreation Economic Collaborative (VOREC) grant

60 Timestamp: 0:06

61 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3b1_VOREC
62 Gratn_Application_-Richmond_New_Playground_-Draft.pdf

63 64

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3b2_2023_VOREC_BUdget_Worksheet_Richmond_New_Playground_v2.pdf

65 66 67

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3b3 2023 V OREC WorkPlan Richmond New Playground.pdf

68 69 70

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Lynn, Kreider, Forward, Miller, Agner, Hill

71 72

73

74

75

76

77

78 79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

Lynn reviewed that the Recreation Committee had met with the new Volunteers Green and Browns Court Project Committee. Lynn stated that they're looking at ARPA funding to make improvements in the three different parks and they're still focused on how to raise funding for a new playground. Lynn summarized that the grant is due December 15, and they pick and announce grantees around February of 2024. Lynn stated that this application would look to replace the 30-year-old playground at Volunteers Green with improved access for children of all different ages and physical development. Lynn stated that the playground's estimated to be about \$200,000 with \$100,000 from the proposed grant and \$100,000 out of the ARPA funds. Lynn reviewed that 10% of the budget is available for covering administrative costs. Lynn reviewed the application details and stated that they received emails and public comments about trying to make the structure with more natural materials and minimizing the amount of damage for the next flood. Forward suggested getting letters of support from Huntington and Bolton Selectboards. Forward suggested that the extra \$10,000 of administrative costs might be well spent for a project manager. Kreider stated an inspector's opinion that the current playground is not re-usable because it's in such an old condition. Agner confirmed that not a thing could be saved except for perhaps the swings.

88 89 90

91

92

93

Forward moved to approve the application to the VOREC Community Grant Program for new playground equipment at Volunteers' Green with an estimated project total of \$210,000 and to approve the use of ARPA funds for the \$100,000 matching funds and to appoint Josh Arneson as the duly authorized representative and grant manager. Hill seconded.

94 95

Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

96 97

Discussion of speed study methodology

Timestamp: 0:23

98 99 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Sander, Hill, Forward, Sander, Kart, LaBounty, Thorpe, Rand, Barnard, Wells, Mariano

Furr reviewed the safety issues associated with Hinesburg Rd, Cochran Rd, Kenyon Rd, and elsewhere. Furr stated that tonight's topic has to do with the speed limit. Furr reviewed the restrictions from what a town can do to write legal speeding tickets. Furr summarized the process of a speed study. Furr stated that this is dictated by a standard industry document called the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) which might be updated later this year. Arneson reviewed that speed studies also look at roadway classification, geometry, pedestrian/bicycle activities, land use, and other things on the road. Hill stated that towns seeking to change speed limits go through the Agency of Transportation Road Speed Approval Committee for approval. Hill stated that the United States transportation system is intended and designed to move vehicles as quickly as possible from point A to point B. Forward summarized that the deaths of pedestrians in this country is going up by ~50% over the past few years because cars are bigger and faster. Sander summarized a ticket might not be enforceable if a speed limit was 45 mph, changed to 40mph, somebody gets a ticket, it goes to court, the actual legal speed limit is now 50mph because it defaults to State standard.

Hill stated that they contemplate reducing speed limits. Furr summarized that speed limits are only one of several possible means of speed enforcement. Furr stated that they are very interested in any legal means they can find to lower the speeds. Kart stated that people drive faster because they feel they have a wide road and painting lines down the sides of the roads gives the impression that the road is narrower and can slow things down. Forward stated that in Sweden or Norway or someplace they're painting crosswalks that look three dimensional. LaBounty stated that for over five years she has asked to do stripes on the side of all the roads, drivers should look at the line on the righthand side of the road as a safety thing. Thorpe stated that she has lived on Hinesburg Rd for 21 years now and has not seen this level of speed and that dump trucks are the worst offenders. Thorpe reviewed the need to get a speed sign for the 40mph on the east side coming around the corner. Sander stated that in the last three years, accidents, incidents, fatalities, and injuries have gone up, but the number of miles driven has gone down. Hill reviewed examples of distracted driving. Rand stated that the people of the Town need to hear that the Selectboard is trying to do something about the speed limit. LaBounty asked for pricing on the line striping for the roads that are in Town.

Arneson confirmed that they are working with CCRPC on a corridor study on the 25mph sections of Cochran Rd, looking into a shared use path, and finishing up a speed study on the portion of Huntington Rd by the cemetery and around the corner by the Farr farm. Forward stated that at next Monday's budget meeting, it could be a discussion of what roads might be striped. Furr suggested looking at the cost of temporary speed tables to asphalt tables. Barnard stated that people do not use Rt 2 anymore because they get stuck in traffic so there is an additional amount of traffic on Cochran Rd. Wells stated that the Transportation Committee expects a report back from the contractors through CCRPC by spring which will address a lot of the safety issues for Cochran Rd. Wells also stated that she chairs a subcommittee for Share the Road which is an educational process on safe ways of driving and biking and walking. Mariano asked about analyzing the data from the speed collecting signs. Furr summarized the process for downloading the data from speed signs. Furr invited people to come to the budgeting meeting next week to advocate for spending money on this.

150	
151	Consideration of making an appointment to the Andrews Community Forest
152	Committee
153	Timestamp: 1:05
154	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3d1_ACFC_
155	Bradford_Elliot.pdf
156	
157	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3d2_Section_
158	of_Draft_Minutes_from_11-27-23_ACFC_Meeting.pdf
159	<u> </u>
160	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3d3_Formatt
161	ed_version_of_the_transcript_of_11-27-23_ACFC_Meeting.pdf
162	ou version of the humberspy of 11 27 25 There is incoming par
163	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3d4_RCC_C
164	onsideration_of_Bradford_Elliott.pdf
165	onsideration of Bradioid Emott.pur
166	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3d5_RTC_C
167	onsideration_of_Bradford_Elliott.pdf
168	onsideration_or_bradioid_binott.pdr
169	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3d6_Letters_
170	Regarding Elliotts Application included in ACFC packet.pdf
171	regulating Emotis Application included in Act C packet par
172	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3d7_Additio
173	nal_Letters_Regarding_Elliotts_Application.pdf
174	nar_Letters_regarding_Emotts_replication.pdr
175	People who participated in discussion: Furr, Stokes, Elliott, Hill, Forward, Miller,
176	Zimny, Emery, Heston, Stokes, Wolfson
177	Ziminy, Emery, rieston, stokes, wonson
178	Furr reviewed the appointments to the Andrews Community Forest Committee. Stokes
179	confirmed and clarified the process for recommendations from the Conservation and
180	Trails Committees. Elliott expressed his interest in the Andrews Community Forest
181	Committee and his experiences with the Conservation Commission, Planning
182	Commission, drafting the management plan, and the Richmond Land Trust.
183	Elliot stated that he joined others such as past Selectboard member John Kart to express
184	concerns about committee processes, the divergence of its proposals from Town policies,
185	legal mandates, and abundant scientific knowledge. Hill stated that he received a handful
186	of emails that were strong supporters, a handful of emails and communications that are
187	staunchly opposed and virtually nothing in the middle. Elliott stated that a lot of the
188	letters against him came from prior members of the Committee with difficult engagement
189	in that dialogue. Elliott stated that the other ACFC co-chair Daniel Schmidt said things
190	about inclusiveness and trying to draw more people in from the community experts in
191	these various fields to help break that polarization. Forward stated that he is confident
192	Elliott will have constructive dialogue with people that he may not agree with. Miller
193	
193	stated that a good chair is most careful with recognizing people and showing people the
194	lanes. Furr stated that the chance to come together as a town and meet people you wouldn't necessarily meet can inform all of our decision. Furr summarized the Diversity
193	wouldn't necessarily meet can inform all of our decision. Furr summarized the Diversity,
190	Equity Inclusion resolution the Town adopted which means looking out for everybody
197	and that's the challenge to any Town committee not just the ACFC.
198	Zimny stated that there's been evidence that recreational trails do have an effect on the
エノノ	Ziming stated that there's been evidence that recreational trains do have an effect off the

Zimny stated that there's been evidence that recreational trails do have an effect on the

- 200 environment. Zimny stated that outdoor recreation has exploded, a lot of past/present
- 201 ACFC members are active mountain bikers or outdoor recreationalists of different kinds.
- 202 Zimny felt that Elliott was the calming measure for the trials and people got angry at him
- as seen in some of the letters that were written. Zimny stated the same concern for the
- 204 environment and wildlife even as an outdoor recreationalist. Emery stated that she was
- on the Richmond Conservation Commission and echoed Zimny's thoughts and support of
- 206 Elliot. Emery summarized Elliott's community involvement with Town boards and his
- ability to collaborate with people transparently. Heston stated that putting Elliott on the
- 208 ACFC will be an opportunity for civic engagement and discourse. Stokes stated that as
- 209 the co-chair of the ACFC they reviewed the responsibility to serve the many complex
- 210 issues that comes about from the conservation easement. Stokes summarized that Elliott
- is a hard worker and wants to know what people are thinking. Wolfson summarized
- 212 Elliott's ability to ask some challenging questions and collaborative as a team player.

213214

- Forward moved to appoint Bradford Elliott to the seat on the Andrews Community Forest
- 215 Committee that is recommended by the Conservation Commission which term ends in
- 216 2026. Sander seconded.
- 217 Roll Call Vote follows discussion.

218

- 219 Miller stated that the November-December 2023 Vermont Sports magazine has a very
- interesting article, "Is Access to Vermont Trails At Risk?" that anyone using or managing
- the forest should read to come away with their own questions.

222 223

Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

224225

- Discussion of possibly using Browns Court for housing development
- 226 Timestamp: 1:33
- 227 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3h_Constanti
- 228 ne Right of st refusal Browns Court.pdf

229

- 230 People who participated in discussion: Barnard, Furr, Hill, Sander, Miller, LaBounty,
- Forward, Wolaver, Marshall, Carroll, Stockwell, Morris, Saks, Barnard, Nye, Brushett,
- 232 Clarke

233

- Barnard requested moving item h) up due to the number of people wanting to listen to
- 235 this discussion. Furr stated that there were no objections. Hill stated that the Browns
- 236 Court field is about 150 yards from his property, and he spent more time on that field
- than many people. Hill summarized the question of affordable housing which the
- 238 legislature has stated where there's water/sewer it is a different category for expedited
- development. Hill stated that they have a softball field on Browns Court, and they want to
- 240 maintain a softball field on Browns Court and use it for things like throwing a frisbee for
- a dog. Hill stated that the local neighbors have a strong opinion about it but if they
- believe in things like equity and diversity and affordability, the pressure is on housing
- somewhere. Hill asked if housing could cohabitate with open space including softball
- and other field recreation. Furr summarized the organizations in Chittenden County that
- operate affordable housing and that they are not interested in developing anything where
- operate information indusing and that they are not interested in developing anything where
- there is not water/sewer with a minimum of 20 units. Furr stated that the deed of that land
- does not require it to be kept as a recreation field in perpetuity and read the specifics.

248249

Furr stated that the Selectboard is interested in having a discussion. Hill stated that open

recreational space is at a premium other than the floodplain where you can't do anything. Hill stated that they should properly consider things like sidewalks and vehicular traffic on and off the road. Sander stated that he could spend the next hour talking about affordable housing and how it's difficult. Miller stated that the Planning Commission discussed this for affordable housing and wondered if the soil is stable up there. Miller asked if there are any limits on the size of the piece of land that could be built on.

LaBounty stated she was surprised to see this on the agenda as the Planning Commission had worked on increasing the density of the Village and in that process wanted to maintain existing green spaces like Browns Court. LaBounty summarized that the Selectboard created the Volunteers Green and Browns Court Project Committee to work on spending \$450,000. LaBounty stated that private property owners do not want to sell to affordable housing and affordable housing can't afford the highest rates of water/sewer in Vermont. Furr stated that Browns Court would be a prime place for some recreational uses that would not being allowed elsewhere. Furr stated that it doesn't commit them to any action, it just simply means they're exploring something. Hill summarized that the Housing Committee was talking about this so it illustrates people might have different perspectives. Hill stated that they need recreational spaces, and it is also absolutely clear that many of our police officers and teachers cannot live in Richmond. Hill stated that older folks living in their houses with 2-4 bedrooms don't want to leave Richmond but there's no place to go to that's remotely affordable.

Hill stated that he is not convinced that Browns Court is the right place for housing but is convinced that conversations about housing are important. Forward stated that they're talking with affordable housing developers and seeing what it takes to engage with the community and understand the issues. Forward summarized the assets of water/sewer and a concise Village district but stated it's going to take conversations with the community. Wolaver summarized the enrichment of Browns Court greenspace for the neighborhood, softball team, and elementary school. Wolaver stated that there was a danger zone for kids walking to school because people leaving Browns Court pull right through the crosswalk so that they can see out onto Browns Trace. Marshall stated that the issue isn't so much studying it, it's the feeling that there's a little bit of secrecy going on in the Town. Marshall stated that 24 units of housing are going to come with 23-30 cars so it's going to more than double the traffic that's coming off Browns Court. Marshall worried about what low-income housing on Browns Court might do to property values. Hill disputed the notion that they're trying to do this in secret or hide something. Caroll summarized the constant use of Browns Court as a great resource and expressed concerns about only one entrance/exit in a small, narrow place that sits on the curve of the road. Caroll questioned the space for 24-unit housing plus parking plus softball field with the safety of everybody concerned. Stockwell summarized that there is not much that can be done in Volunteers Green, but he observes a lot of use up at Browns Court.

Furr summarized that it is on the agenda tonight not because the Town of Richmond Selectboard has made up their mind but to know what people think as they want to come up with a solution. Morris stated that she feels like they're jumping way ahead on the housing issue because they don't even know if this is feasible yet. Morris stated that the Housing Committee can do a traffic and environmental study because it might end up not being a feasible place. Hill summarized the Housing Committee's presentation as somebody should do something here. Forward stated that the Planning Director could take the next steps of trying to investigate a community engagement process about this

topic. LaBounty stated that the Selectboard could encourage accessory dwellings like converting garages or converting a five-bedroom home into a duplex. Barnard summarized the process to come up with four pickleball courts for Browns Court. Barnard asked for historical clarification for the field so that the Selectboard can decide about keeping it a green space.

Hill stated that the person who owns that property has to be interested in creating affordable housing. Nye stated that she is in favor of affordable housing, seniors being active and building a community. Nye asked who will be living in affordable housing. Furr confirmed that they need housing for all types of groups. Brushett wondered if it is even possible to put houses over by the ravine and keep green space. Brushett stated that she'd like to see about housing before pickleball for her age group. Furr stated that they brought the topic up because they got so many questions about it. Clarke summarized the limited bandwidth at the moment on the Housing Committee so if they want to make any progress on the housing issue, they need more members. Clarke confirmed that the Planning Commission is looking into increasing the density of Jolina Ct., expanding the mobile home park, and looking at the Ag-Res district. Furr clarified the point of this discussion is to find options and places for people to live.

Barnard requested that the Selectboard make a decision to not use Browns Court as housing. Forward stated he was absolutely against that as they do not have enough information to make that decision. Hill observed that the conversation was for feasibility and the people who showed up didn't want anything to change. Hill reviewed the challenge of moving forward with a conversation versus shouting it down at the first meeting.

Consideration of approving maintenance to a stormwater pipe on Tilden Ave.

327 Timestamp: 2:42

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3e_Map_of_

Tilden Ave Culvert 2.pdf

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Sander, Hill, Forward, Witters

Arneson summarized the stormwater pipe on Tilden Ave that during the July flooding overflowed and ran into the basement and garage. Arneson stated that it is part of a ~100-year-old combined stormwater and sewer system which is strictly stormwater now. Arneson asked if it is the Selectboard's opinion that this is the Town's responsibility to repair this issue. Arneson confirmed a short-term plan this winter that includes a berm that'll allow the water to slow down before it enters into the pipe which will also be cleaned out. Arneson stated that this would need Selectboard approval as well as agreements from the three property owners. Arneson reviewed the long-term plan this spring/summer to get permanent easements from those three property owners and then get an engineered solution which might involve straightening the pipe out. Arneson confirmed that the existing pipe is some sort of cast iron, but some might be clay.

Sander moved to recognize that the stormwater pipe located on 102 Tilden Ave., 114 Tilden Ave., and 149 Browns Ct. is part of a decades old combined sewer and stormwater system that was installed by the Town and is still in use by the Town as a stormwater only system and to move forward with a short term solution to correct an overflow of this pipe

- 349 and to seek easements from the three property owners to allow for the Town to construct
- 350 a more permanent repair of the pipe. Hill seconded.
- 351 Roll Call Vote follows discussion.

352

353 Forward stated that the school uncovered a pipe like this that was cement with asbestos in 354 it, so be careful.

355

356 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

357 358

359

- Consideration of approving a Bond Vote for a renovation for the Richmond Town Center
- Timestamp: 2:52 360
- https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3f Final TC 361
- 362 Information Packet 11-28-23.pdf

363 364

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Forward, Miller, Hill, LaBounty

- 365 366 Furr summarized the work of the Town Center Committee and the informational
- 367 sessions. Furr stated that the Town Center Committee has presented a compelling case
- 368 that the status quo is not sustainable. Furr stated that they're asking for approval to hold a
- 369 bond vote in the amount of \$9,841,603 and if they vote to approve this, it will come back
- 370 to the December 18 meeting with the bond paperwork for the attorney. Forward stated
- 371 that the Committee tried very hard to be transparent. Forward confirmed the intent to
- 372 continue the public outreach process from the time they set the bond vote to Town
- Meeting and will personally offer tours of the building. Miller stated that every possible 373
- 374 savings has been discovered and considered. Forward confirmed that new construction
- 375 has always come out more expensive than renovations to get a comparable size building.
- 376 Hill looks forward to a robust conversation at the Town Meeting about this and what
- 377 happens if they vote against it. Forward confirmed a \$100,000 placeholder for the
- 378 relocation of tenants and Town staff but will not know the specifics until a construction
- 379 management team is hired. Arneson stated that people will have time to vote early if
- 380 they'd like to and they will have additional public outreach and the required public
- 381 information session within 10 days of the vote. Forward stated that the tax rate would be
- 382 at \$96 per \$100,000 valuation for the first year. LaBounty asked about the flood
- 383 mitigation for the building. Forward stated that site work in flood mitigation is about
- 384 \$1.2 million, about half of that site work is outside of the building and inside the building
- 385 it's the Post Office that requires most of the work. Forward stated that there would be
- 386 flood relief valves. LaBounty requested a breakdown for flood resilience. Forward
- 387 stated that they might be able to get some FEMA mitigation grant money for some of 388 that.

389 390

- Forward moved to proceed with preparing the paperwork for a bond vote to be held on
- 391 March 5, 2024 in the amount of \$9,841,603 for the renovation of the Town Center. Hill 392 seconded.
- 393 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

394

- 395 Consideration of approval of submitting an application to the FEMA Hazard
- 396 Mitigation Grant Program for the elevation of private home
- 397 Timestamp: 3:07

398 https://www.richmondyt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3g DRAFT 399

Application for FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program 65 Esplanade St.,pdf

400 401

People who participated in discussion: Furr, HartsfieldK, Hill, Forward, HartsfieldS, LaBounty

402 403 404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

Furr summarized the FEMA program that will elevate a house that qualifies as a result of severe flooding where the Town serves as the applicant and the homeowner is responsible for the 25% match in the maintenance agreement. Furr stated that the Town does not bear any financial liability for this, but the Town will have to pay up front and get reimbursed by FEMA. HartsfieldK stated that everything's in the application. Furr confirmed that the total estimated cost of the project is \$222,750. HartsfieldS stated that they were not sure where they would access the 25% from federal money but are open to recommendations. Arneson stated that Stephanie Smith might be connected to the right people. LaBounty confirmed that there is money to help with the 25% but the Town has to go into an agreement with the property owner. LaBounty stated that they should be very cautious about costs not being covered above the base flood elevation. LaBounty stated it could have changed in the last couple of years so make sure you triple check and have it in writing. Furr summarized from the application that there is no basement concrete slab, and the house goes up 1.03 feet. LaBounty stated that you're allowed to calculate the administrative fees, so the Town of Richmond gets reimbursed for staff time. Arneson confirmed that before they submit it, he'll reach out to Stephanie Smith and ask her for some of those specifics.

420 421 422

423

424

425

426

Forward moved to approve submitting an application to the FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program for the elevation of the house at 65 Esplanade St. with the understanding that the homeowner will be responsible for the 25% financial match and for fulfilling the maintenance agreement and to appoint Town Manager Josh Arneson as the duly authorized representative and grant manager. Hill seconded.

427

Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

428 429

Monthly Update from Planning and Zoning

430 Timestamp: 3:18

- https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/12/3i October-
- 432 November_monthly.pdf

433

431

434 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Forward, Arneson, LaBounty, Hill, Sander, 435 Clarke

436 437

438

439

440

441

442

Forward stated that Oborne (the Director of Planning and Zoning) hasn't been interested in working on the Browns Court project and might need direction from the Selectboard about the possibilities. Arneson stated that Oborne thought that they should do more studies and make sure that the Selectboard was on board. LaBounty asked if Oborne was looking at other ideas and options. Forward stated that he was referring to tonight's Browns Court discussion. LaBounty stated that she was surprised this wasn't said while other people were in the room. Hill suggested bringing it back next week.

443 444

445 Furr reviewed the details of the Planning and Zoning monthly update provided in packet.

446 447

Hill reviewed that the site plan for the Town Center campus should include the farm

- 448 access road used for the creamery parcel. Hill stated that the implications for traffic flow 449 related to density have a history of bumping into the Town Center campus. Sander stated that he thought that was to service the agricultural area. Clarke stated that there was no 450 451 decision but only discussion of use of farm access road as an emergency egress. 452 453 Clarke summarized the work of the Planning Commission and Oborne on housing in the 454 last year. Clarke stated that it's all about housing so to say Oborne should now go and 455 work on this other thing merits some discussion with him and the rest of the Planning 456 Commission. Hill and Furr stated that this should be part of a future agenda item. 457 458 **Approval of Minutes, Purchase Orders, Warrants** 459 Timestamp: 3:30 460 461 **Minutes:** 462 463 Sander moved to approve the Minutes of 11/20/23. Hill seconded. 464 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Sander in favor. Miller absent. Motion approved. 465 466 **Purchase Orders:** 467 468 Sander moved to amend PO# 4627 for a total of \$18,368.40 to F.W. Webb for July Flood 469 Culvert Replacements. Hill seconded. 470 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Sander in favor. Miller absent. Motion approved. 471
- Sander moved to approve PO# 4840 to VLCT Property and Casualty for Insurance for \$53,295.45. Hill seconded.
- 474 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Sander in favor. Miller absent. Motion approved.
- 476 Warrants:

475

477

487

489

492

494

- 478 Sander moved to approve the general warrants on 12/4/2023 as presented. Hill seconded.
- 480 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Sander in favor. Miller absent. Motion approved. 481
- 482 Next Meeting Agenda
- 483 *Budget Meeting Dec 11
- 484 *Speed sign update
- 485 *Browns Court discussion
- 486 *February meeting dates
- 488 Adjourn
- 490 Sander moved to adjourn. Hill seconded.
- 491 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Sander in favor. Miller absent. Motion approved.
- 493 **Meeting adjourned at:** 10:34 pm
- 495 **Chat file from Zoom:**
- 496 00:45:46 Patty Brushett: I agree
- 497 00:45:56 Patty Brushett: Thank you Cara

- 498 00:46:19 Patty Brushett: Yes
- 499 00:48:07 Patty Brushett: I too would like to see traffic slow down on Hinesburg road
- 500 00:57:06 Patty Brushett: Sounds like you mentioned checking in with the chief on
- what can be done sooner than this
- 502 01:04:53 Cara: What about the speed signs? Did we get our money back?
- 503 01:34:20 Patty Brushett: Thank you all so much for serving our town with your hard
- 504 work
- Patty Brushett:I very much support looking in to this. This is the only
- property our town owns on the sewer and waterline.
- Sounds like the first step is to see if this is even a possibility.
- 508 01:58:22 Bonny Steuer: I strongly support exploring this possibility. We could use
- more tax payers, kids in our schools, ability to expand the contributors to our awesome
- 510 community. There's space for both housing and green space on that parcel.
- 511 02:17:21 MMCTV Erin Wagg: Can you tell me the names of the last two speakers?
- Jan and? Thanks
- 513 02:20:43 Gillian Grefé: Also Martha has her hand up
- 514 02:31:14 Connie van Eeghen, she/her: Jay, Jeff, Bard: Martha Nye has had her
- 515 hand up for almost this entire session. Please include her
- 516 02:34:54 Mary Claire Carroll: We need accessible housing for everyone...not just
- seniors.
- 518 02:40:37 Cara: Thank you so much for your comments Virginia!!!!
- 519 02:42:19 Kristen: It would be great to clarify the process going forward and
- 520 the goal of this discussion. This conversation showcases great interest from the
- 521 community in both addressing affordable housing and supporting public green spaces.
- I'm unclear on if it's the role of the Housing Committee (or another dedicated forum) for
- 523 this? Where should the detailed work, documentation, and outlining of next steps live?
- Just trying to be efficient with limited resources and time while also balancing
- 525 community awareness & engagement.
- 526 02:45:32 Cara: You have someone with their hand up with Martha Nye
- 527 02:46:19 Mary Claire Carroll: It is not about opposing change. It is making sure
- 528 the process and the resulting housing is done right and benefits everyone in the
- 529 community.
- 530 02:46:54 Martha Nye: Hear, hear, Mary Claire—and Kristen, too.
- 531 02:47:28 Kristen: Reacted to "It is not about oppo..." with
- 532 02:56:26 Christy Witters (she/her): It's a 12" red clay pipe.
- 533 03:21:24 Cara: Good luck Kyle & Stephanie!!!!
- 534 03:21:38 Kyle Hartsfield: Thank you!