1	Town of Richmond
2	Selectboard Meeting
3	Minutes of November 6, 2023
4	
5	Members Present: Bard Hill, David Sander, Jay Furr, Jeff Forward, Lisa Miller
6 7	Abaanta Nama
7	Absent: None
8	Staff Dugant, Jack Amagan Town Managan Dungan Wandwall Assistant to the Town
9 10	Staff Present: Josh Arneson, Town Manager; Duncan Wardwell, Assistant to the Town Manager; Connie Bona, Finance Director; Steve Cote, Water Resources; Keith Oborne,
11	Town Planner; Jim Feinson, Town Treasurer
12	
13	Others Present: Meeting was recorded by MMCTV, Andrew Bessette, Bradley Holt,
14	Cathleen Gent, Christopher Cole, Connie van Eeghen, Fran Huntoon, Ian Stokes, Jason
15	Charest, Jason Pelletier, Jeanne Agner, Mary Houle, Rachel's iPad
16	
17	MMCTV Video: https://archive.org/details/RichmondSelectbd11062023
18	
19	Call to Order: 7:01 pm
20	
21	Welcome by: Furr
22	
23	Public Comment:
24	
25	Furr: I want to thank everybody here in Richmond who did such a phenomenal job of
26	putting on Halloween. I love Halloween and I'm very grateful to everybody who came
27	together to make that happen.
28	
29	Houle: I will be petitioning the legislature this year to get all birds included in the Ag
30	bill. Did they present where the Maple Wind Farm birds were disposed of?
31	
32	Arneson: He said in the report that they dispose of the deceased to their mortality
33	compost pile, which they use weekly to compost.
34	
35	Houle: It just sickens me to know that happened not once but twice.
36	
37	Arneson: Mary's other question was about our mowers, and we do have a zero-turn
38	mower which will be sold now that the mowing contract is going well. We have three
39	string trimmers, but there's probably little resale value and uses for occasional roadside
40	things, one push mower that's used for grounds around Highway Garage. We'll add to the
41	contract next year so we can get rid of the push mower. There is a Ventrac used for
42	mowing but also the primary machine used to clear sidewalks and snow. We have a four-
43	wheel drive tractor also used for mowing and that's our backup for clearing sidewalks and
44	snow, they're important for sidewalks.
45	
46	Houle: The first year's contract did a good job. This gentleman with his crew did an
47	excellent job this year. They were very careful around our precious flowers, and I really
48	appreciate that. Maybe for a future meeting, when someone in the building decides to
49	work remotely, what department and what documents are taken home for that?

- 50 Hill: I should also follow up with kudos on to the mowers. A future agenda item is we'd
- 51 bring back the remote work policy or practices.
- 52
- Cote: The mowing contract should include the pump station on Bridge St and the sidesthat down to the waterhouse.
- 55

56 Holt: I previously expressed significant concerns with members of the town board 57 repeatedly violating our Town's Code of Ethics. I've been following the Selectboard 58 agendas and have not seen any topics related to review and our code of ethics policy on 59 your agenda. I was surprised to learn that on August 21, the Selectboard adopted an 60 updated Code of Ethics with significant changes from the previous code. This updated 61 Code was hastily adopted with no discussion and no transparency into where these 62 changes came from and why these changes were made. There was no meaningful 63 opportunity for public input on these changes, I certainly would have shared my opinions. 64 I see many indications that this document contains meaningful deviations from the VLCT 65 policy. This updated code now allows board members to fully participate in meetings 66 even if they have a conflict of interest and they do not have to recuse themselves. I also 67 want to ensure that the Selectboard is aware that the Vermont State Ethics Commission is 68 holding virtual listening sessions to receive public comments, recommendations, and 69 suggestions regarding municipal ethics in Vermont. I would encourage the Selectboard 70 and other county officials to engage in this process November 7, 21 and 28. 71 72 Furr: Please put this on an upcoming meeting's agenda. 73 74 Additions or Deletions to Agenda: None 75 76 Items for Presentation or Discussion with those present 77 78 Update on Water and Wastewater 20-Year study and replacement parts for the 79 press 80 Timestamp: 0:13 81 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/11/3a_Richmon d_WWTF_20_Year_Evaluation_-_30__Submittal_-_10-09-23_FINAL.pdf 82 83 84 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Cote, Forward, Arneson, Hill 85 86 Furr reviewed his time on the Water and Wastewater Commission. Cote reviewed the 20-87 year engineering study for an upgrade. Arneson stated that the Reserve builds up to the 88 20-year study and a better roadmap on replacement, total cost and funding opportunities 89 between Reserves and bonds. Cote stated that the State places high regard that Richmond 90 takes in as much septage as it does. Hill stated that a certain amount of septage hauling is 91 rational as it helps the system function and represents a revenue stream but it's not 92 unlimited as our customers are the residents of Richmond. Cote reviewed that the plant is 93 about a third of use right now and the engineering part of the 20-year review is underway. 94 Hill stated that those interested in Water and Wastewater detailed conversations can come 95 to upcoming meetings. Cote stated that he will provide a tour of the plant upon request. 96 97 Review of Rt. 2 Pinch Points study and consideration of submitting this project to 98 **VTrans' Vermont Project Selection and Prioritization**

99 Timestamp: 0:28

- 100 <u>https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/11/3b_Technical</u>
- 101 <u>FeasibilityMemo_20230817_FINAL.pdf</u>
- 102
- People who participated in discussion: Furr, Charest, Hill, Arneson, Cole, Gent, Stokes,Forward, Sander
- 104

106 Furr stated that while the paying on Rt 2 is not completely done, the W Main St end of 107 downtown all the way out to Richmond Mobile we don't have five-foot shoulders. 108 Charest summarized the memo in the packet, the remaining locations along Rt 2 from the 109 Village to Park & Ride that after paving will not have a five-foot shoulders. Charest 110 defined a pinch point is anywhere along the roadway that we were unable to have 111 sufficient width to get you five-foot shoulders. Charest stated that where would be 11 112 locations identified that shoulders would be less than five feet after the paying project. 113 Charest summarized the order of magnitude cost estimates to widen those locations to five feet on either side. Charest stated that the ballpark cost estimates for getting five-114 115 foot shoulders along a mile in length is \$2.8-\$6.6 million. The next steps could include 116 the VPSP2 project, conducting a scoping study that could apply for work program funds 117 with just a 20% match from the Town, or pursuing grant opportunities to construct it 118 vourself.

119

120 Cole asked about the VPSP2 process. Charest stated that VTrans should have an update 121 on that sometime after the new year. Cole stated that it would likely be at least another 20 122 years before any of these individual projects got approved and the roads are going to be 123 repaved in another 20 years. Charest stated that he didn't know about the timeframe. 124 Cole stated the issue is coming out of the Village where people are not going to bicycle 125 when they've only got two feet of shoulder and we don't really want to pay for infrastructure that's the State's responsibility. Gent stated that at the retaining wall it's 126 127 about 1.5 feet and really dangerous and we've been working with CCRPC on a scoping 128 project to safely facilitate bicycles and pedestrians to get between the Village and the 129 Park & Ride and from Riverview Commons. Furr stated that most people are not willing 130 to take their lives in their own hands. Hill stated that we met with the Secretary of 131 Transportation in this room to express our concern before the project was contracted and 132 they said the State projects take too long and cost too much. Hill stated that he is hard 133 pressed to have the Richmond taxpayers take individual projects of three plus million 134 dollars. Hill summarized that a potential pathway along the side of Rt 2 on private 135 property has been discussed at a number of boards or commissions but that still leaves the 136 space between the Willis Farm/Reap property and the Village as an unsolved. Furr stated 137 that paying for it ourselves is not a good bet. Stokes stated that now the pavement is in 138 good shape so that the shoulder is usable, but the amount of shoulder depends on how the 139 lane markings are laid out and that depends on the lane width. Furr stated that the State's 140 current plan to bring them in to make a narrower lane which can also help reduce speeds. 141 Arneson confirmed it would be 11-foot width at least from the Village out to the Park & 142 Ride. Hill reviewed that not that long ago, the speed limit was 50 and there was an 143 unprecedented agreement by the Agency of Transportation to reduce it to 40. 144 145 Forward moved to submit the US-2 Pinch Point study to VPSP2 to be considered for

- 146 construction by VTrans. Sander seconded.
- 147 *Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.*
- 148
- 149

150 Consideration of approval of financial policies

- 151 Timestamp: 0:56
- 152 <u>https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/11/3c1_Fund_ba</u>
- 153 lance_policy_11-06-23.pdf
- 154

155 <u>https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/11/3c2_Reserve</u>
 156 Fund Policy 11-06-23.pdf

157

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Bona, Miller, Hill, Forward, Cole,Feinson

160

Furr summarized that Unassigned funds that are Highway cannot be spent on anything 161 but Highway, but other Unassigned funds can be spent more or less as a Selectboard 162 163 chooses. Furr stated that for Unassigned funds it's recommended that we have a minimum for cash flow purposes. Furr reviewed that we have some information about 164 165 the recommended Unassigned fund policy and second document pertaining to our 166 Reserve funds. Furr stated that Reserve funds are money specifically set aside to pay for 167 some future purpose. Arneson stated that the Reserve fund policy was almost there with 168 the board last time and the only thing that changed was adding the Town Center funding. 169 Bona summarized the Reserve account for the Town Center and the Library and the land 170 in between. Bona stated that these are restricted in one way or another by State statute or 171 by a grant agreement. Bona stated that all these Reserve contributions have pretty 172 specific purposes on a pretty specific timeframe. Bona reviewed that this is a Restricted 173 Reserve fund policy and we're telling you how it is restricted. Bona summarized that we 174 have an opioid settlement fund, it is not a Reserve, it is not Restricted and it's not on here 175 but can be found in the quarterly financials in the trial balance and detailed ledger. Bona 176 summarized that the trial balance is where all our funds live, it tells us the fund balance, 177 the assets, the liabilities, and what expenses we had related to that fund and what revenue 178 we received related to that fund. Arneson suggested taking a look on what we put in the 179 Town Report, what's in the audit and if there's anything else like the opioid fund. 180 Forward asked if restrictions could be put on opioid funds, therefore it would be on this

181 list. Furr suggested adding opioid even though it's not restricted and looking over other182 funds for a short summary for the voters.

183

Cole asked if a project like the Sidewalk Reserve fund has to be both on the five-year plan and get a grant agreement from the State or the Feds. Bona confirmed that the Capital Plan specifies how each of these are being paid, some of them may be a combination of Reserves and new tax dollars, ARPA money, grant money, or money from the sale of a piece of equipment. Cole stated that there are a lot of grant opportunities for local transportation projects, sidewalks, speed bumps, crosswalks that

190 need a local match. Bona stated we only buckled down on our Capital Plan within the 191 last two years and we're adding detail to it every year. Bona stated that she is keeping

everything in line that we're paying tax dollars for putting into a Reserve for a category

- 193 within the next five years.
- 194

195 Forward moved to approve the Reserve Fund Policy as amended. Sander seconded.
196 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

197

198 Forward moved to approve the Fund Balance Policy. Sander seconded.

199 Roll Call Vote follows discussion.

200

201 Furr stated that he was mentioning on behalf of Cara LaBounty the 15-18% to keep liquid 202 for Unassigned funds. Furr stated that we have not ironed down a maximum. Furr stated 203 that LaBounty feels like the Reserve funds balances should be counted when estimating 204 how much percent in the Unassigned. Furr stated that he would disagree because the 205 Reserve funds have an interesting status financially, unlike our regular monies raised 206 from tax dollars. Furr stated that in terms of a maximum he's not really sure how one 207 would do that. Hill stated he was looking at pg2, maintain a minimum of 15% and the 208 next clause about fund balance uses exceeding 15% of the fiscal highway budget will be 209 used for expenses in the following order. Hill stated that compels us and our finance 210 director that anything over 15% gets used and then drops us back down to 15%. Arneson 211 summarized that if our next budget is going to be \$5 million, we can only keep 15% of 212 that on hand Unassigned and Restricted. Arneson stated that in the last few years, the 213 board has used Restricted Unassigned funds in an increasing manner, and this gives us a 214 really good target to work for in the budgeting process. Bona stated that we're putting 215 \$500,000 of Restricted funds into the budget FY24 and that brought us just below 15% of 216 our operational costs for the FY25 budget. Bona stated that with this FEMA event, we 217 are going to be well below that 15% and per this policy, we will not be raising taxes to 218 replenish the Restricted funds. Bona stated that's okay because we have Unassigned 219 funds that we can use if another FEMA event or crisis happens. Bona stated that we may 220 be below the 15% on our Unassigned funds if we put \$500,000 into the FY25 budget. 221 Forward stated that doesn't disagree with the intent but is concerned about the future 222 impacts. Feinson stated that the amounts exceeding will be used in the following order 223 for the following purposes, but it does not specify within one year. Feinson reviewed that 224 excess amounts spent down in the following year might be too restrictive, you may have 225 future large expenses. Feinson stated that if you use them all, the tax rate going down and then back up would be confusing or stressful to some taxpayers. Hill stated that he 226 227 thinks the concern is about transparency, if you bill me \$2 million for the Police 228 Department and then spend \$100,000 and take \$1.9 million and put it in the bank 229 somewhere, that's not the approved budget. Bona stated she doesn't see how you could 230 reimburse an individual property owner, this 15% is basically a recommendation across 231 the country to see Towns pulled at least 15%, or two-months average of their operating 232 budget. Bona reviewed that during COVID, we didn't know who was going to be able to 233 pay their taxes, but the operations of the Town had to continue, we have a lot of cash 234 flow. Bona stated that once we get down to 15%, we need to only be spending that on 235 these items that are listed in this order of importance. Bona summarized that we're trying 236 to listen to what the auditors are saying about our internal controls toward our financials 237 and handling excess money coming in. Furr stated that the goal is transparency, and we 238 don't want to give the voters the impression of one thing in the budget with a full intent of 239 using it for another.

240

241 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

242

243 Discussion of police budget options for FY25

244 Timestamp: 1:54

245

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Hill, Miller, Forward, Bona

247

Furr stated that it is conceivable at this time next year we may have a fully staffed Police Department. Furr stated that the item on our agenda has to do with various ways to 250 structure the Police budget. Arneson asked if the board would like to see at the next 251 budget meeting a flatlined budget or do we rework the budget to look fully staffed with 252 the Chief. Arneson stated that this is so we can come back next week with a budget that 253 reflects where the Selectboard is right now. Hill asked if we start with the Police Chief 254 contracted rate as opposed to a hired rate. Hill stated that he is not sure how likely it is 255 that we'll have five full-time officers by July. Forward asked if we contracted for Police 256 Chief Services, is there a difference between that and the cost of a Police Chief. Arneson 257 estimated about half what total compensation will be. Arneson summarized that next 258 week's budget, it sounds like we look at the Police Chief contract and paying three 259 officers hired here plus 1.5 contract with Hinesburg. 260 261 Bona stated that she is asking for some alternatives to run the numbers so the next 262 Monday, we can see what that looks like. Arneson summarized running the flatline, the 263 three full-time officers and one other for discussion's sake. Bona stated that regarding 264 the issue of the Cruisers, we really need to touch base with Chief Cambridge. 265 Bona stated that it gets a little tricky, because other parts of the budget depend on how 266 many officers we have like uniforms and equipment. 267 268 **Discussion of Charitable Appropriations policy and procedure** 269 Timestamp: 2:08 270 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/11/3e1 Charitab 271 le Contributions CHANGE A.pdf 272 273 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/11/3e2_Charitab 274 le_Contributions_CHANGE_B.pdf 275 276 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Hill, Forward, Houle 277 278 Furr stated that for some years, we have taken applications from various community 279 organizations for essentially subsidies. Furr stated that there may be some items that we 280 possibly should not have been funding because the State does not actually give us the 281 power to fund everything we want. Hill stated that we want to pull out any case where we 282 give money to an external entity and sort it out, pending an additional round of legal 283 input. Bill stated that it appears there is no comprehensive guidance from VLCT about a 284 Town's authority to award or grant dollars to external organizations. Hill summarized a 285 decision tree when you can give dollars to an external entity and when you cannot, 286 including what requirements exist for specific approval by the voters. Forward stated that 287 we have a section in the budget called Charitable Contributions, that is not the best 288 terminology. Forward reviewed that Richmond Rescue is a nonprofit, but we really 289 contract for a critical service in Town and there are several things in the Charitable 290 Contributions that act like that. Forward stated that we liked the terminology of grants 291 for social and community service. Forward stated that there were other things that felt 292 like they might be more appropriate in other sections of the recreation or admin budget. 293 Forward summarized that we tried to give you something to think about tonight for next 294 week. Hill asked if we have the authority to pay for it. Forward suggested assigning the 295 Recreation Committee to think about the recreation budget and make recommendations 296 as that's one way to empower some of our committees. Furr stated that we should not be

297 putting voters in a place where they would rather not pay for this.

298	
299	Discussion of Community Justice Center Funding Request
300	Timestamp: 2:21
301	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/11/3f_CJC_Fun
302	ding Wells Letter 10.30.23 .pdf
303	
304 305	People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Miller, Hill, Forward
305	Arneson stated that The Community Justice Center is housed in the Williston Police
307	Department with a full budget of \$196,000. Arneson stated that The Department of
308	Corrections has a grant that goes to Williston for \$144,000 with the remaining \$52,000
309	by the Town of Williston which calculates about \$20,000 worth of in-kind support for
310	Police Station space and Town staff administration. Arneson stated that they are asking
311	the Selectboard for the portion that is unfunded by the grant. Arneson stated that they do
312	not know if that would be based on population numbers or cases referred. Hill asked
313	whether this went into the Police budget or was a donation then what's your funding
314	model across the component Towns. Forward stated that restorative justice is what we
315	want to do instead of policing. Forward wondered if it's awkward for the restorative
316	justice staff to go through the Police Department. Arneson stated that it would be
317	interesting to see the people they serve from Richmond, how many come from police
318	referrals versus how many are community. Hill stated that it would be great to get Chief
319	Cambridge's input on how it works in Hinesburg and what they contribute.
320	
321	Consideration of approval of Errors and Omissions on the Grand List
322	Timestamp: 2:30
323	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/11/3g1_2023_Er
324	rors_and_Omissions.pdf
325	
326	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/11/3g2_Homest
327	ead_Clasification.pdf
328	
329	People who participated in discussion: Furr, Forward, Sander, Miller
330	
331	Furr stated that the errors and corrections for certain affected properties will decrease the
332	Grand List by about \$6,000. Furr stated that there is a report in your packet, and it is a
333	relatively small amount of money.
334	
335	Forward moved to approve the 2023 Grand List Errors and Omissions report and the
336	Errors and Omissions Certificate: Homestead Classification Change Only. Sander
337	seconded.
338	Roll Call Vote follows discussion.
339	
340	Miller asked if we are essentially agreeing with the lister who produced this. Arneson
341	stated that this isn't uncommon, every year there are a few errors.
342	
343	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
344	
345	Discussion of communication between Selectboard and Committees
346	Timestamp: 2:34
347	

- 348 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Miller, Houle, Hill, Forward, Stokes, Agner 349 350 Furr stated that Lisa has led the way on this discussion of potentially setting up a 351 schedule where we hear from each committee and to have additional special meetings of 352 the Selectboard to hear from every committee. At least, that's my introduction. I'd like 353 you to discuss it further. Miller stated that she attends some meetings and does not want 354 to speak on behalf of the board, just present as a citizen. Miller stated that if their chairs 355 or representatives were all here then we could spend a couple hours and go home with a 356 whole lot of good ideas. Miller summarized that there's a lot of lost information, the 357 questions that come up are good, but she doesn't really know what the other committee 358 did. Miller reviewed that the danger is these volunteers run into the wall a few times and 359 just kind of give up. Miller stated that a dialogue between committees would really be 360 great. Furr stated that a lot of times people lack the time and wherewithal to attend every 361 meeting. Furr suggested asking committees when you would like to schedule your check 362 ins. Houle stated that the obligation of each committee is to communicate, and it might 363 be as simple as getting the meeting notes from the other committee. Hill stated that we 364 can't think outside of a meeting. Stokes stated the importance of notes or minutes from 365 committees, as some committees are conscientious about providing minutes and posting 366 them and some not so much. Stokes suggested that committees should be reminded of 367 the five-day rule to post minutes after a public meeting. Hill reviewed that 368 communication is two-way, what do they want us to know and what do they want to know from us. Hill stated that it's reasonable to ask the committee folks what they prefer. 369 370 Forward stated that the committees give us their suggestions. Arneson stated they could 371 provide feedback and review everybody's feedback. Furr suggested looking at the idea of 372 a third meeting in January or February. Arneson summarized that in the spring when we 373 appoint members, we send out an email to all the committee members about the Code of 374 Ethics form and Open Meeting law and could include a reminder about getting on the 375 Selectboard agenda. 376 377 Consideration of remaining as a participant in an opioid class action lawsuit 378 Timestamp: 2:51 379 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/11/3i_Opioid_Cl ass_Action_Settlement_Notice_-_McKinsey.pdf 380 381 382 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Forward, Miller 383 384 Forward moved that the Town remains as a participant in the class action lawsuit 385 against McKinsey & Company, Inc. Miller seconded. 386 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved. 387 388 Update from the Town Center and Library Buildings Committee on public outreach 389 meetings for the Town Center Renovation Project 390 Timestamp: 2:52 391 392 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Forward, Arneson 393 394 Forward stated that now the Town Center and Library Buildings Committee wants to do 395 listening tours, where we present to the community and do some tours of the building in 396 advance of coming to the Selectboard with a request for a bond vote number. Arneson
- 397 stasted that next Thursday, November 16th at 7pm, we're going to do the presentation and

398	invite every member of the public to come and give feedback. Arneson asked if a second
399	public outreach on the 18th or the 19th works better for Selectboard members to attend
400	with the public. Forward stated that these would be public meetings and do them via
401	Zoom as well. Forward stated that they are also planning on doing this during the book
402	sale on December 2. Forward stated that there are display boards down in the foyer in
403	front of the Town Clerk's Office. Furr confirmed Saturday the 18th at noon.
404	
405	Consideration of changing the date of the first Selectboard meeting in January from
406	January 1, 2024 to another date
407	Timestamp: 2:58
408	
409	People who participated in discussion: Furr, Hill, Sander
410	reopie who purterpued in discussion. Turi, Tini, Sunder
411	Hill moved to change the date of the first Selectboard meeting in January to Tuesday,
412	January 2, 2024 due to the New Year's Day holiday on Monday, January 1, 2024.
413	Sander seconded.
414	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
415	Kon Can voie. Forwara, Furr, Inn, Miner, Sander in Javor. Monon approved.
415	Approval of Minutes, Purchase Orders, Warrants
417	Timestamp: 3:00
418	Thiestamp. 5.00
419	Minutes:
420	Minutes.
420	Sander moved to approve the Minutes of 10/16/23. Miller seconded.
421	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
422	Kon Can voie. Forwara, Furr, Hin, Miner, Sanaer in Javor. Monon approved.
423	Purchase Orders:
424	rurchase Orders.
426	Miller moved to approve PO# 4711 to Cargill Salt for Winter Road De-Icing for not to
427	exceed \$99,968.00. Sander seconded.
428	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
429	Kon Can voie. Forwara, Furr, Inn, Miner, Sander in Javor. Monon approved.
430	Sander moved to approve PO# 4828 to Chittenden County RPC for Project Management
431	for sidewalk on Bridge St from Jolina Ct to Esplanade not to exceed \$35,000. Miller
432	seconded.
432	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
434	Kon Can voie. Forwara, Furr, Inn, Miner, Sander in Javor. Monon approved.
435	Warrants:
436	
430	Sander moved to approve the general warrants on 11/6/2023 as presented. Forward
437	seconded.
439 440	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
440 441	Nort Monting Agondo
	Next Meeting Agenda *Code of Ethics policy
442	*Code of Ethics policy *Pomote Work policy and practices
443	*Remote Work policy and practices
444	*Discussion with Hinesburg about share Police services
445 446	Executive Sessions:
440	L'ACULINE DESSIUIIS.

447	Consideration of modifying the agreement with the New England Police Benevolent
448	Association regarding wages for Corporal pay
449	
450	Forward moved to find that premature public knowledge about negotiations with the New
451	England PBA would cause the Town or person to suffer a substantial disadvantage.
452	Miller seconded.
453	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
454	
455	Forward moved that we enter into executive session to discuss negotiations with New
456	England PBA under the provisions of 1 V.S.A. 313(a)(1)(b) and to invite Town Manager
457	Josh Arneson into the executive session. Miller seconded.
458	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
459	
460	Hill moved to exit executive session. Miller seconded.
461	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
462	
463	Forward moved to approve the Memorandum of Agreement with the New England PBA
464	which updates the wage scale for corporal pay to be in line with the wage scale increase
465	to Officer and Sergeant wages which were made in May 2023. Sander seconded.
466	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
467	
468	Consideration of modifying agreement with Hinesburg for Police Chief Services
469	regarding terms for payment of Chief Services
470	regularing terms for payment of emer services
471	Forward moved to find that premature public knowledge about negotiations with
472	Hinesburg regarding payment terms for Police Chief Services would cause the Town or
473	person to suffer a substantial disadvantage. Sander seconded.
474	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
475	
476	Forward moved that we enter into executive session to discuss negotiations with
477	Hinesburg for Police Chief Services under the provisions of 1 V.S.A. 313(a)(1)(a) and
478	invite Town Manager Josh Arneson into the executive session. Sander seconded.
479	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
480	
481	Forward moved to exit executive session. Miller seconded.
482	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
483	
484	Sander moved to approve the amendment to the intermunicipal police agreement with the
485	Town of Hinesburg to compensate Hinesburg for Police Chief Services at a flat monthly
486	rate. Hill seconded.
487	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
488	Row Caw voic. I of ward, I wit, Inw, Inwer, Sanaer wyavor. Monow approved.
489	Adjourn
490	Xujuum
491	Sander moved to adjourn. Hill seconded.
492	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
493	Row Caw voic. I orward, I wri, 11m, 11mer, Sunder in javor. monon approved.
494	Meeting adjourned at: 10:25 PM
495	1120000 uujuu 10.20 111
496	Chat file from Zoom: None