	Town of Richmond
	Selectboard Meeting
	Minutes of October 16, 2023
Μe	embers Present: Bard Hill, David Sander, Jay Furr, Jeff Forward, Lisa Miller
Ab	sent: None
	<b>Aff Present:</b> Josh Arneson, Town Manager; Duncan Wardwell, Assistant to the Town anager; Connie Bona, Finance Director; Jim Feinson, Town Treasurer
La Ha	hers Present: Meeting was recorded by MMCTV, Angela Cote, Betsy, Cara Bounty, Denise Barnard, Eda George, Erin Wagg, Hannah Harrington, John merslough, Judy Rosovsky, Kendra Ziskie, Laurie Dana, Teri Martineau, Virginia arke
MI	MCTV Video: https://archive.org/details/richmond-selectbd-10162023v-2
Ca	ll to Order: 7:01 pm
W	elcome by: Furr
D.,	blic Comment:
гu	one Comment:
at 7 pol anc	rr: Richmond Racial Equity has asked me to announce that on Wednesday, October 257pm at the Richmond Congregational Church, they will be hosting a forum on icing. They're calling it a Public Safety Forum, and Police Chief Anthony Cambridge I Town Manager Josh Arneson will be there to hear comments and questions from zens.
Ad	ditions or Deletions to Agenda:
	Bounty: I request that you move Item (l) to behind (f) so all of the ARPA pieces are gether.
Fu	rr: I see no objections.
Ite	ms for Presentation or Discussion with those present
_	date on Radar Speed Feedback Signs
	nestamp: 0:09
	ps://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3a_RadarSigummary1pdf
מוו	annia y1pui
	ople who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Wardwell, Forward, Hill, Bounty, Barnard, Cote
sig	rr stated that in the packet is the summary of the status of our various radar speed ns, some of them are not fully working. Arneson stated that various people have ked into them to try to figure out how to make it work. Arneson stated that there is an

inventory to get in touch with some of the manufacturers. Arneson reviewed that the two blinking lights up at the school entrance need more information on the rhyme or reason to why those blink.

Forward stated that the Hinesburg signs can download data via Bluetooth, which is a whole lot easier than trying to download the data. Wardwell confirmed that the Cochran Rd signs have Bluetooth symbols but there are no known systems for transmitting the data. Furr stated that the manual he got from Ben Herrick is a thick stack of photocopied pages. Furr reviewed that downloading the data is something that needs to be done regularly or the unit fills up and downloading the data is a very slow serial connection.

Hill stated that between our Highway Departments, Town Staff, and contracted Hinesburg Police that we could find a ladder and traffic control to get into these devices. Hill reviewed that we need a solution like a bigger ladder or Highway or Fire. Hill also stated that if these were cars, we would have turned them in under the lemon law. Wardwell stated that he is not comfortable without lights, cones, flagging and if something were to go wrong it would not look good. Wardwell reviewed that for several years these haven't been working and it's a lot of loose strings due to our police situation. LaBounty stated that the inventory should have date of purchase and amount purchased so that you know how much to ask for a refund. LaBounty stated that the ones bought at the beginning of the summer should all be returned. Arneson stated that the two newest ones have been working, there's a need to reset. Arneson stated that there's some nuance to all of these. Wardwell stated that much like a lot of things at our Town Offices, you pull one string, and a lot of other strings fall apart. Wardwell reviewed that 5 & 6 are working but cannot access 5 to get to that panel because there's such a huge erosion drop off. Furr stated that he would support returning them if that's possible. Wardwell stated that he had not seen the original purchasing agreements of these signs. Wardwell reviewed that there is a company in Maine that we are trying to coordinate a service return.

Forward reviewed that we have a functional Police Department so it would be good for Duncan to work with Chief Cambridge to figure out whether or not these should be fixed. Hill asked that at the bi-weekly staff meeting that Highway, Police and Fire come up with a plan. Arneson stated that each one has its own nuance. Wardwell confirmed that it's not only what needs to be done but coordinating all the moving parts with everyone else very busy. Wardwell asked who is originally trained to do that in each Department. Barnard stated that a boom truck could be rented. Barnard stated that she does not want to pay the Chief to figure out how these lights work. Hill stated that it's not that different from a fully employed Chief. Cote asked about who's going to spend the hours and the resources analyzing the data and decision making. Furr stated that we are talking to our I.T. resources for a solution via a publicly available dashboard.

# Consideration of approving a price increase for post-flood cleaning of Town Center and Volunteers' Green Restroom building

95 Timestamp: 0:18

96 <a href="https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3b\_4SmartPr">https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3b\_4SmartPr</a>
 97 oLLC price increase.pdf

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Miller, Sander, Arneson, Hill, Forward,

100 Barnard, LaBounty

101

- Furr stated that 4SmartPro LLC came back and said that based on increases in wages and
- materials they needed to update the bid and charge \$11,000 for cleaning both the Town
- 104 Center basement and Volunteers Green Concession Stand/Bathrooms. Furr reviewed the
- 105 choices around choosing between 4SmartPro LLC and ServiceMaster or going out to bid
- again. Arneson reviewed that he wishes that the Town Center was done already, and the
- restrooms would be nice to get it done at some point this winter so it's clean and ready to go by the springtime. Hill stated that he is daunted by the precedent, but these kinds of
- circumstances are happening all around between wages and supplies. Hill stated that
- he'd be inclined to proceed with the higher rate and just get it done. Forward stated that
- 4SmartPro LLC got glowing references so he would be inclined to agree with Bard, we're
- not talking about a lot of money and a lot less money than ServiceMaster. LaBounty
- stated don't worry about precedent, please make the motion to do the full \$11,000.

114

- 115 Forward moved to approve moving forward with 4SmartPro LLC for the cleaning
- 116 contract for the Town Center and the Volunteers Green restrooms for \$11,000. Miller
- 117 seconded.
- 118 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

119

- 120 Consideration of approval of 2024 health insurance plans for Town staff
- 121 Timestamp: 0:31
- https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3c1\_2024\_B
- 123 CBS\_and\_MVP\_Healthcare\_plans\_\_\_rates.pdf

124

- 125 <a href="https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3c2\_Richmo">https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3c2\_Richmo</a>
- nd\_Specific-\_2024\_Healthcare\_Plans\_renewal\_analysis.pdf

127

- 128 <u>https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3c3\_Health\_I</u>
- 129 <u>nsurance\_from\_Compensation\_Study.pdf</u>

130

- https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3c4 VLCT
- 132 2022\_Compensation\_and\_Benefits\_Report-\_Health\_Ins..pdf

133

- People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Bona, Hill, Martineau, Forward,
- 135 LaBounty, Barnard, Sander, Cote

136

- Furr reviewed the plans presented at the previous budget meeting. Furr stated that MVP
- was still cheaper than Blue Cross but MVP prices have gone up by 12.8%.

- 140 Furr stated that the Town has been contributing 100% of the Gold and Silver. Bona stated
- that we have three single employees on the High Deductible Gold plan and the rest are
- Platinum plan with only one family plan now. Hill stated that the percentages of
- contributions have a different value depending on whether it's Platinum, Silver, Gold,
- Bronze. Furr reviewed that a vast majority of Towns in Vermont contributed at least
- 145 90%. Martineau reviewed that the Towns she works with contribute 90-100% for
- premium Platinum level. Forward reviewed that for an opt out on insurance, the Town
- pays \$5,000 for family and \$2,500 for individual. Forward stated that he appreciated last
- 148 year's compensation study. Forward stated that he was reluctant to change the type of
- plan as it is just a whole ball of wax. Bona reviewed that she compared the VLCT 2022
- and 2023 manuals and where the bigger percentage is leaning towards the 100%

- 151 coverage, a couple of them changed from 84% to 86%. Martineau reviewed she worked
- with Alburgh, Underhill, East Montpelier, and Stowe and they pay between 90-100%.
- 153 Martineau stated that HDHP means a Highly Deductible Health Plan.
- Hill stated that the Platinum plans are most directly comparable to our baseline, or what
- we pay for currently.

Furr presented the Hickok & Boardman plan comparisons and asked about Standard plans and Non-Standard plans. Martineau stated that Standard plans have to look exactly the same benefit level is concerned. Martineau stated that the Non-Standard plans also have to include what's considered the 10 Essential Health Benefits, but the legislature said that carriers can differentiate themselves from each other for instance around wellness in Vermont. Richmond offers Platinum as a Standard plan. The Gold High Deductible is a Non-Standard plan and can earn \$600 in well-being benefits.

Hill stated that the current Police collective bargaining agreement has the same plans, but at a 90%-10% premiums. Arneson stated it is the same one as all the other non-unionized employees. Hill stated that if health insurance premiums go up by more than 10%, that that element could be renegotiated in the Police collective bargaining. Hill stated that they went up by 12.8% and the cost increase of health insurance might lead to renegotiation of that benefit due to cost to the taxpayer. Arneson reviewed that the premiums are lower for Gold and Silver so full coverage on that with a contribution to a health savings account, so the Town is paying the same amount whether you're on Platinum or Gold. Martineau reviewed that Cigna used to provide small-group fully insured plans and rates, but they pulled out of that market a couple of years ago.

LaBounty stated that we don't know what percentage other Towns are at for their compensation level, they could be at a 50<sup>th</sup> and we're in 70th percentile. LaBounty reviewed that she asked at the budget meeting to consider going to 11%, to help negate that health care cost increase. Forward stated that he would be reluctant to lower the percentage of employee contribution and equally be reluctant to higher it at this point. So this year, we're now we're paying 90%. Of the Platinum, higher than gold is the premium plan on health insurance.

Roll Call Vote follows discussion.

Forward move to offer MVP Platinum Plan with 90% of the premiums paid by the Town and 10% paid by the employee and to also offer the MVP Gold 3 and Silver 2 High Deductible Healthcare Plans with the Town paying 100% of the deductible and contributing to a health savings account in an amount equal to the difference between 90% of the Platinum premium and the full premium of Gold 3 or Silver 2. Sander seconded.

Hill stated that he is wrestling with this as health insurance continues to go up double digits, 12.8%. Hill reviewed that If employees paid 1% more for their roughly \$3,200 a month of coverage, it would be about \$32 a month. Hill asked if that is fair or unfair compared to the rest of our citizens where it would appear to me many of them are paying a higher percentage than our employees. Hill stated that he considers the offsets of compensation, including wages and benefits such as health insurance costs which are theoretically comparable to somebody's wages. Forward stated that this will not put a strain on our budget. Cote asked what is the reasonable expectation of the Town employees' contribution. Furr asked if it is worth that extra savings to potentially

201 annoy our employees by saying we want one more percent. Bona stated that she came up 202 with a total of \$1,550 per year for the Platinum folks. Forward stated that he thinks about 203 comparing public employees to public employees rather than private. Forward reviewed 204 that we are concerned about recruitment and retention of staff. Forward stated that he is 205 standing by his motion and if it fails then he is willing to consider another one. Furr 206 stated that he would hate to replace any member of the Highway Department because he 207 thinks our employees deserve the compensation they're getting. Furr stated that he could 208 vote for 10% or 11% but going to 11% would be more symbolic than anything else. 209 Cote stated that as a public-school employee she is paying 20% of her health care 210 premiums.

211 212

Hill proposed a friendly amendment to change the percentage from 10 to 11%. Forward stated that he would like the motion to stand.

214215

213

Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Miller, Sander in favor. Hill not in favor. Motion approved.

216217218

Review of financial policies

more general sense.

- 219 Timestamp: 1:15
- https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3d1\_Fund\_ba lance policy Richmond 08-10-23.pdf

222

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3d2\_Reserve Fund policy 08-10-23-23.pdf

225

https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3d3\_Chart\_f
 or\_Unassigned\_Funds.pdf

228

People who participated in discussion: Furr, LaBounty, Arneson, Bona, Forward, Sander, Hill, Miller, Barnard, Feinson

231

Furr stated that the Fund balance policy and the Reserve Fund policy are not the same thing as Unassigned Funds. Furr reviewed that Reserve Funds are pockets of money that have been set aside for specific purposes. Furr asked how much as a minimum do we want to retain in terms of Unassigned and Restricted funds. Furr reviewed that Unassigned Funds are money we did not spend. Furr stated that if Highway didn't spend the money assigned for a forklift, then it would go into Restricted Unassigned meaning it has to be spent on the Highway Department, where all other Unassigned can be spent in a

- Furr stated that our goal would be to have 15% of that year's budget set aside as
  Reserved. LaBounty stated that you need to carry balances up to 15% of your budget to
  have accessible for your cash flow purposes. LaBounty asked if your Reserves count as
  part of the Calculation. Furr confirmed that this is to facilitate cash flow but also in case
  of emergency spending. Bona confirmed that the 15% does not include the dollars that
  are in the Reserve Funds. Bona stated that the Unassigned and the Restricted Unassigned
  comes from revenues above what we budgeted or expenses below what we budgeted.
- Bona reviewed that the auditors put that in the financial reports after they pull everything
- 249 together for the total for the year. Bona stated that it has nothing to do with the Reserves
- 250 that we have raised in the budget to go to specific purposes. Bona stated that if you look

at the FY24 or FY25 budget you will see that we are contributing to the Capital Reserve with a list that shows what items we are paying for out of that Reserve this year. Arneson summarized that if buying a \$50,000 truck with Reserves, we put \$50,000 on expenses and then \$50,000 on revenue from the fund to pay for that through the budget. Arneson stated that we would show that as an expense and as an offsetting revenue that wasn't being raised in tax, we're going to use the Capital Reserve Fund. Arneson stated that starting last year, the Selectboard said we don't need to put that as an expense at an offset. Arneson reviewed that we created a separate page that talks about spending out of the Reserve Funds, \$50,000 expense to show Capital Highway \$100,000 minus \$50,000 for the truck. LaBounty asked if the 15% you are talking about has Capital Reserves spending and expenditures in it. LaBounty asked if your Reserve builds up to \$50 million is that not available for cash flow or emergency repair bills. Bona stated that when we vote on a budget and put money into Reserves, we're voting on the reason for what that money is for. Bona reviewed that if we budget \$100,000 to go into the Highway Capital budget and that's going towards the purchase of an excavator in three years, we can't use it for an emergency. Bona stated that for the Town of Richmond, capital expenditures are items that are more than \$5,000. Bona reviewed that we do have in the Fire Department's equipment line several items that are over \$5,000 in the Capital Plan. Bona stated that these items are being paid with tax dollars this year, however, the portion going into the Fire department's Capital Reserve has specific purposes for within the next five years to pay for the rescue truck.

Bona stated that the auditors are recommending we maintain 15% of the next operational budget to include Capital Reserves. Bona reviewed that they're suggesting that because if everything went wrong, they want you to have 15% or two months of your budget hanging out to cover expenses. Bona stated that whatever goes wrong, your money and your Reserves have already been voted on for specific purposes, the Unassigned and Restricted funds have not.

Furr stated that these policies are things we need to ratify or not approve. Furr stated that the way it is currently drafted says minimum of 15% should be available for Unassigned Funds, 15% of the Highway budget should be Restricted Funds, and when the combined Restricted and Unassigned Fund balance drops below 15%, the Town will only use Restricted Unassigned Funds for emergency purposes until the balance is replenished. Bona stated that different recommendations from the same auditing firm, say 15-20% or 18-24%. Sander confirmed at least 15%. Hill stated that 15% seems plausible. Miller stated that she has no objections to 15%.

Forward asked about the constraint imposed by the budget voted on by the Town's residents to apply prior year's Unassigned Fund Balances to the upcoming fiscal year. Hill stated that you're taking last year's and putting it toward next years in order to get to the 15%. Arneson confirmed that it should also read that the Unassigned Funds are available for any purpose approved by the Selectboard OR the voters.

LaBounty stated that 15% is the maximum that you should consider because these Unassigned Funds accumulated went from 35% to 55%. LaBounty stated that you are setting aside money with no intention purposes of either putting it into your Reserves or giving us a service that you're taxing us. LaBounty stated that as a Town, you have the ability to instantly borrow money in the case of emergency that you were going to overspend that 15%. Hill suggested that edits go to Connie and Lisa, and we do not

continue to wordsmith here tonight. Bona reviewed that the auditors want us to maintain a minimum of 15% of our operational budget to have on hand, we can add in a not to exceed maximum. Arneson reviewed that we will revisit this at the next meeting.

Furr stated that the Reserve Fund policy is in a state where we hope to have a final draft. Bona reviewed that this is dictated by statute or what the voters have voted on., each Reserve has a specific purpose. Bona stated that audits spell out what can be done with each Reserve and how it is restricted. Arneson confirmed that having the policy will help us to spell out the specifics. Forward stated that one banking account he would like to see as a Reserve Fund is the Town Center Fund with the intent of getting revenue from rents. Forward stated that we should start spending some of these little Reserve Fund balances like the \$1,200 Rec Path budget, \$12,000 Soccer line item or the \$270 Tree Replacement fund. Arneson stated that Recreational Soccer is a separate organization and their money's here. Hill stated that each one has its own set of issues and is a mini study. Furr stated that the policy's going to be on file for years to come and it doesn't need to have a moment in time balance. Arneson stated that the audit has a moment in time balance at the end of each fiscal year.

Feinson stated that this is a policy that describes the current developments and their purposes. Feinson recommended approving this and asking the Town Treasurer to do the research and recommendation of changes to these funds. Hill asked if we want to approve it with the request of addition of Town Center Funds. Bona stated that she wanted to ask the auditors as it is just listed as funds not actually as a Reserve.

- Miller moved to approve the Reserve Fund policy as written and it will probably be amended for the Town Center Fund. Hill seconded.
- 327 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

- Consideration of including improvements to the Round Church Green in the town properties that can be considered for American Rescue Plan Act funding by the Volunteers Green and Browns Court Project Committee
- 332 Timestamp: 1:51

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Barnard, Hill, Ziskie, Forward, Hill, Sander

Barnard stated that the Round Church Park is one of our beautiful parks that we have in the Town of Richmond. Barnard stated that there are many sinkholes, trees that need to come down, stumps that need to be removed, the monument and the bench need to be cleaned. Barnard asked to include that park as part of the landscape.

- 341 Hill moved to expand the scope of the Volunteers Green and Browns Court Project 342 Committee to include recommending the use of ARPA funds for improvements at the 343 Round Church Green. Sander seconded.
- 344 Roll Call Vote follows discussion.

- Barnard asked if it is possible to have a staff person at our meetings. Barnard asked if the Selectboard would like an update every two weeks. Barnard stated they have coordinated information with the Recreation Committee. Ziskie stated that they have reached out to
- 349 the Farmers Market, Richmond Community Band, Soccer, Baseball. Forward stated that

currently they have three meetings in November. Furr confirmed that it does not have to be an agenda item, but you can always email updates.

352

Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

353354355

356

Consideration of use of \$148,000 in American Rescue Plan Act fund for restoration work on the Richmond Free Library building

357 Timestamp: 1:58

 $\underline{\text{https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3f1\_Revised}$ 

359 \_Request\_for\_ARPA\_Funds\_October.pdf

360

361 <a href="https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3f2\_Richmon">https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3f2\_Richmon</a>
362 d Library Assessment 2023-HVC 1 .pdf

363364

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Dana, Barnard, Forward, Hill, LaBounty, Hill, Sander

365366

367 Dana stated that they did not get the grant, however all the work still needs to be done on 368 the Library. Dana reviewed that she put in a request for ARPA funding for \$148,000 for 369 the two most important and logical things that need to be done to preserve the building. 370 Dana stated that Alex Tolstoi was the builder from the work this summer and he put in a 371 new building assessment. Dana itemized the rest of the woodwork repairs on the upper 372 towers, added a 10% contingency and 3-5% inflation recognizing that we're going to be 373 looking at next summer. Dana also requested an owner's rep to manage this because our 374 librarian doesn't have the expertise or the time to manage the production project.

Furr stated that the grant match was going to be \$150,000. Furr stated that the ARPA

375 376

377 budget is basically a wash as that money would have been used for the grant. Forward 378 stated that the Town Center Committee has reviewed this and fully supports the work that 379 needs to be done. Forward stated that this is a repair that's going to need to be done again 380 so he feels that we should have a line item in our Reserve budget to do this type of repair. 381 Forward suggested approving the request, but using the Town Center Reserve Funds, we 382 have ~\$250,000 in that right now. Hill asked what's the difference between using Town 383 Center Reserve funds and using the ARPA funds. Forward stated that if we put all of 384 them in the ARPA fund category, there's not enough to cover it, there would be 385 competing interest that the Selectboard had to choose between. Hill suggested leaving 386 the \$150,000 for the Library exterior and reducing the Town Center solar efficiency by 387 \$150,000 and take the balance out of Town Center. Forward stated that the Town Center 388 efficiency fit the criteria of the ARPA Committee quite well. Dana stated that the solar 389 depends on a positive bond vote in March. LaBounty reviewed that the ARPA 390 committee took six months to talk about criteria, procedures, deadline dates and approved 391 the Library. LaBounty stated that we knew it needed \$300,000 worth of work and it was 392 going to be spent whether it was matched or not. LaBounty stated that the solar energy 393 Town Center \$340,000 is on this list but has never been at the ARPA Committee. Furr 394 confirmed that we did approve Library funds and it is a shovel ready project. Furr stated 395 that he thinks it would be better to approve the \$150,000 for the Library and consider 396 funding the solar if and when the time comes.

397

398 Hill moved to approve the use of \$148,000 in ARPA funds for restoration work on the Library building as presented. Sander seconded.

400	Roll Call Vote follows discussion.
401	
402	Forward stated that this was his attempt to accommodate all of the requests for the ARPA
403	funds so that we don't have to pick and choose later on.
404	
405	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
406	
407	Discussion of American Rescue Plan Act fund request from Town Center
408	Committee
409	Timestamp: 2:22
410	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/31_Town_Ce
411	nter_Renovation_Project_ARPA_Request.pdf
412	
413	People who participated in discussion: Furr, Forward, Barnard, Hill, LaBounty
414	
415	Barnard requested tabling this until it goes before the ARPA Committee. Forward stated
416	that it's less expensive to renovate this building than to build new. Forward stated that
417	the Town Center Committee wanted to get it below \$10 million. Forward stated the
418	Town Center Committee strongly recommends solar and isolated it out of the project so it
419	wouldn't necessarily be in the bond amount but funded through another source. Forward
420	reviewed that there's a federal program now that they will give us 30% of the installation
421	cost. Forward stated that we're recommending going to an all-electric heating system,
422	rather than installing a fossil fuel heating system. Forward stated it fits the definition of
423	the criteria that the ARPA Committee set up initially. Furr observed that we could
424	partially fund the solar from ARPA, if the ARPA Committee comes back and says that's
425	a good idea. Hill stated that it would be reasonable for the ARPA Committee to look at a
426	revised table. LaBounty requested that the request be rescinded and bring it back to the
427	ARPA Committee. Furr summarized that Jeff has agreed to withdraw that.
428	
429	Consideration of approval of Access Permit for 595 Huntington Rd.
430	Timestamp: 2:31
431	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3g_Access_P
432	ermit_Application595_Huntington_Rdpdf
433	
434	People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Sander, Hill
435	2 00 p. 2 m. 2 p. 2 m. 2 p. 2 m. 2 m. 2 m. 2
436	Arneson stated that this is just moving an existing driveway about 210 feet.
437	Timeson stated that this is just inothing an emisting arrive way about 210 feet.
438	Sander moved to approve Access Permit Application AC2023-04 for an access at 595
439	Huntington Rd. Hill seconded.
440	Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.
441	Note Cut voic. I orward, I urr, Itut, muter, Sumaer in juvor. motion approved.
442	Consideration of approval of Access Permit for 2260 Stage Rd.
443	Timestamp: 2:34
444	https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3h_Access_P
445	ermit Application - 2260 Stage Rdpdf
446	CHIII. TAPPIICAUOII - 2200 DIAGO KUpui
447	People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Sander, Forward, Hill
448	1 copie who participated in discussion. Turi, Amicson, Sander, Porward, Tim
1 10	

449 Arneson reviewed that this is access for a driveway to a new dwelling and the DRB will 450 review the slope in the pitch. Arneson stated that Pete is okay with the culvert. 451 452 Sander moved to approve Access Permit Application AC2023-02 for an access at 2260 453 Stage Rd. Hill seconded. 454 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved. 455 456 Consideration of request by the Trails Committee to utilize \$1,684 remaining from a 457 previous project from the Conservation Reserve Fund to repair damage to trails 458 Timestamp: 2:37 459 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3i1 2023 R 460 TC\_Funding\_CRF\_for\_Trail\_Repair\_Budget\_Revision.pdf 461 462 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3i2 Email o 463 n\_RCC\_Reccommendation.pdf 464 465 People who participated in discussion: Furr, Rosovsky, Hill, Miller, Forward, Arneson 466 467 Furr stated that the Trails Committee informed us that they're not ready to proceed with 468 the entire request, they only want to request \$1,684 that was left unspent from a 469 previously approved request to be used to repair damage to the Rivershore Trail. 470 Rosovsky stated that the work they had done on repairing all those bridges had been 471 wiped out by the flood so they're hoping to use that towards repair. Hill stated that this 472 appears to be partial funding of that full repair as described in this document. Rosovsky 473 stated that the Trails Committee wanted to put in a request for additional money to 474 complete the work, but they were not ready to do that. Arneson stated that anything over 475 \$1,000 you're supposed to get three quotes. 476 477 Hill moved to approve a request from the Richmond Trails Committee for the use of 478 \$1684 remaining from the 2022 Conservation Fund allocation for a bridge repair 479 project, to be used for repairs to the Rivershore Trail due to damages from the flooding. 480 Miller seconded. 481 Roll Call Vote follows discussion. 482 483 Forward asked if we could use the Rec Path Reserve Fund and use it up on this project. 484 Hill stated that they're going to have a trial issue discussion that could easily be used by 485

some of the rest of the funding. Arneson stated that we have to figure out exactly what the was established for and why it could be transferred.

486 487 488

Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

489 490

491

Consideration of request by the Conservation Commission to utilize \$150 from the **Conservation Reserve Fund to purchase frog crossing signs** 

492 Timestamp: 2:43

493 https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3j1 2023 RS

494 OSN Application for CRF funds.pdf

495 496

https://www.richmondyt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3i2 Details on Frog Crossing reccommendation from the Conservation Commission.pdf

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Rosovsky, Forward, Hill

Furr stated that the Conservation Commission worked with a group called Richmond Save Our Salamanders Network to make a request for some money to put signs up on Cochran Rd to remind drivers to look out for frogs and salamanders crossing the road at peak times. Furr stated that in the packet they are asking for \$100 in Conservation funds to cover fees for safety vests, clickers and salamander signs and then requesting funds for two frog crossing signs and the numbers don't total up to \$150. Rosovsky stated that they can remove the clickers to make the total \$150, the second set of signs is redundant. Rosovsky stated that she included posts.

Forward moved to approve up to \$150 in Conservation Reserve Funds to cover the cost of safety vests, clickers, and 2 metal signs and posts, as described in the Richmond Save Our Salamanders Network. These funds must be expended within 3 years of the date of final approval, by approximately Oct 16, 2026. Hill seconded. Roll Call Vote follows discussion.

Wagg asked what the expectation is if you are driving along and a bunch are hopping on the road. Wagg asked what is the end goal, stop moving your vehicle or honk your horn until you don't see any hopping? Rosovsky stated that there will probably be people there trying to facilitate the crossing, but the signs are up for general awareness or to avoid driving on rainy nights or to direct people to a group in Town concerned about this. Furr asked if anyone explored the possibility of building special tunnels. Rosovsky stated that it is a pilot project they want to find the concentrated areas of frog crossing as tunnels and culverts can be expensive. Forward asked if they want to close Cochran Rd for any period in the evening when raining. Rosovsky stated she does not think so as Cochran Rd isn't a high traffic area and that is why we would have flashlights, safety vests and orange cones to get people to slow down a bit. Furr volunteered to be on site to help them across.

Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

## **Consideration of participating in the Welcoming and Engaging Communities Cohort**

532 Cohort

- 533 Timestamp: 2:51
- 534 <a href="https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3k\_Link\_to">https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3k\_Link\_to</a>
- More\_Information\_about\_EPIC.pdf

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Forward, Arneson

Furr reviewed that this item has to do with diversity, equity and inclusion. Arneson confirmed that this is a VLCT program with Abundant Sun. Furr stated that they asked for at least three members from each Town. Furr stated that Keith Oborne, our Planning and Zoning Director, has said he'd be interested. Arneson confirmed that we wouldn't have to go back out and collect all the data again and meetings were remote and every two or three weeks and educational. Arneson stated he would check with other people on staff or committee chairs.

- 547 Forward moved to submit an application to participate in the Welcoming and Engaging
- 548 Communities Cohort if the Town Manager can find significant interest from staff or
- 549 volunteers. Miller seconded.
- 550 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved.

551

552 **Staffing update** 

Timestamp: 2:57

553554

People who participated in discussion: Furr

556

- 557 Furr summarized the Town staff:
- -Administration, fully staffed, no changes
- 559 -Highway, fully staffed, no changes
- -Police, currently there is the Chief position, three full time position, and three part time
- positions open in the Police Department.
- -Library, Jennifer Esser (20 hours) resigned in May and was replaced with Suzanne
- Krohn at 24 hours, meaning an addition to VMERS. Melissa Lawler's hours increased to
- 24 hours on September 1, another addition to VMERS. Jennifer Sprague works
- occasionally as a substitute.
- -Planning and Zoning, fully staffed, no changes.
- -Water and Sewer, Lead Process Operator Allen Carpenter resigned in August. Carpenter
- also served as Interim Water and Wastewater Superintendent from October 2022 through
- July 2023. Steve Cote has been promoted to the Water and Wastewater Superintendent.
- There are two open positions, and we are currently interviewing for them.

571

### 572 Monthly Planning and Zoning update

- 573 Timestamp: 2:58
- 574 <u>https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/3n\_Septembe</u>
- 575 r-October 2023 monthly.pdf

576

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Forward, Miller, Arneson

578

- 579 Furr summarized updated information by Planning and Zoning Director, Keith Oborne, in
- 580 the packet. Arneson stated that the current lists compare the data for research to see if
- they all have permits and if they don't then how to follow up.

582583

### Richmond Rescue quarterly report

- 584 Timestamp: 3:03
- 585 <a href="https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/30\_Richmon">https://www.richmondvt.gov/fileadmin/files/Selectboard/Meetings/2023/10/30\_Richmon</a>
- 586 d\_Rescue\_FY24\_Q1\_updaet.pdf

587 588

People who participated in discussion: Furr, Arneson, Hill, Forward

589

- Hill stated that the Richmond rescue data response times from their headquarters in
- Richmond to Hinesburg is an average of 17-18 minutes and within Richmond the
- response time for Rescue is 7-8 minutes. Furr summarized long-time member Rich
- 593 Dana's 25 years of service and other items provided in the packet.

594 595

#### **Approval of Minutes, Purchase Orders, Warrants**

596 Timestamp: 3:06

**Minutes:** *Miller moved to approve the Minutes of 10/2/23. Sander seconded.* Roll Call Vote: Forward, Miller, Sander in favor. Furr and Hill abstain. Motion approved. Sander moved to approve the Minutes of 10/10/23. Miller seconded. Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved. **Purchase Orders:** Sander moved to approve PO# 4806 to U.S. Bank for Fire Truck and Jericho Rd loans for not to exceed \$117,164.75. Forward seconded. Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved. **Warrants:** Sander moved to approve the general warrants as amended on 10/16/2023 to remove invoice for \$4,177.50 to MSK Engineers which should be approved by Water & Sewer. Forward seconded. Roll Call Vote follows discussion. Forward asked about the water meter costs at the Town Center. Arneson reviewed that there's different fixed costs for water based on the uses inside the Town Center building. Hill stated that might be a follow up question to Water/Sewer or Connie. Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved. **Next Meeting Agenda** \*Charitable appropriation guidelines \*Radar sign updates Adjourn Sander moved to adjourn. Miller seconded. Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Hill, Miller, Sander in favor. Motion approved. Meeting adjourned at: 10:20 PM 

Chat file from Zoom: None