11.1.23 meeting memo from the Chair

Contained in this packet are the following:

* Final documents approved for public hearing on our amendments “Residential uses as part of a PUD in the Industrial/Commercial Zoning District” AS AMENDED per our discussion on 10.18.23. As Keith indicated to you, the timing required pushes the public hearing out to our December 6 meeting. The documents have been sent out to our town attorney, Dave Rugh, for his review and opinion, in particular about the amendment to the Master Development Plan language. The letter has been sent out to the owners within the I/C district. Keith and I have already identified some tweaks that will likely need to be made as we review to input from our public hearing, especially if we amend the Master Development Plan language. There will likely be a few more. Please let us know if you have any concerns about whether these documents carry out the intent of the adjustments that were stipulated on 10.18.23.
* The most recent versions (draft #15) of the proposed VRNS and VRNN zoning districts, dated 10.26.23. In blue are new or controversial items that we will want to give maximum attention to. The discussion about “Two principal residential structures on a lot” and the “Multifamily Development Standards” has direct bearing on these districts (i.e. do we want to include these regulations in the new districts?), in addition to being a topic of concern for the ZAO and DRB. We should consider if/how we want to apply these to the VRN districts, and if we want to amend them in some way. I have a couple of possible amendments for us to consider. I think it will be helpful to consider any changes we wish to make before we consider whether to include these regulations in the VRN’s, because changes may affect how we feel about including them.

Virginia