
RE: 20 Apple Lane

From Elizabeth L <ejlynn55@gmail.com>

Date Wed 9/9/2026 8:39 AM

To Dante DeNault <ddenault@richmondvt.gov>

You don't often get email from ejlynn55@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Good morning Dante,

While there is some concern over the potential home portion of the lot, this was divided and being sold in this manner from the original approved plot plan.

I am for their request, if anything, based on the manner of the sale and their expectations of being able to build a home within the additional parameters of the HOA in regard to size, style, etc. Meaning that the home should conform to the HOA in all other ways if easement is eased.

I would rather they did not deviate based on the stormwater issues and leave the forestry intact as much as possible as another homeowner recently cut down/removed a lot of trees along Greystone on a steep hillside, unknown if there was a reason outside aesthetics. This eliminated a natural barrier to the runoff issues we are dealing with in a costly manner. It is viewable from the road.

Also on Greystone there is a portable tiny home set up in a semi-permanent state within the 30/50 variance for Richmond/HOA requirements. I would be more concerned about grey/blackwater disposal/runoff in this case than the other home built with proper permitting. However, if the Town of Richmond can identify a more suitable solution for building the Apple Tree home than this should be identified prior to the upcoming DRB. In other words, what are their logical options? ***Does the relaxation on subdivisions and building rules/policy help them?*** In this case, it is a subdivision with a plot for building and does the town/school tax income benefits outweigh the complaints?

A shorter driveway is actually better for the stormwater 3 acre requirements... (on a positive note)

Best Regards,
Elizabeth Lynn
900 Greystone Drive