

Jolina Court Zoning question from the planning commission

20 messages

Jessica Draper <idraper@richmondvt.gov>

Mon, Feb 25, 2019 at 1:08 PM

Mon. Feb 25, 2019 at 1:32 PM

Mon, Feb 25, 2019 at 1:38 PM

Hi all,

The planning commission is working on zoning for Jolina Court as planned, but was wondering if you would prefer that we try to keep the 60-40 ratio or attempt to pass a requirement that only requires ground/first floor commercial and flexibility in the remaining floors. They thought you may prefer the latter which would be more like 25-75, but also thought it may be more palatable to the public to pass regulations that are more identical to the interim zoning. They wanted to ask you if you were willing to take the chance that 25-75 might not pass if we try for it-

Let me know your thoughts-

Jess

Jessica Draper

Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

Gristmill <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

To: Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

Hi Jess, thanks for keeping us in the loop.

Can you clarify if we are talking about the adoption of the interim zoning or the more long-term discussion about zoning/density in general?

My understanding was that the fastest/easiest path would be for the Planning Commission to adopt the Interim Zoning as is so that building 1 could get finished and occupied. Simultaneously, there would be a discussion about the zoning/density/ratio in Jolina Court, Upper Bridge St., etc. to best implement the town plan.

So, yes, we would like to talk about these regulations, but first get building 1 finished under IZ.

Josi, Brendan, sound correct?

-Colin

[Quoted text hidden]

Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

To: Gristmill <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

Cc: Josi Kytle <josikytle@gmail.com>, Brendan OReilly
brendan@gristmillbuilders.com>

Hi Colin,

So a little bit of both. They are taking the interim zoning and trying to adopt it into permanent zoning, but they wanted to see if Buttermilk was interested in that ratio alteration to the interim zoning in this round or wait for the later discussions you mentioned about the entire village. If you want to stick with 60-40 for now, that's fine too. They just thought it might give a little leeway in case the village zoning takes longer than anticipated (because it almost always does).

-Jess

Jessica Draper

Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

Gristmill <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

Mon. Feb 25, 2019 at 1:55 PM

To: Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

Cc: Josi Kytle <josikytle@gmail.com>, Brendan OReilly
brendan@gristmillbuilders.com>

Ha! Right.

Well, my sense from the discussion at the 2/6 PC meeting was that it wasn't worth the risk to try to adopt anything other than the IZ for building 1, especially since there is nothing preventing changes down the road.

Can you tell us what the process would be if we asked for IZ to be adopted with a 25-75 ratio and it did not pass? Could we quickly get the original IZ passed, or would we have to start over?

-Colin

[Quoted text hidden]

Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

Mon, Feb 25, 2019 at 2:02 PM

To: Gristmill <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

If there was major public objection to the new ratio, the planning commission or selectboard could change it back to 40-60 and re-warn a hearing. It could potentially slow it down by a couple of weeks to maybe a month.

-Jess

Jessica Draper

Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

Colin <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

Mon, Feb 25, 2019 at 2:27 PM

To: Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

Cc: Josi Kytle <josikytle@gmail.com>, brendan
 brendan@gristmillbuilders.com>

That's not too bad.

So, if this scenario played out, we would be looking at adoption of the IZ (and Certificate of Occupancy) in the late June/1st of July timeframe? [Quoted text hidden]

Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

Mon, Feb 25, 2019 at 2:44 PM

To: Colin <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

Cc: Josi Kytle <josikytle@gmail.com>, brendan@gristmillbuilders.com>

Roughly, that is about how it would work out, but I can't speak for the CO because that it up to Suzanne's time frames with the DRB and permit amendments.

-Jess

Jessica Draper

Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

Gristmill <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

Mon, Feb 25, 2019 at 4:35 PM

To: Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov> Cc: Josi Kytle <josikytle@gmail.com>, brendan@gristmillbuilders.com>

Right, got it. Will let you know shortly.

Thanks, -Colin

[Quoted text hidden]

brendan brendan@gristmillbuilders.com>

Mon. Feb 25, 2019 at 9:41 PM

To: Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

Cc: Colin <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>, Josi Kytle <josikytle@gmail.com>

What is major public objection and how do they measure that?

Sent from my iPhone

[Quoted text hidden]

Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 11:29 AM

To: brendan brendan@gristmillbuilders.com

Cc: Colin <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>, Josi Kytle <josikytle@gmail.com>

Hi Brendan,

Sorry for my late reply. The public objection is really up to the planning commission or selectboard interpretation. If they feel as though they've received too much backlash to proceed.

-Jess

Jessica Draper

Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

Colin Moffatt <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

To: Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 3:54 PM

Hi Jess, they have decided to try change a few things, or at least see how the PC feels about trying them. I am waiting for Josi to send me concise little blurbs with supporting details to guide your drafting of the regs. Curious how quickly you need it? If you need it right now, I can give you the basics and fill in details later. Or I'm sure I'll have something from Josi by Monday if that's good enough.

Let me know, thanks, -Colin

Sent from my iPhone

[Quoted text hidden]

Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

To: Colin Moffatt <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 4:56 PM

I think it can wait til Monday, for now I'm just getting the formatting down of the original language and then it can be edited from there. If possible, it may be good to have someone at our meeting next Wednesday to discuss your proposals.

Jess

Sent from my iPhone

Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

To: Colin Moffatt <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 11:02 AM

Hey Colin, just following up with this. Wondering if you have a better idea on what time you may have more details from Josi. I'm here until 5:30pm today-

Jess

Jessica Draper

Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

Gristmill <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

To: Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 11:19 AM

Right, sorry, I think Josi is having some travel issues. Here is what I asked her to elaborate on for potential changes:

- 1. Remove 60-40 ratio to start a move toward "form based zoning"
- 2. Define "dwelling unit" as something that represents what is being built and the actual impacts that type of housing has on town.
- 3. Allow 15 unit/acre density across entire parcel instead of "developable acreage"; because of small unit size and efficient use parking on un-buildable land.
- 4. Increase density to something more like Upper Block (approx. 30/acre); it works up there even with no parking and is in line with town and regional plans.

Let me know if that makes sense and I'll bug Josi again.

-Colin

[Quoted text hidden]

Jessica Draper < jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

To: Gristmill <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 12:20 PM

Thanks Colin,

I'll work with that for now. Let me know if someone can attend Wednesday. It's easier for you guys to answer questions from the planning commission directly than to go through me.

-Jess

Jessica Draper

Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

Colin Moffatt <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>
To: Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 12:37 PM

Thanks, -Colin

Sent from my iPhone

[Quoted text hidden]

Jessica Draper < jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

To: Colin Moffatt <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

Thu, Mar 7, 2019 at 9:29 AM

Thanks for coming last night, feel free to forward any regulation examples you find and want them to consider-

Jess

Jessica Draper

Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

Gristmill <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

To: Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

Thu, Mar 7, 2019 at 1:52 PM

Yeah! Good meeting, thanks for having us!

I copied below the regs I found about "1/2 dwelling unit" calculations. I'm not sure it matters if it is part of the density calculation, or part of a bonus program, but something like this would help (and Gabe too!)

Do you know how the developable acreage was calculated? It looks on the site plan like the acreage outside the wetland buffer is more than half the parcel? And, can the leased land from the railroad be included in that calculation? I actually don't think this part matters much if we deal with the dwelling unit definition and remove the ratio restriction, but still curious.

Thanks, -Colin

Hinesburg

(1) Dwelling Unit Size - Smaller dwelling units help to: create more affordable and

reasonably priced housing; allow for greater clustering and multi-family dwellings that make more efficient use of available space; require less energy for heating, cooling, electricity. To count toward the incentive for dwelling size, the livable floor area of the unit in question shall be no larger than:

- (a) Single family units 1500 sq. ft
- (b) 2-family & multi-family units 1200 sq. ft per unit

Waterbury

Dwelling Unit-

(c) In the Historic/Commercial Sub-district of the Downtown Design Review Overlay District, and the Campus Overlay District, the maximum density for multi-family buildings, for dwelling units per acre and dwelling units per building (per Table 5.3), shall be calculated with each one-bedroom dwelling unit that does not exceed 1,000 sq. ft. in gross floor area considered as one-half a dwelling unit.

Parking- (1) Dwellings: one and one-half (1.5) spaces for each dwelling unit, and one (1) space for each onebedroom unit (one-half dwelling unit).

Williston

11.3.2 How is a dwelling unit defined? For the purposes of this chapter and for calculating density under WDB Chapter 19, one dwelling is defined as a dwelling unit containing 2 or more bedrooms. A dwelling containing only one bedroom or less (studio) is counted as 0.5 of a dwelling unit.

[Quoted text hidden]

Gristmill <colin@gristmillbuilders.com>

Thu, Mar 7, 2019 at 2:28 PM

To: Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>

On Mar 7, 2019, at 9:29 AM, Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov> wrote:

Thanks for coming last night, feel free to forward any regulation examples you find and want them to consider-

Jess

Jessica Draper

Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

On Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 12:37 PM Colin Moffatt <colin@gristmillbuilders.com> wrote:

Yep, will definitely be there.

Thanks, -Colin

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 4, 2019, at 12:20 PM, Jessica Draper < idraper@richmondvt.gov> wrote:

Thanks Colin,

I'll work with that for now. Let me know if someone can attend Wednesday. It's easier for you guys to answer questions from the planning commission directly than to go through me.

-Jess

Jessica Draper

Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

On Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 11:19 AM Gristmill <colin@gristmillbuilders.com> wrote:

Right, sorry, I think Josi is having some travel issues. Here is what I asked her to elaborate on for potential changes:

- 1. Remove 60-40 ratio to start a move toward "form based zoning"
- 2. Define "dwelling unit" as something that represents what is being built and the actual impacts that type of housing has on town.
- 3. Allow 15 unit/acre density across entire parcel instead of "developable acreage"; because of small unit size and efficient use parking on un-buildable land.
- 4. Increase density to something more like Upper Block (approx. 30/acre); it works up there even with no parking and is in line with town and regional plans.

Let me know if that makes sense and I'll bug Josi again.

-Colin

On Mar 4, 2019, at 11:02 AM, Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov> wrote:

Hey Colin, just following up with this. Wondering if you have a better idea on what time you may have more details from Josi. I'm here until 5:30pm today-

Jess Jessica Draper Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

On Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 4:56 PM Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov> wrote:

I think it can wait til Monday, for now I'm just getting the formatting down of the original language and then it can be edited from there. If possible, it may be good to have someone at our meeting next Wednesday to discuss your proposals.

Jess

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 1, 2019, at 3:54 PM, Colin Moffatt <colin@gristmillbuilders.com> wrote:

Hi Jess, they have decided to try change a few things, or at least see how the PC feels about trying them. I am waiting for Josi to send me concise little blurbs with supporting details to guide your drafting of the regs. Curious how quickly you need it? If you need it right now, I can give you the basics and fill in details later. Or I'm sure I'll have something from Josi by Monday if that's good enough.

Let me know, thanks, -Colin

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 27, 2019, at 11:29 AM, Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov> wrote:

Hi Brendan,

Sorry for my late reply. The public objection is really up to the planning commission or selectboard interpretation. If they feel as though they've received too much backlash to proceed.

-Jess

Jessica Draper

Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

On Mon, Feb 25, 2019 at 9:41 PM brendan brendan@gristmillbuilders.com wrote:

| What is major public objection and how do they measure that?

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 25, 2019, at 12:44 PM, Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov> wrote:

Roughly, that is about how it would work out, but I can't speak for the CO because that it up to Suzanne's time frames with the DRB and permit amendments.

-Jess

Jessica Draper

Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

On Mon, Feb 25, 2019 at 2:27 PM Colin <colin@gristmillbuilders.com> wrote:

That's not too bad.

So, if this scenario played out, we would be looking at adoption of the IZ (and Certificate of Occupancy) in the late June/1st of July timeframe?

On Feb 25, 2019, at 2:02 PM, Jessica Draper jdraper@richmondvt.gov wrote:

If there was major public objection to the new ratio, the planning commission or selectboard could change it back to 40-60 and re-warn a hearing. It could potentially slow it down by a couple of weeks to maybe a month.

-Jess

Jessica Draper

Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

On Mon, Feb 25, 2019 at 1:55 PM Gristmill <colin@gristmillbuilders.com> wrote:

Ha! Right.

Well, my sense from the discussion at the 2/6 PC meeting was that it wasn't worth the risk to try to adopt anything other than the IZ for building 1, especially since there is nothing preventing changes down the road.

Can you tell us what the process would be if we asked for IZ to be adopted with a 25-75 ratio and it did not pass? Could we quickly get the original IZ passed, or would we have to start over?

-Colin

On Feb 25, 2019, at 1:38 PM, Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov> wrote:

Hi Colin,

So a little bit of both. They are taking the interim zoning and trying to adopt it into permanent zoning, but they wanted to see if Buttermilk was interested in that ratio alteration to the interim zoning in this round or wait for the later discussions you mentioned about the entire village. If you want to stick with 60-40 for now, that's fine too. They just thought it might give a little leeway in case the village zoning takes longer than anticipated (because it almost always does).

-Jess **Jessica Draper** Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov

On Mon, Feb 25, 2019 at 1:32 PM Gristmill <colin@gristmillbuilders.com> wrote:

Hi Jess, thanks for keeping us in the loop.

Can you clarify if we are talking about the adoption of the interim zoning or the more long-term discussion about zoning/density in general?

My understanding was that the fastest/easiest path would be for the Planning Commission to adopt the Interim Zoning as is so that building 1 could get finished and occupied. Simultaneously, there would be a discussion about the zoning/density/ratio in Jolina Court, Upper Bridge St., etc. to best implement the town plan.

So, yes, we would like to talk about these regulations, but first get building 1 finished under IZ.

Josi, Brendan, sound correct?

-Colin

On Feb 25, 2019, at 1:08 PM, Jessica Draper <jdraper@richmondvt.gov>wrote:

Hi all,

The planning commission is working on zoning for Jolina Court as planned, but was wondering if you would prefer that we try to keep the 60-40 ratio or attempt to pass a

requirement that only requires ground/first floor commercial and flexibility in the remaining floors. They thought you may prefer the latter which would be more like 25-75, but also thought it may be more palatable to the public to pass regulations that are more identical to the interim zoning. They wanted to ask you if you were willing to take the chance that 25-75 might not pass if we try for it-

Let me know your thoughts-

Jess Jessica Draper Town Planner

Town of Richmond

P.O. Box 285

Richmond, VT 05477

802-434-2430

Electronic communications are considered public records and subject to public inspection and disclosure unless a record is exempt under one of the general exemptions found at 1 VSA section 317(c).

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: jdraper@richmondvt.gov



Town Plan Alignments to Jolina Court.docx

Ravi Venkataraman < rvenkataraman@richmondvt.gov> To: Katie Mather <katie.mather@richmondvt.org>, ktmatherwriter@gmail.com

Thanks,

Ravi

Ravi Venkataraman Town Planner Town of Richmond 203 Bridge St. Richmond, VT 05477 802-434-2430 http://www.richmondvt.gov/

To note: All emails, and any respective attachments to the Town may be considered public records and may be subject to disclosure under the Vermont Open Public Records Act.

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden] Mon, Mar 2, 2020 at 12:37 PM

