1 2 3 4	RICHMOND WATER AND SEWER COMMISSION MEETING February 17, 2015 MINUTES		
4 5 6 7	Members Present: Members Absent:	Bard Hill, Chair; Chris Granda; David Sander; Bruce Bailey; Robert Fischer None	
8 9 10 11 12 13	Others Present:	Geoffrey Urbanik, Town Manager, Kendall Chamberlin, Water Resources; Kathy Sikora; Peter Pochop, Green Mountain Engineering; Jack Linn; Patty Brushett; Heidi Bormann; Jeff Forward; Ian Bender; Peter Mumford; Bruce LaBounty; Jack Linn; and Matthew Stearn was present from MMCTV to tape the meeting.	
14 15 16	Mr. Hill called the meet	r. Hill called the meeting to order at 5:30 pm.	
17 18	Welcome and Public Comment		
19	Ms. Hill asked if there was any public comment but there was none.		
20 21 22	Executive Session		
23 24 25 26 27 28	Commons mobile hom executive session, at 5 motion carried 5-0. Als	r. Hill said that an executive session was necessary to discuss a potential contract with Riverview ommons mobile home park for a water and sewer extension. Mr. Sander offered a motion to enter executive session, at 5:35 pm, for contract negotiations and was seconded by Mr. Fischer and the otion carried 5-0. Also present would be the Manager, Peter Pochop of Green Mountain ngineering, and Mr. Chamberlin.	
29 30 31	•		
31 32 33	West Main Extension Update		
34 35 36		e were any assurances that the public could have that the financial impacts of won't have a negative impact on the system.	
37 38 39 40 41	opportunities were limit possibility of grant function	the water system needed to increase users to share costs, and our expansion ted. This project would possibly lower costs over time. That said, with the Is and the volume generated by the mobile home park make the project viable. ents, the project would not be a benefit to the system and could not go forward.	
42 43 44		we need grants and the revenue to have a financial reduction, with no isting users. This has been and remains a publicly stated objective.	
45 46 47 48	Ian Bender asked if this was written anywhere. Mr. Hill said that it was written in our Questions and Answers, which is online and will be distributed later. Mr. Granda added that it should be mentioned in the minutes of past meetings. There was some discussion about memorializing this.		
49 50 51 52		notion that stated we would make no expansion of the current system that does rease in total payments of existing users. This motion was much discussed, read:	
53 54		n will not approve the West main expansion unless the expansion results in a nts from existing users.	

17

19

Mr. Fischer seconded this motion.

Mr. Hill again stated that in order for the West Main project to move forward, we needed approval of the bond by the voters, an agreement to connect and pay by the mobile home park, and award of at least partial grants to lower the debt service.

Bruce LaBounty asked how would we show a decrease? It was important that our budgets reflected this.

11 Kathy Sikora says she paid \$10 more this past quarter than last year. 12

13 There was some additional discussion, and minor amendments to the motion. Mr. Granda offered the 14 motion as stated above and was seconded by Mr. Fischer and the motion carried 5-0. 15

16 Jeff Forward said that this project would change the development of the town substantially.

18 Request for Cost Sharing of West Main studies

The Manager explained that early on in the development of the West Main study, the Water Commission asked if the town would fund part of the cost of the studies. The Selectboard had never formally discussed this, however, \$1,000 of the first \$7,000 was charged to the Highway budget to cover the emergency access part of the original scoping study. To date, the studies and topographic survey have cost a total of \$15,000.

David Sander said that in the end, this would be a town asset and not something the water system
should pay for alone.

Mr. Granda asked if the Water Commission was asking the Selectboard for a specific amount of
 money?
 31

There was discussion. It was agreed that the Water Commission would request that the Selectboard
 cover an additional \$6,500 of the total costs of engineering studies to date, or about an additional
 \$6,500.

36 State Planning Loan

37 The Manager explained that USDA-Rural Development funding was available however an application 38 deadline of April 20th was coming up fast. Part of the application was a Preliminary Engineering 39 Report, which has not been authorized or completed. In order to meet the deadline, the Manager 40 recommended that we get started right after Town Meeting if we get a positive outcome on the bond vote. However, instead of waiting until the March 16th meeting, we should authorize an application to 41 42 the State for a planning loan to complete the PER now. This PER would cost \$10,770 from Green 43 44 Mountain Engineering. There was discussion on this and it was noted that no work would start now except the submission of the loan application. If the bond was not approved, work would stop. 45 46

47 Mr. Hill offered a motion to authorize the application for a state SRF planning loan in the amount of

48 \$10,770 for Green Mountain Engineering to complete a Preliminary Engineering Report for West

49 Main, contingent upon a successful bond vote. Mr. Fischer seconded the motion and the motion

- 50 carried 5-0.
- 51

52 Jeff Forward asked if we had to pay this back even if the bond vote did not pass? There was

53 discussion, and it was noted if the bond did not pass, the PER would not get completed. If the bond

54 did get passed but the project was never started or completed, then the planning loan would still need

55 to be repaid.

2 Bormann Water Allocation

The Manager explained that the Bormann property on Farr Road would soon be hosting a brewery,

however, the State was making them update their wastewater permit. An engineer had been hired to do this, and calculated they needed additional water allocation. They needed a total of 1,492 gallons of water and 948 gallons of sewer. They were requesting the difference between current and needed allocation and had already paid the allocation fees. This new brewery was seen as a positive development for the town, adding perhaps \$10,000 in revenue for the system.

Mr. Fischer offered a motion to grant preliminary and final approval of water allocation in the amount
of 892 gallons, for a total of 1,492 gallons, and sewer allocation in the amount of 588 gallons for a
total of 948 gallons, for account #1760. Mr. Sander seconded the motion and the motion carried 5-0.

14 15

18 19

23

1

16 **FY2016 Budget** 17

The budget would be discussed next at the March 2nd meeting.

20 <u>Warrants</u> 21

22 Warrants were reviewed and approved.

24 <u>Approval of Minutes</u>25

Mr. Sander offered a motion to approve the minutes of January 20, 2015 and was seconded by Mr.
Granda and the motion carried 4-0-1 with Mr. Fischer abstaining.

Mr. Sander offered a motion to approve the minutes of February 3, 2015 and was seconded by Mr.
Fischer and the motion carried 5-0.

32 Superintendent's Report

Mr. Chamberlin reported that the East Main Street waterline project was in the "pipeline" for state
 funding. Whether West Main was voted up or down, East Main also would require a vote this year.

- Mr. Hill said that the West Main project bumped the East Main project last year, but the condition of
 the line means the discussion needed to continue. There was additional discussion on the need to
 address East Main, and the funding deadlines. A vote would likely be needed in June or July this
 year.
- 40 41

For the next agenda, Mr. Hill noted we would discuss the Budget, West Main, the annual meeting, a short executive session for West Main and the superintendent's report.

44

45 <u>Adjourn</u> 46

47 Mr. Sander offered a motion to adjourn at 7:00 pm and was seconded by Mr. Granda. So voted.