RICHMOND WATER AND SEWER COMMISSION MEETING December 2, 2013 MINUTES Members Present: Ashley Lucht, Chair; Amy Lord; Chris Granda; Bard Hill		
Members A		Ashley Lucht, Chair; Amy Lord; Chris Granda; Bard Hill Bruce Bailey
Others Pre	sent:	Geoffrey Urbanik, Town Manager, Kendall Chamberlin, Water Resources; Peter Pochop, Green Mountain Engineering; Bob and Chris Fischer; Sheila Bailey; Harold Irish; Donna Lyons; Frank Stewart; John Rankin; Linda Parent, Town Clerk; and Ruth Miller was present from MMCTV to tape the meeting.
Ms. Lucht o	called the me	eeting to order at 6:00 pm.
	asked noted	that this was a policy-only meeting.
	Only Accoun	<u>ts</u>
Ms. Lucht s	nat were activ	needed to make changes to the Allocation-Only accounts, which were ve but not using any water, as well as the town's account that covered a set each year but was not adequately measured.
Mr. Chamb		at this was originally set up to cover 17,600 gallons per quarter and pay only xed costs. It was a "gallons only" account.
	er noted tha	at the cost for this was now \$5.00 per 1,000 gallons.
Mr. Hill said		as a question of value, not just a financial benefit for the system. The value of re protection was shared by all residents. We needed to quantify this value.
Mr. Chamb decision by quick figuri	the board a ng and noted	that the gallons-only account also included the sewer gallons, as a conscious t the time. He said it worked out to \$190.00 per month. Ms. Lucht did some d that under the new rates it should be about \$4,400 per year which would still the full value wasn't being seen.
	d that we sho	ould treat water as a water issue, and charging for sewer is a separate issue.
Mr. Granda		buildn't be constrained with the rates, since we are on a cost-based approach. w class of users to distribute the costs more broadly.
Mr. Hill said that the sch		r that the fire department provided a benefit to all properties. Mr. Granda said overnment accounts were paid for by more than just the village residents and ass.
Mr. Lucht s		new water storage tank's size was roughly ½ attributed to firefighting erlin said it was more like 2/3.
Ms. Lucht		e bond worked out to be \$30,000 per year, then \$15,000 should come from penefit of the tank.
	erlin sugges	ted we eliminated the \$14,000 admin fee payable to the town's budget.
Ms. Lucht		ep this and said that the town needed to recognize that the water and sewer are of administrative costs.

- 2 There was significant discussion on the topic of tank size and allocation of costs to the town. Ms.
- Lucht concluded that the Water Commission needed to submit to the town an amount to place into
   the budget for covering the value of the fire protection system. She suggested that \$15,000 would be
   roughly half of the cost figure of the debt payment for the water tank.
- 6 7 Mr. I lill proposed that the Water Commission ack the town to contribute a
- Mr. Hill proposed that the Water Commission ask the town to contribute an amount to the annual debt
  payment for the new water storage tank based on a pro-rated cost attributable to the firefighting
  protection value. Ms. Lord seconded the motion.
- Ms. Lucht said that this might be defined by the service area. There would also need to be an income survey of system users to determine eligibility for federal loans.
- Mr. Granda says he has supported this effort but we need to look at where we're coming from and where we need to be. He had no problem with the town paying something but wanted to tie the payment to an asset, not a concept. He said we've got several years before the tank is here and we should wait until then to be able to convince the town that what they are paying for is real. This needed to be a line the town budget that could be identified with the asset.
- 1920 The motion carried 4-0.

1

13

- 2122 <u>Rate Structure Discussion</u>
- 23 24 Mr. Granda offered a presentation on the effects of the new rate structure implemented earlier in the 25 year. He noted that the change had great benefits for most residential customers, however the costs of owning a restaurant, for instance, increased guite a bit. There was a series of charts to illustrate 26 27 this point. Mr. Granda said it was important for the town to recognize that we should have a diverse 28 business base in the village and the benefits that provides. People need to recognize what it means to have this and the costs that need to be shared to enable this to happen. The concept was that 29 30 certain classes of users pay more, some less, but the issue of equitability needed to be understood in 31 a different way from just you use more, you pay more. 32
- 33 <u>Financial Reports</u>34
- There was review and discussion of financial reports. Ms. Lucht noted that the budget needed to be adjusted in NERMC to reflect the changes made earlier. There was some discussion about septage revenue.
- 39 Mr. Hill said septage revenue was going down from \$185,000. Mr. Chamberlin said that two former 40 regulars had dropped out already. Mr. Granda added that this still was not a predictable source of 41 revenue and we can't budget for it.
- 42
- 43 Mr. Chamberlin presented a first draft of the FY2015 budget. He had removed the \$14,000 admin 44 fee, but this was put back in by the Water Commission. Mr. Chamberlin explained that he had
- 44 ree, but this was put back in by the water Commission. Mr. Chamberlin explained that he had 45 increased the reserve funding to pay for smaller projects that could be done in-house a year at a time.
- 46
- 47 Ms. Lucht appreciated Mr. Chamberlin's request but said that the reality of the system is that
- 48 sometimes we just don't have the revenue to support these projects every year.
- 49
- 50 <u>Adjourn</u>
- 51
- 52 Mr. Hill offered a motion to adjourn at 7:10 pm and was seconded by Ms. Lord. So voted.