TOWN OF RICHMOND PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT UPDATES FOR WATER STORAGE IMPROVEMENTS DECEMBER, 2013



Prepared by: Green Mountain Engineering 1438 South Brownell Road Williston, VT 05495 Phone: (802) 862-5590

Prepared for: Richmond Selectboard Town Center Building P.O. Box 285 Richmond, VT 05477

"INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS WITH A COMMON SENSE APPROACH, DELIVERED TO OUR CLIENTS IN A PROFESSIONAL, COST-EFFECTIVE AND PERSONAL MANNER"

Municipal Residential Water Wastewater Stormwater Site Work Project Management



TOWN OF RICHMOND, VERMONT INFRASTRUCTURE REHABILITATION PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT UPDATES FOR WATER STORAGE IMPROVEMENTS DECEMBER, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

LIST OF APP	PENDICES		ii
LIST OF FIG	URES		ii
SECTION I	CON	ICLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
	А.	Conclusions	I – 1
	В.	Recommendations	I-2
	C.	Project Costs and Financing	I-2
SECTION II	WA	TER STORAGE TANK ALTERNATIVES	
	А.	Design Criteria and Identification of Alternatives	II – 1
	В.	Description of Alternatives	II - 2
		1. Alternatives 1A and 1B – Lower Jericho Road Site	II - 2
		2. Alternatives 2A and 2B – Lower and Upper Jericho	
		Road Site	II - 2
		3. Alternatives 3A and 3B – Off-Site Location	II - 2
	С.	Alternatives' Analysis	
		1. Alternatives 1A and 1B – Lower Jericho Road Site	II – 3
		2. Alternatives 2A and 2B – Lower and Upper Jericho	
		Road Site	II - 4
		3. Alternatives 3A and 3B – Off-Site Location	II - 4
	D.	Fire Flow Issues	II - 4
SECTION III	PRC	DJECT COSTS	
	А.	Construction Costs	III – 1
	В.	Total Project Costs	III – 1
	С.	Operation and Maintenance Costs	III – 1
	D.	Present Worth Analysis	III – 1
SECTION IV	<u>PRC</u>	DJECT FINANCING	
	А.	State Funding	IV – 1
SECTION V	PRC	JECT SCHEDULE	V - 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Figures
APPENDIX B	Cost Opinion Tables
APPENDIX C	Present Worth Analysis
APPENDIX D	2013 Priority List - DWSRF

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>FIGURE</u>

TITLE

PAGE

1	Alternative #1A Lower Jericho Road Site	Appendix A
2	Alternative #1B Lower Jericho Road Site	Appendix A
3	Alternative #2A & #2B Lower and Upper Jericho Road Sites	Appendix A
4	Alternative #3A New Reservoir Site	Appendix A
5	Alternative #3B New Reservoir Site	Appendix A

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
1	Construction Cost Alternative #1A	Appendix B
2	Construction Cost Alternative #1B	Appendix B
3	Construction Cost Alternative #2A	Appendix B
4	Construction Cost Alternative #2B	Appendix B
5	Construction Cost Alternative #3A	Appendix B
6	Construction Cost Alternative #3B	Appendix B
7	Total Project Costs Alternatives #1A & #1B	Appendix B
8	Total Project Costs Alternatives #2A & #2B	Appendix B
9	Total Project Costs Alternatives #3A & #3B	Appendix B

SECTION I

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The purpose of this Preliminary Engineering Report Update is to further evaluate alternatives for a new reservoir capable of providing the ISO (Insurance Services Office, Inc.) recommended water storage volume and residual system pressures required by Richmond's dual-purpose (domestic and fire protection) water system. Based on the findings of the distribution system Preliminary Engineering Report by Green Mountain Engineering, dated September 2010 and the Supplemental Tank Preliminary Engineering Report dated December, 2012. This update includes specific investigations regarding required fire flows throughout the entire water distribution network, required tank volumes and elevations, and maximum system pressure such that the resulting static and dynamic pressures within the network do not necessitate pressure-reducing valves.

In addition, supplemental fire suppression issues and options at the elementary and middle schools are outlined in Section II of this report. Independent supplemental fire suppression systems (such as sprinkler systems) may be advised at these locations.

This Preliminary Engineering Report Update outlines future water system considerations and has been conducted in accordance with our Professional Engineering Services Agreement dated August 19, 2013. This report includes opinions of probable costs, comparisons of long term costs (Present Worth Analysis in Appendix C) and project financing for the recommended option.

A. <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

- 1. The current combined storage volume of roughly 250,000 gallons and top of water elevation of 498 feet do not provide the ISO-recommended volume of water and residual system pressures required by Richmond's dual-purpose system to provide full ISO fire flows.
- 2. Estimates indicate 750,000 gallons of storage is required with a top of water elevation of 537 feet. The 750,000 gallons is derived from a 630,000 gallon ISO fire flow volume requirement and a 120,000 gallon per day estimate of Average Daily Demand @ the end of the 30 year proposed financing period
- 3. Either of the existing storage tank sites could be used if standpipe-style (taller/narrower) tanks are constructed.
- 4. Since the acceptance of the Supplemental Report of December 2012, the Town of Richmond and GME have identified an offsite alternative location. The proposed location has allowed for a partially buried concrete tank to be considered, as long as the land can be purchased.

- 5. Utilization of the existing sites for a standpipe style tank system will require a variance from the Richmond Development Review Board since the necessary tank heights would exceed the 45' maximum structure height allowed in the zoning regulations.
- 6. Two cells or two tanks should be constructed in order to replicate the current ability to take one tank off line while utilizing the other during cleaning, repairs or to respond to a potential emergency situation.

B. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

- 1. If the identified off site location can be obtained at a reasonable cost, we recommend a single, two-cell, cast-in-place concrete tank be constructed at an offsite location, separate from the existing reservoir sites (Alternative # 3B).
- 2. If the offsite land is ultimately deemed unavailable, the preferred onsite alternative would be two (2) 34-foot diameter by 68-foot high Glass Fused to Steel tanks on the Lower Jericho Road site (the present steel reservoir site) (Alternative #1A).
- 3. Should the Town concur with our recommendations, an anticipated project schedule is presented in Section V of this report

C. PROJECT COSTS AND FINANCING

- 1. The opinion of probable total project costs for the recommended project (offsite) is approximately \$1,185,000. The opinion of probable total project costs for the recommended onsite alternative is \$1,193,000. The construction and total project costs for each of the 6 alternatives are presented in Appendix B.
- 2. The thirty year present worth analysis is presented in Appendix C and outlines a present worth value for the recommended offsite project of approximately \$1,218,000 and the onsite alternative at approximately \$1,299,000.
- 3. The Project financing options were calculated based on the best available information at the time of this Report and are subject to revision.
- 4. The utilization of State and Federal funding for this Project is dependent on the availability of funds, the Project's position on the State priority list, the readiness of the Project to proceed, and the Median Household Income of service area users as determined by an official income survey. Presently this project is ranked #3 on the DWSRF 2013 Priority List and is in the "fundable range".
- 5. Anticipated Project financing would result in an annual user fee increase of approximately \$125.00 per year, per ERU (Equivalent Residential Unit), assuming only water users pay for the improvements with a financing rate of 0% for 30 years.

SECTION II

WATER STORAGE TANK ALTERNATIVES

SECTION II

WATER STORAGE TANK ALTERNATIVES

A. <u>DESIGN CRITERIA AND IDENTIFICATION OF ALTERNATIVES</u>

There are several water storage tank options that can be utilized to satisfy the requirements of the Town of Richmond. Underground or partially buried tanks may be constructed of precast concrete, precast-prestressed concrete, traditional cast-in-place concrete, coated steel, fiberglass or polyethylene. Above ground tanks may be constructed of precast-prestressed concrete, bolted painted steel, bolted glass fused to steel or welded painted steel.

Alternatives have been developed based on the desired total tank capacity (750,000 gallons), the required top of water elevation, the land available at the existing reservoir sites, as well as the desire of the Town to consider a partially buried concrete, offsite alternative. Although the existing two-tank system does not provide full redundancy, it is an important feature of the existing system, and we recommend that it be maintained.

Two (2) possible areas exist at the existing reservoir sites on Jericho Road. Either the site of the existing 200,000 gallon steel tank (Alternatives 1A and 1B – Lower Jericho Road Site) or a combination of the lower site and existing 50,000 gallon buried concrete tank site (Alternative 2A and 2B – Lower and Upper Jericho Road Sites) would be adequate to accommodate either two side by side tanks or one tank on each site. For purposes of this report, we have also assumed an off-site location adequate in size (Alternatives 3A and 3B – Offsite) will be purchased by the Town within 350 feet of the existing reservoir site at an elevation adequate to provide for the appropriate top of water elevation of a buried concrete tank.

Precast concrete, fiberglass and polyethylene tanks will not be considered in this analysis as they are generally not practical or cost competitive in this tank size. A painted welded steel tank has also not been considered based experience with the existing tank and the desires of the Town of Richmond. The remaining tank materials will be considered at each site, if feasible.

An approximate top of water elevation of 537 feet is used for comparison and sizing of the proceeding tank alternatives.

B. <u>DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES</u>

1. <u>ALTERNATIVE 1 – LOWER JERICHO ROAD SITE</u>

In order to achieve the top of water elevation and provide the design volume, two possible tank types are considered at this site:

<u>Alternative 1A</u> - Two (2) 34 foot diameter by 68 foot high bolted, glass fused to steel storage tanks with a capacity of 374,000 gallons each.

<u>Alternative 1B</u> – Two (2) 36 foot diameter by 62 foot high precast, pre-stressed concrete tanks with a capacity of 426,000 gallons each.

A traditional cast in place concrete tank is not considered for this site due to structural limitations of the wall heights necessary to attain the proper top of water elevation.

2. <u>ALTERNATIVE 2 – LOWER AND UPPER JERICHO ROAD SITE</u>

In order to achieve the top of water elevation and provide the design volume, two possible tank types are considered at these sites:

<u>Alternative 2A</u> - A 36 foot diameter by 65 foot high bolted, glass fused to steel storage tank with a nominal total capacity of 428,000 gallons and a 36 foot diameter by 51 foot high bolted, glass fused to steel storage tank with a capacity of 319,000 gallons.

<u>Alternative 2B</u> - A 36 foot diameter by 65 foot high prestressed concrete storage tank with a nominal total capacity of 475,000 gallons and a 36 foot diameter by 51 foot high prestressed concrete storage tank with a capacity of 300,000 gallons.

A traditional cast in place concrete tank is not considered for this site due to structural limitations of the wall heights necessary to attain the proper top of water elevation.

3. <u>ALTERNATIVE 3 – OFF-SITE LOCATION</u>

We have considered two possible tank types two possible tank types to achieve the necessary design parameters at this site:

<u>Alternative 3A</u> – One (1) 68 foot diameter by 38 foot high precast, pre-stressed concrete concentric tank with an interior wall producing 2 cells and a capacity of 815,000 gallons.

<u>Alternative 3B</u> – One (1) two-cell cast-in-place concrete tank approximately 70 feet square by 24 feet deep and a capacity of 750,000 gallons.

The objective for including this site in the analysis was to design a tank that would be partially buried and not subject to weathering. For this reason, a glass-fused-to-steel tank was not considered.

C. <u>ALTERNATIVES' ANALYSIS</u>

Both economic and non-economic issues should be examined when comparing alternatives. Non-monetary issues such as vulnerability, method of construction and service life of each alternative should be considered. These factors may be considered subjective, but are presented for discussion purposes.

Although each of the tanks chosen to be considered have proven track records in Vermont with many examples nearby, it is generally accepted that a partially buried concrete tank will be less vulnerable to vandalism or terrorist activity and is best suited to survive a natural disaster, such as an earthquake (though the Glass Fused to Steel tanks <u>are</u> designed for the proper seismic zone).

A slight advantage may be given to factory-built or factory designed and coordinated tanks constructed by workmen that build dozens of tanks per year, versus traditional cast-in-place concrete tanks built onsite.

Finally, service life is proven for each of these tank alternatives, but an advantage should be given to concrete tanks, especially when buried and only partially exposed to the elements.

Specific advantages and disadvantages of each site are as follows.

1. <u>ALTERNATIVE 1 – LOWER JERICHO ROAD SITE</u>

Advantages

- A. There is no need to purchase additional land.
- B. The tank construction could be phased and the tanks could be built years apart to ultimately equal the recommended 750,000 gallons.

Disadvantages

- A. The Richmond water system would only have 50,000 gallons of storage during construction (the upper existing reservoir).
- B. Glass Fused to Steel tanks are expected to last 100 years or more with proper maintenance but no actual tanks are available to prove this claim. The life is expected to be well over the 30 year loan term anticipated for this project.
- C. The 30 year present worth is higher than that of the cast-in-place alternative proposed for the offsite location.
- D. Vandalism of above ground tanks may include graffiti, trespassers climbing on the structure and in the case of Glass Fused to Steel tanks, damage to the skin due to gunfire.
- E. A zoning variance is required to install tanks over 45' tall.

2. <u>ALTERNATIVE 2 – LOWER AND UPPER JERICHO ROAD SITE</u>

Advantages

- A. There is no need to purchase additional land.
- B. The tank construction could be phased and the tanks could be built years apart to ultimately equal the recommended 750,000 gallons.

Disadvantages

- A. Glass Fused to Steel tanks are expected to last 100 years or more with proper maintenance but no actual tanks are available to prove this claim. The life is expected to be well over the 30 year loan term anticipated for this project.
- B. The initial construction cost is slightly higher than the 2 tank alternatives on the lower site.
- C. Since both existing sites would be utilized, the construction would most likely encompass two construction seasons.
- D. Vandalism of above ground tanks include graffiti, trespassers climbing on structure and in the case of Glass Fused to Steel tanks, damage to the skin due to rifle shots.
- E. A zoning variance is required to install tanks over 45' tall.

3. <u>ALTERNATIVE 3 – OFF-SITE LOCATION</u>

Advantages

- A. The cast in place concrete option 3B is a lower cost option both in initial construction cost as well as the 30 year present worth cost.
- B. The buried concrete tank option is a common construction method which is proven to last more than 100 years with proper maintenance.

Disadvantages

- A. Land purchase is required to make this option viable.
- B. Site work is more complicated than that of Alternatives 1 and 2.

D. <u>FIRE FLOW ISSUES</u>

The addition of a new reservoir to the system with adequate capacity to provide ISO (Insurance Services Office, Inc.) fire flow <u>volume</u> would be achieved with any of the options presented herein. The fire flow <u>rates</u>, however, would not be achieved in all areas due to elevation, pipe size and pipe condition issues until the entire multi-phased construction of all of the upgrades outlined in the September 2010 report are completed.

Additionally, the available fire flows at the Middle and Elementary school area, although significantly increased over the existing condition by installing any of the recommended reservoir options, will not meet full ISO recommendation for flow from an individual hydrant or provide the required residual pressure at the school site under fire flow conditions. Due to the limited elevation difference between the schools and the reservoir (as outlined in the 2010 report), the available fire flow is approximately 2/3 of that recommended by ISO (2020gpm vs. 3000gpm as outlined in Appendix C of the 2010 report) @ a 20 psi residual pressure at the school site. The recommended 3000 gpm for 3 hours could be achieved in this area, if two pumper trucks are utilized at two different locations at the school complex, each pumping at 1500 gpm for 3 hours. Under this flow condition the residual pressure would be 14.5 psi at the school site. Raising the reservoir elevation higher than that outlined in this report to obtain the minimum 20 psi, would increase system pressures in lower locations above the 100 psi maximum requested by the town and would require a main pressure reducing valve or scores of individual pressure reducing valves to be installed in the system. The ultimate risk to the school structures and occupants could, however, be further mitigated through installation of fire suppression systems in the buildings.

SECTION III

PROJECT COSTS

PROJECT COSTS

A. <u>CONSTRUCTION COSTS</u>

Opinions of Probable Construction Cost are included in Appendix B.

B. <u>TOTAL PROJECT COSTS</u>

Opinions of Probable Total Project Cost are also presented in Appendix B. Total project costs include construction costs plus other project-related costs such as technical services, legal and fiscal concerns, administrative costs, construction engineering, project contingency, land acquisition, and interest on short-term loans. The Opinions of Total Project Cost for the recommended project will be used in Section IV to estimate anticipated user costs.

C. <u>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS</u>

General Operation and Maintenance costs are not expected to increase as the Town is currently required to maintain the existing storage tank. Operation and Maintenance costs are shown in the present worth analysis table to use for comparison of the tank types and locations.

D. <u>PRESENT WORTH ANALYSIS</u>

A table outlining the present worth of the two recommended alternatives is presented in Appendix C. This table represents the actual cost, in present dollar value, that the Town would have to spend in order to cover the anticipated tank construction and maintenance costs for the subsequent 30 years (the anticipated loan term).

SECTION IV

PROJECT FINANCING

PROJECT FINANCING

A. <u>STATE FUNDING</u>

The Town of Richmond is eligible to receive financial assistance from the State of Vermont for the proposed upgrade of the water storage tank. This assistance would be from the Drinking Water State Revolving Loan Fund (DWSRF) in the form of a low interest loan. Grants are not available under the current program though favorable interest rates (including negative interest) are available to make the project more affordable.

The Town completed a Priority List Application for this project for the 2013 DWSRF Program, and the project is currently ranked #3 and is in the range of fundable projects. It is anticipated that a loan would be available from this fund, with a 30-year term and 0% interest rate.

State funds have some limitations associated with them. Some of those limitations are:

- 1. The level of funding for the program is not guaranteed. The program can be dropped or reduced in the future.
- 2. Priorities for the projects are established in order to allocate the available Federal and State funds.
- 3. Annual operation and maintenance costs are not eligible for Federal or State funds.

For the current 320 equivalent users and based on an Opinion of Total Project Cost of approximately \$1,185,000 for the recommended project, and funding through the State of Vermont DWSRF program, the project would result in an <u>annual</u> user fee increase of \$125.00 per year, per Equivalent Residential Unit.

SECTION V

PROJECT SCHEDULE

PROJECT SCHEDULE

The following schedule is a proposed chronological listing of the activities that should follow the review of and concurrence with this Report Update by the Richmond Selectboard, Water Resources Department and Water and Sewer Commission.

Based on the current ranking of this project on the DWSRF Priority List, the project could receive funding from the 2013 (current) program.

Each year, new applications are received for the funds, and the projects are re-prioritized. Projects that are ready to advance, with planning complete and positive bond votes, will receive funding sooner as lingering projects are bypassed for not being ready to proceed. Therefore, the Town is encouraged to continue with planning for this necessary project as follows:

<u>Milestone</u>	Date
Review and approve Supplemental P.E.R. Update	November 2013
Submit Final Supplemental P.E.R. to Funding Agencies	December 2013
Authorize Final Design of Project	December 2013
Conduct Bond Vote	March 2014
Advertise for Bids	January 2015
Begin Construction	June 2015
Complete Construction	November 2015

APPENDIX A

FIGURES











APPENDIX B

COST OPINION TABLES

TOWN OF RICHMOND RESERVOIR REPLACEMENT PROJECT - ALTERNATIVE #1A TWO GLASS-FUSED-TO-STEEL RESERVOIRS OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

TABLE 1

Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Price	Total Cost
STORAGE AND CONTROLS UPGRADE				
2 New Reservoirs (374,000 gallons each):				
Clearing and Grubbing	0.5	Acre	\$6,000	\$3,000
Erosion Control	1	L.S.	\$5,000	\$5,000
Site Excavation	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
Yard Piping	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
Foundation, Overflow and Drain - Headwall	1	L.S.	\$5,500	\$5,500
Reservoir Base Prep.	2	L.S.	\$8,000	\$16,000
Reservoir Construction	2	L.S.	\$395,000	\$790,000
Finish Grading, Topsoil & Seeding	0.5	Acre	\$15,000	\$7,500
Driveway, Culverts, etc.	1	L.S.	\$10,000	\$10,000
Bedrock Removal (Blasting and Disposal)	0	C.Y.	\$110	\$0
New 16" Transmission Main	100	L.F.	\$110	\$11,000
Mixing System	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
Existing Reservoir Decommissioning	2	L.S.	\$5,000	\$10,000
Controls Upgrade	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
			SUBTOTAL	\$918,000
MISCELLANEOUS				
Site Preparation / Miscellaneous Work	1	L.S.	\$20,000	\$20,000
Contractor's Bond	1	L.S.	\$14,070	\$14,070
			SUBTOTAL	\$34,070
Construction Costs			SUBTOTAL	\$952,070
Contingency (10% of Construction Costs)				\$95,207
TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COSTS			TOTAL	\$1,047,277

NOTES:

- This Opinion of Probable Construction Cost is preliminary, pre-design only. Changes in design may significantly affect cost. More detailed costs, based on Final Design Quantities, to be provided upon completion of Final Design Phase engineering.
- 2. Costs prepared by Green Mountain Engineering, Inc. and are dated October 2013 and reflect costs of construction work completed mid in 2015.
- 3. Total estimated cost includes only project construction. Total does not include engineering, administrative, legal, fiscal and land acquisition costs.

TABLE 2 TOWN OF RICHMOND

RESERVOIR REPLACEMENT PROJECT - ALTERNATIVE #1B TWO PRE-CAST RESERVOIRS ON LOWER SITE OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

	<u> </u>			
Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Price	Total Cost
STORAGE AND CONTROLS UPGRADE				
2 New Reservoirs (426,000 gallons each):				
Clearing and Grubbing	0.5	Acre	\$6,000	\$3,000
Erosion Control	1	L.S.	\$5,000	\$5,000
Site Excavation	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
Yard Piping	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
Foundation, Overflow and Drain - Headwall	1	L.S.	\$5,500	\$5,500
Reservoir Base Prep.	2	L.S.	\$8,000	\$16,000
Reservoir Construction	2	L.S.	\$620,000	\$1,240,000
Reservoir Backfill	2	L.S.	\$5,000	\$10,000
Finish Grading, Topsoil & Seeding	0.5	Acre	\$15,000	\$7,500
Driveway, Culverts, etc.	1	L.S.	\$10,000	\$10,000
Bedrock Removal (Blasting and Disposal)	0	C.Y.	\$110	\$0
New 16" Transmission Main	100	L.F.	\$110	\$11,000
Mixing System	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
Existing Reservoir Decommissioning	2	L.S.	\$5,000	\$10,000
Controls Upgrade	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
MISCELLANEOUS			SUBTOTAL	\$1,378,000
Site Preparation / Miscellaneous Work	1	L.S.	\$20.000	\$20.000
Contractor's Bond	1	L.S.	\$20,970	\$20,970
	_		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	+==,===
			SUBTOTAL	\$40,970
Construction Costs			SUBTOTAL	\$1,418,970
Contingency (10% of Construction Costs)				\$141,897
TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COSTS			TOTAL	\$1,560,867

NOTES:

 This Opinion of Probable Construction Cost is preliminary, pre-design only. Changes in design may significantly affect cost. More detailed costs, based on Final Design Quantities, to be provided upon completion of Final Design Phase engineering.

2. Costs prepared by Green Mountain Engineering, Inc. and are dated October 2013 and reflect costs of construction work completed mid in 2015.

TOWN OF RICHMOND RESERVOIR REPLACEMENT PROJECT - ALTERNATIVE #2A TWO GLASS FUSED TO STEEL TANKS, ONE ON EACH SITE OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Price	Total Cost
STORAGE AND CONTROLS UPGRADE				
2 New Reservoirs (428K & 319K gallons):				
Clearing and Grubbing	0.5	Acre	\$6,000	\$3,000
Erosion Control	1	L.S.	\$7,500	\$7,500
Site Excavation	1	L.S.	\$20,000	\$20,000
Yard Piping	1	L.S.	\$20,000	\$20,000
Foundation, Overflow and Drain - Headwall	2	L.S.	\$5,500	\$11,000
Reservoir Base Prep.	2	L.S.	\$8,000	\$16,000
Reservoir Construction 428K Gallons	1	L.S.	\$342,000	\$342,000
Reservoir Construction 319K Gallons	1	L.S.	\$434,000	\$434,000
Finish Grading, Topsoil & Seeding	0.5	Acre	\$15,000	\$7,500
Driveway, Culverts, etc.	1	L.S.	\$10,000	\$10,000
Bedrock Removal (Blasting and Disposal)	0	C.Y.	\$110	\$0
New 16" Transmission Main	350	L.F.	\$110	\$38,500
Mixing System	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
Existing Reservoir Decommissioning	2	L.S.	\$5,000	\$10,000
				\$0
Controls Upgrade	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
			SUBTOTAL	\$949,500
MISCELLANEOUS				
Site Preparation / Miscellaneous Work	1	L.S.	\$20,000	\$20,000
Contractor's Bond	1	L.S.	\$14,543	\$14,543
				4
			SUBTOTAL	\$34,543
Construction Costs			SUBTOTAL	\$984,043
Contingency (10% of Construction Costs)				\$98,404
TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COSTS			TOTAL	\$1,082,447

NOTES:

 This Opinion of Probable Construction Cost is preliminary, pre-design only. Changes in design may significantly affect cost. More detailed costs, based on Final Design Quantities, to be provided upon completion of Final Design Phase engineering.

2. Costs prepared by Green Mountain Engineering, Inc. and are dated October 2013 and reflect costs of construction work completed mid in 2015.

TOWN OF RICHMOND RESERVOIR REPLACEMENT PROJECT - ALTERNATIVE #2B TWO PRECAST RESERVOIRS, ONE ON EACH SITE

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Price	Total Cost
STORAGE AND CONTROLS UPGRADE				
2 New Reservoirs (475K & 300K gallons):				4
Clearing and Grubbing	0.5	Acre	\$6,000	\$3,000
Erosion Control	1	L.S.	\$7,500	\$7,500
Site Excavation	1	L.S.	\$20,000	\$20,000
Yard Piping	1	L.S.	\$20,000	\$20,000
Foundation, Overflow and Drain - Headwall	2	L.S.	\$5,500	\$11,000
Reservoir Base Prep.	2	L.S.	\$8,000	\$16,000
Reservoir Construction 475K Gallons	1	L.S.	\$620,000	\$620,000
Reservoir Construction 300K Gallons	1	L.S.	\$470,000	\$470,000
Finish Grading, Topsoil & Seeding	0.5	Acre	\$15,000	\$7,500
Driveway, Culverts, etc.	1	L.S.	\$10,000	\$10,000
Bedrock Removal (Blasting and Disposal)	0	C.Y.	\$110	\$0
New 16" Transmission Main	350	L.F.	\$110	\$38,500
Mixing System	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
Existing Reservoir Decommissioning	2	L.S.	\$5,000	\$10,000
				\$0
Controls Upgrade	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
				:
			SURTOTAL	\$1 262 500
MISCELLANEOLIS			JUBIUIAL	\$1,205,500
INISCELENCOUS				
Site Preparation / Miscellaneous Work	1	L.S.	\$20,000	\$20,000
Contractor's Bond	1	L.S.	\$19,253	\$19.253
			. , .	
			SUBTOTAL	\$39,253
Construction Costs			SUBTOTAL	\$1,302,753
Contingency (10% of Construction Costs)				\$130,275
TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COSTS			TOTAL	\$1,433,028

NOTES:

1. This Opinion of Probable Construction Cost is preliminary, pre-design only. Changes in design may significantly affect cost. More detailed costs, based on Final Design Quantities, to be provided upon completion of Final Design Phase engineering.

2. Costs prepared by Green Mountain Engineering, Inc. and are dated October 2013 and reflect costs of construction work completed mid in 2015.

TOWN OF RICHMOND RESERVOIR REPLACEMENT PROJECT - ALTERNATIVE #3A						
PRE-CAST TANK WITH INTERIOR TANK - OFF SITE LOCATION						
Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Price	Total Cost		
STORAGE AND CONTROLS UPGRADE						
New Reservoir (815.000 gallon):						
Clearing and Grubbing	1	Acre	\$10.000	\$10.000		
Erosion Control	1	L.S.	\$5,000	\$5,000		
Site Excavation	1	L.S.	\$60,000	\$60,000		
Yard Piping	1	L.S.	\$30,000	\$30,000		
Foundation, Overflow and Drain - Headwall	1	L.S.	\$5,500	\$5,500		
Reservoir Base Prep.	1	L.S.	\$12,500	\$12,500		
Reservoir Concrete Construction	1	L.S.	\$955,000	\$955,000		
Finish Grading, Topsoil & Seeding	1	Acre	\$20,000	\$20,000		
Driveway, Culverts, etc.	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000		
Bedrock Removal (Blasting and Disposal)	400	C.Y.	\$110	\$44,000		
New 16" Transmission Main	350	L.F.	\$110	\$38,500		
Mixing System	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000		
Existing Reservoir Decommissioning	2	L.S.	\$5,000	\$10,000		
Controls Upgrade	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000		
			SUBTOTAL	\$1,235,500		
MISCELLANEOUS						
Site Preparation / Miscellaneous Work	1	L.S.	\$20,000	\$20.000		
Contractor's Bond	1	L.S.	\$18,833	\$18,833		
			SUBTOTAL	\$38,833		
Construction Costs			SUBTOTAL	\$1,274,333		
Contingency (10% of Construction Costs)				\$127,433		
TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COSTS			TOTAL	\$1,401,766		

NOTES:

1. This Opinion of Probable Construction Cost is preliminary, pre-design only. Changes in design may significantly affect cost. More detailed costs, based on Final Design Quantities, to be provided upon completion of Final Design Phase engineering.

2. Costs prepared by Green Mountain Engineering, Inc. and are dated October 2013 and reflect costs of construction work completed mid in 2015.

TOWN OF RICHMOND RESERVOIR REPLACEMENT PROJECT - ALTERNATIVE #3B CAST IN PLACE TWO CELL CONCRETE RESERVOIR - OFF SITE LOCATION OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Price	Total Cost
STORAGE AND CONTROLS UPGRADE				
New Reservoir (750,000 gallon):				
Clearing and Grubbing	0.8	Acre	\$8,000	\$6,400
Erosion Control	1	L.S.	\$5,000	\$5,000
Site Excavation	1	L.S.	\$60,000	\$60,000
Yard Piping	1	L.S.	\$40,000	\$40,000
Foundation, Overflow and Drain - Headwall	1	L.S.	\$5,500	\$5,500
Reservoir Base Prep.	1	L.S.	\$12,500	\$12,500
Reservoir Concrete Construction	1	L.S.	\$510,000	\$510,000
Finish Grading, Topsoil & Seeding	0.8	Acre	\$20,000	\$16,000
Retaining walls	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
Driveway, Culverts, etc.	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
Bedrock Removal (Blasting and Disposal)	400	C.Y.	\$110	\$44,000
New 16" Transmission Main	350	L.F.	\$110	\$38,500
Mixing System	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
Existing Reservoir Decommissioning	2	L.S.	\$5,000	\$10,000
Controls Upgrade	1	L.S.	\$15,000	\$15,000
MISCELLANEQUIS			SUBTOTAL	\$807,900
Inisellenteous				
Site Preparation / Miscellaneous Work	1	L.S.	\$20,000	\$20,000
Contractor's Bond	1	L.S.	\$12,419	\$12,419
			SUBTOTAL	\$32,419
Construction Costs			SUBTOTAL	\$840,319
Contingency (10% of Construction Costs)				\$84,032
TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COSTS			TOTAL	\$924,350

NOTES:

 This Opinion of Probable Construction Cost is preliminary, pre-design only. Changes in design may significantly affect cost. More detailed costs, based on Final Design Quantities, to be provided upon completion of Final Design Phase engineering.

2. Costs prepared by Green Mountain Engineering, Inc. and are dated October 2013 and reflect costs of construction work completed mid in 2015.

APPENDIX C

PRESENT WORTH ANALYSIS

Richmond	Stora	ge]	Fank Alt	ternati	ves	
30 YEAR P	RESEN	ML	ORTH A	NALYS	IIS	
Preliminary	y Engineerin _i	g Repoi	rt Updates - Oct	ober 2013		
	Two Cell (Cast-in	-place Buried	Two Glass	s-Fused	-to-Steel Tanks***
	Concre	te Tanl	k- Off Site	Low	er Jerio	cho Road Site
	A	ternati	ve 3B		Altern	ative 1A
Item	Cost	Type	Present Worth	Cost	Type	Present Worth
CONSTRUCTION(with 10% Contingency)	\$924,350	Р	\$924,350	\$1,047,277	Р	\$1,047,277
P.E.R., FD & CONS. ENGINEERING*	\$200,000	Р	\$200,000	\$180,000	Р	\$180,000
LEGAL / FISCAL / ADMIN. COSTS	\$10,000	Р	\$10,000	\$4,000	Р	\$4,000
PROPOSED LAND PURCHASE (Estimate)****	\$50,000	P	\$50,000	80	Ρ	\$0
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE**	\$2,000	Α	\$34,065	\$4,000	Α	\$68,130
TOTAL PRESENT WORT	H		\$1,218,415			\$1,299,407

Alternatives 1A and 3B are the recommended alternatives, depending on availability of Off-Site location (Alt. 3B) and the ability to obtain zoning variance for the tall (over 45') tanks (Alt. 1A)

* Engineering Fees Based on Estimate for Preliminary, Final Design and Construction Engineering **Assumes that the first-year O&M cost estimate will remain constant throughout the design life including additional pipeline maintenance for Alternative 3B **Interest/Discount Rate for comparison = 4.125%

******Design Life for comparison = 30 years

*** Assumes Zoning Variance for Tank Height over 45'

**** Purchase price is subject to Fair Market Value limit as determined by appraisal, within guidelines for funding established by the State of VT DWSRF Program P= Present Cost, A = Annualized Present Cost

Green Mountain Engineering, Inc.

October, 2013

APPENDIX D

2012 VT ANR DWSRF PRIORITY LIST

9. Projects Priority Lists and Anticipated Loan Recipients - Construction Loans

9.1. Comprehensive List – New Funding Commitments

2013 Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Capitalization Grant Comprehensive Project Priority List, August 26, 2013 Total Available Funding: \$12,320,686

	-	disv	WS Name	Pop	Project Description	Loan Amount	Rate	Term	Cumulative Total
VT0005212 CASTLETON F	0005212 CASTLETON F	CASTLETON F DISTRICT 1	Ë	1940	Replacement of existing tuberculated 10-inch cast iron transmission main with 12-inch ductile iron main on Main St. (RT 4A) from Seminary St. to Ellis Orchard Drive (urban section) and then up Ellis Orchard Dr. (rural section)	\$350,000	-3.00%	30	\$350,000
VT0005132 SWANTON VILI	0005132 SWANTON VILI WATER	SWANTON VILL WATER	AGE	2986	New 16' transmission line extending east along the Rail Trail, southeast on Waugh Farm Rd., and includes a new river crossing under the Missisquoi River by directional bore	\$1,922,025	3.00%	21	\$2,272,025
VT0005084 RICHMOND WA	0005084 RICHMOND WA	RICHMOND WA	TER	1000	Construction of new cast-in-place reservoir to provide domestic use and fire protection	\$1,190,157	3.00%	20	\$3,462,182
VT0005115 EAST BERKSHIR WATER COOP	0005115 EAST BERKSHIR WATER COOP	EAST BERKSHIR WATER COOP	ш	184	Water system upgrades to address significant deficiencies in the system, including filtration for groundwater under the direct influence of surface water	\$418,254	3.00%	20	\$3,880,436
VT0005048 SUTTON WATER SYSTEM	0005048 SUTTON WATER SYSTEM	SUTTON WATER SYSTEM		190	Engineering assistance, source exploration to resolve nitrate issues, and disinfection treatment improvements	\$100,000	3.00%	20	\$3,980,436
VT0005027 ROYAL PINE VILL	0005027 ROYAL PINE VILL	ROYAL PINE VILL		100	Construction of a new consolidated water storage tank and treatment facility	\$125,000	3.00%	20	\$4,105,436
VT0006707 HARWOOD UNION	0006707 HARWOOD UNION HIGH SCHOOL	HARWOOD UNION HIGH SCHOOL	_	006	Connection of a new well or wells to provide an adequate supply of water to meet the school's water demand	\$117,250	3.00%	50	\$4,222,686
VT0005076 HUNTINGTON FIR DISTRICT 1	0005076 HUNTINGTON FIR DISTRICT 1	HUNTINGTON FIR DISTRICT 1	щ	120	Replacement of the well supply line, control wiring, the distribution main to Roberts Park, and the backup line in the wake of a catastrophic flooding and landslide event	\$35,000	3.00%	20	\$4,257,686
VT0005261 CABOT TOWN WATER SYSTEM	0005261 CABOT TOWN WATER SYSTEM	CABOT TOWN WATER SYSTEM		250	Install 4,600' of water main and appurtenances to connect residents in Lower Cabot to the Town of Cabot Water System to eliminate private water supplies that have been contaminated by an active hazardous waste site	\$555,000	3.00%	20	\$4,812,686
VT0005045 ST JOHNSBURY WATER SYSTEM	0005045 ST JOHNSBURY WATER SYSTEM	ST JOHNSBURY WATER SYSTEM		5000	Waterline, valve, hydrant, and service replacement in conjunction with a larger water, wastewater, and stormwater project	\$400,000	-3.00%	õ	\$5,212,686

· ` Y												
\$6,412,686	\$8,652,686	\$9,852,686	\$11,777,686	\$11,970,686	\$12,320,686	\$12,620,686	\$13,720,686	\$13,775,686	\$14,530,686	\$15,438,686	\$15,471,686	\$16,221,686
30	50	20	30	20	30	20	50	20	20	20	20	20
-3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	-3.00%	3.00%	-3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%
\$1,200,000	\$2,240,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,925,000	\$193,000	\$350,000	\$300,000	\$1,100,000	\$55,000	\$755,000	\$908,000	\$33,000	\$750,000
Distribution line improvements to improve flow and pressure to the west end of Elm Street	Installation of approximately 2,500 linear feet of new 12-inch and 4,250 linear feet of 8-inch diameter ductile iron water main to replace the 100- year-old cast iron pipe on Central Street. Washington Street, Javis Lane, and King Street. This project will reduce excessive leakage in the 100-year-old distribution system. The project would also replace the 16 fire hydrants that have been "locked out" of service due to insufficient water line sizes and fire flows.	Construction of a second 1.0 mg storage tank at the same hydraulic grade line as the Aldis Hill Tank to provide adequate volume for domestic and fire flow conditions	Replacement of three 1.0 mgd clarifier filter units	Install new 12" water main below the river via directional boring or on the Bridge St. Bridge	Painting and refurbishment of existing water storage tank	Connection of the Lyman Meadows Water System to the Hinesburg Water System	Waterline replacement behind the old Plainfield Town Hall in an easterly direction to connect to a previously upgraded waterline segment	Storage reservoir rehabilitation, storage reservoir cleaning, control replacement, pump station roof structure reinforcement, additional blow-off valve installation, and customer meter upgrade/replacement to include back flow prevention, install and replace security at pump stations and sources	Construction of water system upgrades and appurtenances on East Main St.	Construction of water system upgrades and appurtenances on Bridge St., Depot St. and Pleasant St.	Installation of a new telemetry system for the pump and tank controls; project also includes replacement of existing filter media and chemical feed equipment	New well source to provide additional capacity and redundancy; connecting a new source may also result in less manganese
1630	5145	9956	5000	1000	576	2800	985	120	1000	1000	350	2800
DERBY LINE VILLAGE WATER DISTRICT	NORTHFIELD WATER DEPT	ST ALBANS WATER DEPT	ST JOHNSBURY WATER SYSTEM	RICHMOND WATER DEPT	ALBURGH VILLAGE WATER SYSTEM	HINESBURG WATER DEPT	PLAINFIELD WATER SYSTEM	GEORGIA STATION	RICHMOND WATER DEPT	RICHMOND WATER DEPT	WORCESTER FIRE DISTRICT 1	HINESBURG WATER DEPT
VT0020568	VT0005275	VT0005130	VT0005045	VT0005084	VT0005136	VT0005070	VT0005277	VT0005556	VT0005084	VT0005084	VT0005289	VT0005070
Ľ	Ľ.	Ľ			ш.	R	Ц	۳	Ę	ΗN	ЦZ	Ц
Z	z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	z	Z	Z
73	02	70	70	68	68	68	65	65	63	63	62	28

ŕ.,												
	\$16,571,686	\$18,071,686	\$18,321,686	\$18,396,686	\$18,446,686	\$18,486,686	\$18,986,686	\$19,386,686	\$21,886,686	\$22,362,686	\$22,762,686	\$23,062,686
	20	50	50	50	20	20	20	20	30	30	20	20
	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	-3.00%	-3.00%	3.00%	3.00%
	\$350,000	\$1,500,000	\$250,000	\$75,000	\$50,000	\$40,000	\$500,000	\$400,000	\$2,500,000	\$476,000	\$400,000	\$300,000
	Addition of second gravel pack well within the vicinity of existing well to provide redundancy	Addition of a new 200,000-gallon water storage tank to supplement the existing 40,000-gallon tank on Quechee Hartland Rd. and provide adequate storage and redundancy on the south side of the Ottaquechee River; also includes approximately 3,200 If of new transmission main	Construction of a new pump house, flushing hydrants, and water meters	Abandonment of the existing Well #2 Building and installation of a new submersible-based pump station	Reconstruction of distribution manifold and installation of blow-off devices and sampling bib	Installation of master meters for the three lines coming into the park from the Town of Bennington Water System and installation of flushing valves at distribution dead-ends	Water distribution improvements (Phase VI, Contract 2)	Relocation of waterline on Route 2 and Industrial Avenue	12-inch diameter ductile iron water main to replace approximately 8,940 lf of 10-inch diameter asbestos cement main and 325 lf of 8-inch diameter ductile iron main on Route 5	Replacement of 6-inch main on Mill St. with 8-inch ductile iron piping	Replacement of deteriorated 6-inch cast iron main with 600 If of new 8-inch water main and an additional 900 If of 8-inch to complete a distribution system loop	Installation of water meters for a currently unmetered system
	446	2262	09	1512	144	125	4500	7690	3700	3700	1490	915
	BAKERSFIELD FIRE DISTRICT 1	QUECHEE CENTRAL	TUCKERVILLE MHP	BRADFORD VILLAGE WATER SYSTEM	GREEN LANTERN MHP	WHITE BIRCHES MHP	LYNDONVILLE WATER SYSTEM	WILLISTON WATER DEPT	BELLOWS FALLS WATER DEPT	BELLOWS FALLS WATER DEPT	CHESTER WATER DEPT	BETHEL WATER DEPT
	VT0005114	VT0005320	VT0005637	VT0005170	VT0020820	VT0020801	VT0005040	VT0005098	VT0005298	VT0005298	VT0005318	VT0005315
	ЦZ	Ц	Ц	Ц	Ц	Ц	R	R	Ľ	Ц	Щ	Ц
	z	Z	z	Z	z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z
	57	SS	55	23	50	45	45	40	40	35	25	13

Notes:

1) Total available funding is based on Federal Capitalization Grant, State Match, interest earnings, and other fund income.

2) Funding Type: E = Emergency Project, C = Continuing Project, N = New

3) Funding Status: F = Fundable Project, NF = Non Fundable Project.

4) Final eligibility determinations will be made at time of the loan application review.

5) Interest rates and loan terms are preliminary estimates only.

(6) Interest rates and loan terms for new water systems is initially set to 3%, 20 years and is subject to change as further information becomes available.
7) For multi-year projects that anticipate subsequent years of DWSRF funding, interest rates may change as the loan value increases.
8) Point totals are subject to change as further documentation may be required to substantiate the priority points awarded.

41

, D