1 Richmond Planning Commission 2 Wednesdee May 17, 2017	
2 <u>Wednesday, May 17, 2017</u>	
3 Unapproved Minutes	
4 Members Present: Brian Tellstone, Sean Foley, Lauck Parke, Mark Fausel, Alex Bross	ım
5 Absent: Joy Reap	
6 Others Present: Judy Rosovsky, Jeff Forward, Chris Granda, Clare Rock (Staff)	
7	
8 <u>Administrative Items & Updates</u>	
9 Approve meeting minutes from January 4, 2017, April 19, 2017, and May 3, 2017. The	
10 cannot approve May 3, 2017 minutes due to lack of quorum of those present at the May	3
11 meeting.	
12 Fausel made a motion to approve January 4 2017 minutes, seconded by Tellston	<u>e, all in</u>
13 <u>favor. So voted. Fausel abstained.</u>	
14 <u>Tellstone made a motion to approve April 19, 2017 minutes, seconded by Fause</u>	<u>, all ın</u>
15 <u>favor. So voted. Parke Abstained.</u>	
 Updates – Rock stated that the new Zoning Administrator is scheduled to start May 31, 	2017
 Rock also mentioned she attend the regular Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) held a 	
attended the CCRPC which included an introduction about the Municipal Energy Data (
which will be talked about later in the evening and about the energy efficiency building	Surdes
21 requirements, which include builders to submit a RBES when they file a Certificate of	
22 Occupancy.23	
24 <u>Annual Organization Meeting</u>	
25 Review Rules of Procedure and Code of Ethics - PC members in attendance signed the	
26 Ethics Acknowledgement Form. The PC will wait until the additional members are pres	ent at the
27 next meeting to elect officers.	
28 20 Team Dian	
 <u>Town Plan</u> Energy Plan Update – The PC received a copy of the CCRPC Municipal Energy Data G 	uido ond
accompanying memo in their packets. Rock also bought up the document and accompany	
maps on the projector screen.	lynig
33	
Foley directed PC attention to pages 9 and 10 of the handout, based upon Richmond's to	otal
existing energy generation (page 9) and the CCRPC's renewable energy targets on page	
36 Richmond is already achieving its target for 2050. Foley suggest we respond to CCRPC	
indicating our agreement with the targets and recognition that we are consistent with the	e State's
energy goals. At the local level we can be working on other aspects such as weatherizat	
conversion way from fossil fuels and improvements in transportation. Richmond should	
40 States energy goals into its new town plan and that way we'd be meeting the new energy	у
41 planning requirements. But the biggest area of attention should be the maps.	
42 A2 Discussion followed shout the many Rescusive provided a background on the inputs of	tha
 Discussion followed about the maps. Rosovsky provided a background on the inputs of conservation commission. Bob Low also submitted comments. Rock distributed copies 	
 44 conservation commission. Bob Low also submitted comments. Rock distributed copies 45 Memo, dated 5/17/2017 regarding the CCRPC Municipal Energy Data Guide and accommentation 	
46 CCRPC memo and constraints map. Low is concerned that the local constraints, such as	

1 identified within the Science to Action report and the CC's draft Town Plan Chapter Natural and 2 Working Lands have not been recognized in the CCRPC listing of local constraints. 3 4 The PC would like to see an overlay of the CCRPC maps with the CC's maps. Then the PC 5 could submit comments to the RPC reiterating some of the local possible constraints. 6 7 Foley offered to sit down with Low and overlay the maps and develop a list of local "possible" 8 constraints for PC review at their next meeting. Rock will follow up with the RPC about getting 9 the GIS layers. 10 11 Discussion followed about the various scales on energy generation facilities, could the town 12 include language in the town plan which indicates support for smaller scale generation vs 13 commercial size generation. Foley indicated that the state would differentiate. 14 15 Brosam asked about a previous reference of the wind study which was undertaken. Attendees 16 didn't recall this study and suggested that if a wind study was done, maybe by RGS, it might not 17 be public, and there wasn't any wind speed data collected in Richmond. 18 19 Forward, who has sat on the RPC's energy committee, added that the state is expecting most 20 future generation from solar and that he feel the RPC has been doing a good job. Brief discussion followed from Forward and Granda about their support for building energy codes and support of 21 22 including greater energy efficiency building standards with in the town regulations, such as the 23 State stretch codes. 24 25 Town Plan Review - continued review of Town Plan initial comments as contained within the 26 Town Plan Review Matrix, dated 5/15/2017. The PC continued the review of the comments 27 starting on line 5: 28 On the topic of affordability and tax increases – looking at both 29 line 5 and line 10, Parke would like a more depth analysis of 30 town spending broken down by department. Parke believes this is the core of the affordability discussion. 31 32 Need to add to matrix: do we support the expansion of the 33 water and sewer line? 34 Line 12 – PC hesitant to add more information to this topic. 35 Line 13 and line 14 – keep the statutory language within the 36 draft but then remove in the final draft and insert the RPC's 37 review of state goals and municipal requirements as an 38 appendix or similar. 39 Line 15 – yes add language into column F 40 Line 16 & 17 – will wait on adding more info on these topics. Line 19 – yes, add this text to the "Discussion" chapter 41 Lines 20 & 21 – yes make these changes/clarifications 42 Line 22 – yes, also add reference to multimodal and light rail 43 44 Line 23 – maybe we already have language like this? 45 After line24 – insert discussion about eco development and downtown vibrancy and the need for more downtown parking. 46

- 1 Jon Rankin supplied some draft town plan language see email handout dated May 15, 2017.
- 2 Rock distributed.3
- 4 Bob Low emailed a revised/updated Natural and Working Lands Chapter for PC review based
- 5 upon the discussions at the PC at the April meeting. Rock has not had a chance to review this
- 6 yet.
- 7
- 8 Adjourn
- 9 <u>Tellstone made a motion to adjourn, seconded by Fausel, all in favor. So voted.</u>
- 10
- 11 The meeting ending at 9:00pm
- 12 Respectfully submitted by Clare Rock, Town Planner
- 13