Town of Richmond Housing Committee Notes

Housing Committee Meeting

Meeting Notes

Date: May 26, 2022 Time Started: 7:34 Time Ended:9:05

Ravi Venkataraman (Host)

Present: Virginia Clarke, Mark Hall, Sarah Heim (Chair), Connie van Eeghen, Kristen Hayden-West

Guest: (none) Absent: (none)

Quorum is 4; 4 votes to pass any motion

1. Welcome and troubleshooting

2. Approval of April 21, 2022 meeting minutes

a. Moved to accept: Kristen Hayden-West

b. Seconded: Sarah Heimc. Unanimously passed

3. Adjustments to Agenda

a. Committee membership (and recruitment in future meetings)

4. Committee membership

- a. Sarah cannot do another 2 years but could serve another six months; she will submit paperwork for reappointment and stay as long as she can
- b. Mark Hall will also apply for reappointment; Kristen will do so as well (although she too may not be able to complete her term)
- c. Transportation Committee's policy: outgoing members recruit replacements
- 5. Brainstorm advocacy efforts
 - a. Opportunities for visibility: 4th of July; Farmers' Market; other public event
 - b. Discussion of what our message might be: a specific call to action for the next six months, e.g. a zoning regulation
 - i. Planning Commission is working on family dwellings that have 3-4 units; this is a big step, as the past zoning laws have been protective of single family homes. This includes rental units as well as ownership. Considering introducing in some districts the possibility of 3-4 units in a building. We could work on developing voices to support this step. Could be new construction or conversation of existing structures.
 - 1. 3-4 unit structures in the "permitted" use.
 - 2. More than 4 unit (5-8) structures in the "conditional" use. There is plenty of demand, based on Brandy's report.
 - 3. PUD (Planned Unit Development) takes a long time; the DRB can take a long time. It's possible to allow the Zoning Administrator to permit based on standards, shortening time and reducing cost.
 - 4. This is an important and valuable change to support; it will take time, a "gentle infill" process. This includes Planning Commission public hearings, Selectboard public hearings, and, if there is controversy, town voting. If not, the Selectboard can vote on changing zoning.
 - 5. To be completed over the next few months (by the beginning of July); the Planning Commission is focused on two districts: main street commercial/residential and Gateway.
 - 6. The message needs to be clear and consistent, with a way to follow up for questions/comments
 - a. The Planning Commission is almost ready to state its position and the background (need for housing based on availability
 - b. Two outlets we can use: Times Ink and FPF; others could include the Farmer's Market and Fourth of July Sarah will do some research on this

- c. Intro: "we've been talking about housing in Richmond, because of the housing shortage here. What's your opinion about moving to more 3-4 units/building structure?"
- d. We'd have about a month to do this community education/awareness work once the Planning Commission finalizes its decision. Good to work on the background now.
 - i. Sarah: will find the key bullet points from Brandy's report as a draft for a handout/poster/flyer. Connie will assist.
- ii. Jolina Ct has the possibility of 45 additional units of housing; they could support more. Is it more equitable to have more housing, or is the type of housing important, such as diverse selections including condos and multi-unit housing? Buttermilk has presented a proposal to accept the burden of 10 affordable units (but only for ten years) for an additional 45 units. Currently have 14 units; they are planning a second building with 31 units with commercial space on the ground floor (no affordable units planned). If they could have 90 units, they can support (10 or 20) affordable units.
 - 1. Same maximum height: 35 feet (usually 3 stories). Second building will be behind the first.
 - 2. Would need to get rid of commercial space first floor requirement; it's not getting used.
 - 3. Would need to reduce parking requirement.
 - 4. They have 6 acres, of which 3 are buildable.
 - 5. Planning Commission is studying this proposal for feasibility. There might be other considerations: traffic lights or other traffic mitigation strategies, Act 250
 - 6. Jeff Forward priced out the cost units; they are in the "affordable" range per HUD, although not guaranteed to be affordable (studios (300sf) and one bedroom). This is based on Buttermilk's price point (80% of AMI [area median income]), not on the incomes of the current residents. Not likely to be affordable for those with the lowest income unless subsidized le.g. by Cathedral Square).
 - 7. The change process is similar: Planning Commission public hearings, Selectboard hearings...
 - a. Other routes for change: private contracts with the Town
 - 8. This committee is looking for other voices to help study this proposal
- iii. Farr Farm: another possibility to be considered. Note that Affordable Housing takes a lot of work; developers fund it hard to finance/support.
- iv. Ag/Res district is another area of focus
- 6. Discuss list of priorities and takeaways from the Housing Study to the Planning Commission and Selectboard (tabled for next meeting)
- 7. Other business, correspondence, and adjournment
 - a. Upcoming meeting schedule. Based on the regular meeting schedule, the ensuing four Housing Committee meetings are on:
 - i. June 9th (all available)
 - ii. July 14th (Connie absent)
 - iii. August 11th (all available)
 - iv. September 8th (Sarah absent)
 - b. Next meeting: June 9th at 7:30
 - c. Proposed agenda to include: Update from Planning Committee; Advocacy plan for the issue of 3-4 units/structure (Sarah, Connie); priorities from Housing Study (tabled from last meeting)
 - i. Moved to adjourn: Connie van Eeghen
 - ii. Seconded: Virginia Clarke
 - iii. Unanimously passed

Recorded by Connie van Eeghen