Richmond Planning Commission

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES FOR October 21, 2020

Members Present: Chris Cole, Virginia Clarke, Chris Granda, Alison Anand, Mark Fausel,

Brian Tellstone, Jake Kornfeld

Members Absent: Joy Reap

Others Present: Ravi Venkataraman (Town Planner/Staff), Christy Whitters, Marshall

Paulsen, Caitlin Littlefield

1. Welcome and troubleshooting

Chris Cole called the meeting to order at 7:02 pm.

2. Adjustments to the Agenda

Ravi Venkataraman informed the Planning Commission that Zoning Administrator Suzanne Mantegna will be leaving the position next month. Venkataraman said that Mantegna will be sorely missed but wishes her all the best. Venkataraman let the commission know that he will be taking on some or all of her duties while the town finds her replacement. Venkataraman informed the commission that the Selectboard approved the amendments regarding 24 V.S.A. 4413 to the Zoning Regulations during its October 19, 2020 meeting, and that those amendments will go into effect on November 10, 2020.

3. Public Comment for non-agenda items

None

4. Approval of Minutes

Motion by Mark Fausel to approve the October 7, 2020 Planning Commission Meeting minutes, seconded by Alison Anand. Voting: unanimous. Motion carried.

5. Discussion on Outreach Schedule

Virginia Clarke overviewed the draft outreach schedule, village-related Town Plan goals, and methods to implement listed goals. Cole said the village-related Town Plan goals provide good context for the public, that the outreach schedule would be the commission's work plan for the upcoming winter months, and that the commission would be drafting regulations in the spring. Cole asked Clarke if the commission should discuss the list of Town Plan goals. Venkataraman said that both the list of village-specific town plan goals Clarke prepared and the all the Town Plan goals for the Planning Commission is included in the packet. Cole said that it was important for the commission to link its work back to the town plan and appreciated Clarke's condensed version. Venkataraman said that he will insert a link to the Town Plan in the outreach schedule. Anand said the schedule looks good, and that the schedule can be revised based on the pace of the Planning Commission. Venkataraman said he'd be inputting the Zoom meeting information for each meeting in the outreach schedule. Clarke said that the commission could hold a second round of outreach work. Caitlin Littlefield and Marshall

Paulsen said they had issues accessing tonight's meeting. Venkataraman apologized and said he will make sure the correct meeting information is circulated in future postings.

45 46 47

44

6. Discussion on Town Plan goals regarding Richmond Village

48 49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62 63

64 65

66

67

68

Clarke asked about compiling a list of guestions to ask residents during the future meetings. Cole concurred about having a list of questions. Anand agreed, and suggested providing an option for people to pose questions. Venkataraman suggested including the questions in the meeting packet. Cole agreed. Clarke suggested posting the questions with the meeting materials prior to the meeting. Cole recommended asking residents how they envision their neighborhood and how it coincides with the Town Plan goals. Cole said that discussions on density should include discussions on how the higher density should look. Granda said that the commission is more likely to get constructive answers with concrete proposals for feedback from the public. Granda added that this would orient the public on the role and powers of zoning. Anand asked if the commission would like to put together questions during this meeting. Cole concurred with Granda, and suggested including links to the "Zoning For Great Neighborhoods" booklet in the outreach plan. Cole suggested a subcommittee to work on the questions prior to the next meeting. Anand, Jake Kornfeld, Clarke, and Granda volunteered to help create questions. Venkataraman said he will send out the outreach plan over the next week, and encouraged the commission members to reach out to their respective neighbors to join the meeting. Paulsen suggested having neighborhood captains per neighborhood to encourage public participation and volunteered to be a neighborhood captain for Pleasant Street. Cole said it was a great idea. Christy Whitters volunteered to be a neighborhood captain for the Tilden-Baker-Milet Street neighborhoods. Cole suggested recruiting other neighborhood captains. Whitters said she could look into having people participate. Paulsen asked for clarification on the areas under consideration. Cole said that all of the village is under consideration, but each part of the village have different aspects and elements to consider.

69 70 71

7. Discussion on "Zoning for Great Neighborhoods"

72 73

74 75

76

77

78

79 80

81

82

83 84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

Cole asked if the Planning Commission had the opportunity to read the "Zoning for Great Neighborhoods "document, and overviewed how the document is straightforward in its suggestions for increasing density in communities. Anand asked if the "Zoning For Great Neighborhoods" document was related to the form-based code elements document in the packet. Venkataraman said yes, that he borrowed a number of graphics from the "Zoning for Great Neighborhoods" document, and that "Zoning for Great Neighborhoods" is more so arguing for Smart Growth rather than form-based zoning as a whole. Cole said he liked the "cottage court" type development and methods for accessory dwelling units on properties. Anand said that the "cottage court" type development could be a way to do affordable housing. Venkataraman said it could be one way to develop affordable housing based on the costs of creating affordable housing. Clarke said she liked the methodology section of the document. and suggested methods from the list for the commission to consider. Cole noted that in the document Venkataraman compiled that Shelburne has a form-based overlay district, and asked if those regulations are in line with the commission's considerations. Venkataraman said that Shelburne's formbased code is an optional code one could develop according to, that currently it has no allowances to utilize existing buildings and take advantage of the form-based code, and that he found it unwieldy at times. Cole asked about the geographic extent and the purpose of the form-based code. Venkataraman said it covered the Shelburne Road corridor in order to revitalize the corridor. Clarke said she liked the document because it discussed implementing the elements of form-based code, and would want to integrate form-based code elements into the regulations instead of entirely new form-based code. Venkataraman agreed because a full form-based code can become unwieldy, and said that he recommends that the commission could draft a "form-based code light" into the existing regulations.

93 94 95

8. Notice from Town of Hinesburg on Town Plan update

99

100

101

102103

104

105 106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113114

Venkataraman overviewed the Town of Hinesburg's proposed energy section of their Town Plan, calling it a robust document listing renewable energy goals and ideals for solar siting. Cole asked if Granda reviewed the document. Granda said he had not, intends to review the document, and provide further guidance to the commission on meeting energy goals and stretch code. Clarke said that the Town Plan already has an extensive energy section, and that revisions may be required in the zoning regulations. Granda said that there are two issues at hand regarding energy: (1) renewable energy siting, and (2) building standards for energy efficiency. Cole asked how the building standards would implemented if the planning and zoning office doesn't regulate building codes. Venkataraman said that stretch code can be adopted and enforced via zoning, and only South Burlington has adopted stretch code. Granda said that Hinesburg stipulated that new construction must participate in Efficiency Vermont's program, and that this would be possible for Richmond to incorporate. Venkataraman said that Granda may be suggesting a middle ground between adopting the stretch code and current practices by validating compliance with the state energy codes, because under current practices, the town requires all Certificate of Occupancy applications to include a building energy standards certificate per statute, but Planning and Zoning couldn't tell if the certificate form is completed correctly. Venkataraman pointed out the flaws in the current system of self-validation, and that Efficiency Vermont would be able to ensure compliance. Clarke suggested density bonuses for energy efficiency, and the consideration of the results of the Global Warming Solutions Act.

115116117

9. Notice from Town of Williston on amendments to the Unified Development Bylaw

118 119 120

Venkataraman overviewed the changes to the parking requirements and development allowances within the watershed protection buffer in the Town of Williston Unified Development Bylaw.

121 122

123

124

125

126

127128

129

130

131

132133

134

135

136

137

138 139

140

141142

10. Update on recent legislative changes

Venkataraman reviewed Town of Hinesburg Director of Planning and Vermont Planners Association's Legislative Liaison Alex Weinhagen's email on legislative changes in the past year. Cole asked for clarification on the changes to accessory dwelling unit allowances. Venkataraman said that the changes to state statute increase allowances for accessory dwelling units, and clarifications on regulating shortterm rentals. Clarke asked for an itemized list the commission will need to consider. Venkataraman said that with the recent housing bill, he does not expect the need to make any major changes to the regulations. Cole said that there are points in this list the commission will need to consider, including the lifting of the character of the area review criteria for certain multifamily dwelling uses. Venkataraman said that this exception would need to be made explicit in the zoning regulations, but that it is bad practice to deny permits for uses based on the character of the area if the use is listed as a permitted or conditional use for the district the area is located within. Cole asked if the commission needed to consider other bills. Venkataraman overviewed the retail cannabis bill, and specified that cannabis is not regulated as an agricultural product and that cannabis cultivation isn't subject to "opting in", and thus the commission should discuss regulating cannabis cultivation, testing, warehousing, and distribution. Cole asked about state permits for cannabis cultivation. Venkataraman said yes and that that requirement has been in place for a while now. Cole agreed that the commission should discuss regulations for cannabis cultivation, testing, warehousing, and distribution, and that the commission should talk to the Selectboard on this matter. Clarke suggested looking into what other towns are doing, and thanks Venkataraman for sharing the correspondence. Venkataraman said that he will keep the commission updated, and that nearby municipalities haven't had much discussion on cannabis yet.

143 144

11. Other Business

145 146 147

148

149

150

Cole asked Caitlin Littlefield if she was interested in serving on the Planning Commission. Littlefield said that she found the meeting interesting and will be submitting a letter of interest. Venkataraman said that the next meeting agenda will include reviewing letters of interest from applicants to serve on the Planning Commission.

 Clarke said that the Housing Committee will be meeting next week. Cole suggested that Clarke provide an update on the Housing Committee work during the next Planning Commission meeting. Mark Fausel suggested touching base with all the other town boards and committees. Cole suggested that the commission should think about serving as liaisons with the other town boards and committees. Cole asked Granda to discuss energy planning and renewable energy siting in a future meeting. Granda accepted, and said he will look into having a discussion prepared for an upcoming meeting.

12. Adjournment

Motion by Granda, seconded by Tellstone to adjourn the meeting. Voting: unanimous. Motion carried. The meeting adjourned at 8:47 pm.

164 Respectfully submitted by Ravi Venkataraman, Town Planner