| 1 2 3 | RICHMOND SELECTBOARD REGULAR MEETING June 19, 2012 MINUTES | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 4 5 6 7 | Members Present: | Chris Granda, Chair; June Heston, Vice Chair; Neil Boyden; Amy Lord; Ashley Lucht | |
| 7 8 9 10 | Absent: Others Present: | None Geoffrey Urbanik, Town Administrator; Mary Houle; Jon Kart; Tyler Gingras, Green Mountain Engineering; Harold Irish and Ruth Miller was present to videotape the meeting for MMCTV Channel 15. | |
| 11 | Chair Granda called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM. | | |
| 12 | <u>Welcome and Public Comment</u> Chair Granda asked if there were any comments from the public, but there were none. | | |
| 13 14 15 | | | |
| 16 | 2. <u>Treasurer Discussion</u> | | |
| 17 18 | Me. I ught said that she | e, Jon Kart, Lisa Liberty, Kim Moreno and the Administrator met last week to | |
| 18 19 | discuss a proposed job description for the Town Treasurer. Ms. Lucht reviewed the proposed | | |
| 20 | description with the Selectboard, including essential frequent duties, essential infrequent duties and | | |
| 21 | nonessential duties. | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | tatute gives authority for most of what is in the job description, but the statutes | |
| 24 | don't recognize that a town might employ a financial person. How much do we want our treasurer to do? | | |
| 25 26 | uo? | | |
| 20 27 | There was some discussion. Mr. Boyden noted that the Selectboard should approve on investments, | | |
| 28 | not the Town Manager. Ms. Lord asked if VLCT's Bill Hall should be consulted on our proposal. | | |
| 29 | | | |
| 30 | Ms. Heston said that this was an oversight position in Richmond, and four to five hours per week | | |
| 31 | should be enough. There is nothing saying that the Treasurer needs to work with the auditors. | | |
| 32 | | | |
| 33 | Ms. Lucht said that Lisa Liberty noted that the Finance Director worked with the auditors, as the audit usually took place during working hours. | | |
| 34 25 | usually took place duri | ng working hours. | |
| 35 36 | Chair Granda said that | the Selectboard needed to fill the position, and asked if this description was far | |
| 30 37 | enough along to facilitate recruiting for the position. | | |
| 38 | chough along to racint | the recruiting for the position. | |
| 39 | Ms. Lucht said that they were still working on revisions but what exists now should work fine to give | | |
| 40 | an idea of what is expected. | | |
| 41 | _ | | |
| 42 | Ms. Heston asked the Administrator if he saw any conflicts with what was proposed and the Town | | |
| 43 | Manager position. The Administrator said that there were no apparent conflicts of authority. | | |
| 44 | | Chi Chi Li Li Chi Li Li Li Li Li Chi Li Chi Chi Chi Chi Chi Chi Chi Chi Chi Ch | |
| 45 46 | Chair Granda asked if this could be revised and brought back for the July 2 nd meeting. Chair Granda asked if anyone was not planning on being in attendance at the July 2 nd meeting, and it appeared that | | |
| 40 47 | no one had any plans to be away. | | |
| 48 | no one nue any plans t | , oo umuj. | |
| | | | |

- 1 Chair Granda suggested that from now on, all Selectboard meetings start at 7:00 PM. Ms. Lucht
- offered a motion to begin all regular Selectboard meetings at 7:00 PM and was seconded by Mr.
 Bovden.
- 4

7

9

5 Ms. Lucht suggested that all Water Commissioners meeting start at 6:00 pm, since there was often 6 business that would run over into Selectboard meeting time.

8 The motion on the Selectboard meeting time carried 5-0.

10 Financial Reports

11

15

22

24

26

29

32

35

12 The Administrator explained the updated budget status and budget-to-actual reports provided by the 13 Financial Director. The budget status had been annotated to explain significant variances in budget 14 lines, and the budget-to-actual report had been corrected.

- 16 There was some discussion about why the police revenues were so high, and the Administrator said he 17 suspected that fire department revenues were included, and that the new note for the fire truck was 18 included in that line.
- 18 Incluc 19
- Ms. Lucht asked if the Selectboard would get this for the Water and Sewer fund as well, and the
 Administrator said they would.
- 23 Transfer Resolution for Rainy Day Fund
- 25 The Administrator read the resolution:

WHEREAS, in June of 2011 the Richmond Selectboard did transfer unexpended budget balances from
the Highway Gravel and Retreatment budget lines into the Rainy Day Reserve; and

- WHEREAS, the stated intent at that time was to utilize these funds in the following fiscal year to catch up on the road gravel and retreatment plans; and
- WHEREAS, during the course of the current fiscal year the Highway Department has performed extra
 work beyond the approved budget to make progress on the gravel and retreatment plans,
- NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by at least 2/3 of the Richmond Selectboard that it hereby
 authorizes the use of the Rainy Day Reserve by way of withdrawal of funds to offset certain
 overexpenditures in the Highway Gravel and Retreatment budget lines for FY2012 as follows:
- 39 40 Gravel Overexpense \$82,395 **Retreatment Overexpense** \$22.031 41 42 43 Account Amounts to Transfer 44 11-6-50-1-99.10 Miscellaneous Revenue \$104,426 45 40-2-50-7-01.00 Rainy Day Fund \$104,426 46 47 Ms. Heston offered a motion to approve the Transfer Resolution and was seconded by Ms. Lord and
- 48 the motion carried 5-0.
- 49
- 50

2 Other Business

4 <u>VACTOR Memorandum of Understanding</u> 5

Ms. Lucht said that she had spoken with Jim Pease, who said that in 2008 or 2009 the town had committed to this project. The project had been revised, since Stowe had withdrawn from the consortium. Therefore, full time staffing was not needed and the equipment was no longer a truck but a trailer mounted vactor. Johnson Town would maintain and house the trailer, and it would be used in warm weather only. There was a shared calendar for the use. The only outstanding issue unresolved was the disposal of sediment, which could be an added cost for the towns. Costs would be reevaluated every year.

13

1

3

14 Ms. Heston asked what was the lifespan of the equipment. Ms. Lucht was not sure, but maybe 10

- 15 years. Ms. Heston asked if private disposal costs were not the same as would be the towns. Ms.
- 16 Heston said that over a five year period the vactor purchase was significantly more expensive than 17 remaining with a private contractor.
- 18

Ms. Houle said that the town should look into composting the soils collected. She said that this could render some volatile organic compounds inert. The town previously had a contaminated soil pile, and the town had twated the sail this way. She called diff the sail ways to be transported if the transport of the town of town of the town of town of

- the town had treated the soil this way. She asked if the soil was to be transported if the truck had to be covered.
- 23

25

24 There was some additional discussion and the issue was to come back at the next meeting.

26 Jericho Road and J. Hutchins

Tyler Gingras from Green Mountain Engineering was present to explain the two pay requests and the final disposition of the work on Jericho Road by J. Hutchins, Inc. Mr. Gingras explained that the original contract was \$1,401,000, with a 10% contingency value of \$140,000. With change orders and adjustments for unused contract quantities, the final value of the contract was \$1,501,550 or \$39,450 less than budgeted. The main savings was in ledge removal, where the contractor was able to utilize the existing pipe trench through the ledge and additional removal was not necessary.

34

Mr. Gingras also explained that substantial completion had been reached, and the one-year warranty period was in effect as of June 18th. There was also a list of items required to be completed, referred to as a punchlist. Pay request ten was for the completion of the work, and pay request eleven was for the release of retainage. Mr. Gingras noted that two property pins needed to be reset, and there were some outstanding water work items. Mr. Gingras recommended that the town continue to hold 1.25% retainage, and release the balance of the 5% retainage that it was currently holding.

- 41
- Mr. Boyden asked if there was a way to recoup the engineering costs related to the punchlist
 completion and Mr. Gingras said that GME was not charging for the oversight of these items.
- 44

45 Ms. Heston asked what was in the contract regarding the release of retainage. Mr. Gingras said there

- 46 was no real agreement on how long to hold the retainage, and the town could continue to hold the 5%
- 47 if they chose.
- 48

There was a discussion of liquidated damages. Mr. Gingras explained that this was an incentive to 1 2 finish the work, however, the work was substantially complete and the holding of retainage would be 3 enough to guarantee the completion of the work. 4 5 Ms. Lucht asked if warranty items were for one year and Mr. Gingras said yes. The Administrator 6 asked if liquidated damages can be assessed on warranty items and Mr. Gingras said no, and again the 7 retainage was the incentive to complete the work. 8 9 Chair Granda said he would not support releasing the retainage until all work is done. He wasn't advocating for liquidated damages at this point but would withhold the retainage. Mr. Gingras noted 10 that pay request ten did not release any retainage and recommended the approval of that payment. 11 12 13 The Administrator noted that two change orders must be approved to make the pay requests balance. 14 The Administrator presented Change Order #21 in the amount of \$4,550.00 for the retaining wall and 15 Change Order #23/24 to balance the final quantities, reducing the contract value by \$75,768.94. 16 17 Ms. Heston offered a motion to approve Change Order #21 in the amount of \$4,550.00 for the retaining wall and was seconded by Ms. Lucht and the motion carried 5-0. 18 19 20 Harold Irish pointed out that his property markers were disturbed and who would pay for their repair. 21 Mr. Gingras said that Stuart Morrow was going to reset the markers and J. Hutchins would pay for 22 this. 23 24 Mr. Gingras explained that Change Order #23 yielded a less expensive contract. Ms. Heston offered a 25 motion to approve Change Order #23, reducing the contract value by \$75,768.94 and was seconded by 26 Ms. Lord and the motion carried 5-0. 27 28 Ms. Lucht offered a motion to approve Pay Request #10 in the amount of \$195,701.37 and was seconded by Ms. Heston and the motion carried 5-0. 29 30 31 Chair Granda said that Pay Request #11 would not be acted upon at this time. 32 33 Harold Irish said that Vermont Gas had installed their stubs too far off the side of the road, and they 34 intruded into one of the drainage swales created by this project. Mr. Irish asked that these pipes be 35 relocated out of the ditch. The Administrator said that he would make sure this happened. 36 37 **Smoking Policy** 38 39 The Administrator explained that he had made the changes suggested at the last meeting. There was 40 some discussion that this policy was not yet ready to be finalized. 41 42 Chair Granda asked if the policy had been reviewed by Mark Sperry and the Administrator said no. 43 Chair Granda suggested some ways to clean up the language. 44 45 Ms. Heston asked if this was an employee only policy, and if it covered employees on break. The 46 Administrator said that this was for employees only and when employees were on break they were still 47 covered by the town's employment policies. 48 49 Mary Houle said that the town needed to eliminate smoking on all town property. 50

Chair Granda said that the policy required revision and should come back on the July 2nd agenda. 1 2 3 **Document Policy** 4 5 The Administrator explained that the Selectboard had requested a way to keep certain documents that were being created or drafted by staff, or by hired consultants, privileged until the Selectboard 6 7 determined that they were ready for the public. The intent was to keep unfinalized documents from 8 becoming subjects of public debate prior to the Selectboard examining them. 9 10 Chair Granda asked if this had been developed by Mark Sperry and the Administrator said yes, he had worked with Mark Sperry on these. 11 12 13 Ms. Lucht said that the Selectboard is trying to control the release of documents, but at what point does a document become a public record? 14 15 16 The Administrator suggested that is what the Selectboard is trying to determine with this policy. 17 18 Cara LaBounty asked if this was strictly for policies or would it cover more than that. Chair Granda 19 said that it would cover all interdepartmental communications, as defined in the policy. 20 Cara LaBounty asked if the emails were confidential? She said that this makes if appear that the 21 Selectboard is only bringing things once they have been finalized. If all documents have to go to the 22 23 Selectboard first then the policy overreaches. 24 25 Chair Granda said that the Selectboard needs to develop a position on these items. 26 27 Ms. Lucht asked at what point will this become a public discussion? 28 29 Ms. LaBounty said that the Selectboard should label items as "draft" before the Selectboard and public 30 see them. 31 32 Chair Granda said that the Selectboard needed to define what was appropriate, and Ms. LaBounty replied that the Selectboard needed to define what was really confidential. 33 34 35 Chair Granda directed that this item be placed on the website, and also brought back for the July 2nd 36 meeting. 37 38 Short Term Debt Policy 39 40 The Administrator explained that as a result of the last meeting's discussion on the Selectboard's voter-approved authority to borrow money not exceeding \$150,000 payable over not more than five 41 years there were questions on the limits of that authority. The Administrator said that prior to this no 42 limits had been examined and the origins of this authority lied in the purchase of highway equipment. 43 This has since carried over to other projects, such as roadwork and planning and engineering projects. 44 The Selectboard should have a discussion on the limits of this authority or how they would like to see 45 it used. 46 47 48 Chair Granda said he would like to see a debt ceiling on short-term debt. 49

1 Ms. Heston asked if there were any guidelines on debt ratios? Ms. Lucht said she had reviewed the 2 statute and it mentioned a 10-1 debt ratio which sounds too high. She said the town should adopt a 3 calculation, and the next step might be the town's own ratio cap on borrowing.

4

7

9

5 Mr. Boyden asked what the league had, and requested an updated debt schedule. This item would be 6 brought back at future Selectboard meetings.

8 Approval of Minutes: June 4, 2012

10 Mr. Boyden offered a motion to approve the minutes of June 4, 2012 and was seconded by Ms. Lucht.

11 There was some discussion, and Ms. Lucht said that following the close of the meeting there was a

discussion about short term borrowing that should be included in the minutes even though it was afteradjournment.

14

The Administrator requested that the minutes be tabled so that the minutes could be properly amended,and the Selectboard agreed.

17

Ms. Heston asked about two Bay State elevator invoices and the Administrator said they were for boththe Library and Town Center.

20

Mr. Boyden asked to look into Clark's Truck Center invoices and said that we should be paying the
discounted amount if it was offered.

24 3. <u>Administrator's Report</u>25

The Administrator reported that Jericho Road was substantially finished. There were some items left, but the road was complete and open and he thanked everyone for their patience. The Administrator also reported that the Browns Court waterline project was scheduled to begin construction on June 25th and would take about six weeks. The Bridge Street Bridge would unfortunately not be completed by July 4th. The state's engineer gave assurances that both lanes of the bridge would be open for the parade.

- The Administrator reported that TD Bank was now charging the town fees according to a formula that included transaction volume and a minimum balance. The fees were amounting to about \$5,000 per year and the town had not budgeted for this. The Selectboard discussed this and agreed that the town's banking options needed to be examined.
- The Administrator noted that the proposed zoning regulations were scheduled for presentation to the Selectboard in July. Chair Granda brought up the option of having a special meeting for this on July 23rd and the Selectboard agreed to hold a special meeting for this purpose.
- 41

37

- Harold Irish asked why a couple of driveways on Jericho Road were not paved. The Administrator
 said that these two homeowners demanded that their driveway aprons not be paved.
- 44

45 Mr. Boyden asked who the new president of Richmond Rescue was, but no one knew.

46

47 <u>Approval of Warrants</u>48

- 49 There was discussion on whether the Selectboard needed to formally vote on warrants or simply
- 50 approve by signing. It was agreed that their signature constituted approval of the warrant.

1

3

6

8

12

15

18

- 2 Chair Granda called for an executive session to discuss personnel items.
- 4 Cara LaBounty asked where the town would stockpile vactor spoils and said that the town had other 5 stockpiles in the floodplain, where they should not be. The Administrator said he'd look into it.
- 7 Ms. Lord asked for a future agenda to discuss use of salt on the road over the Winter.

9 Mr. Boyden said that the League should also be scheduled to provide transition training for the Town
10 Manager form of government. He noted that he, Chair Granda and the Administrator were having a
11 meeting with Williston's Town Manager to discuss transition issues.

- Ms. Heston offered a motion to enter an executive session at 8:55 PM to discuss personnel issues and
 was seconded by Mr. Boyden and the motion carried 5-0.
- At 9:30 PM Mr. Boyden offered a motion to adjourn the executive session and reconvene the regularsession and was seconded by Ms. Lord and the motion carried 5-0.
- 19 **4.** Adjourn
- 20 Motion by Mr. Boyden to adjourn the meeting at 9:30 p.m. Seconded by Ms. Lord. So voted.