

**RICHMOND DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
APPROVED MINUTES FOR MAY 9, 2012 MEETING**

Members Present: David Sunshine, Chair; Brian Werneke, Vice-Chair; Stephen Ackerman, Lori Cohen, Fred Fortune
Members Absent: None
Others Present: Cathleen Gent, Town Planner/Staff to the DRB; Ruth Miller, taping for MMCTV Comcast 15; Also see attached list.

Sunshine called the meeting to order at 7:04 p.m.

Prior to the start of the public hearings, the DRB began to review the draft minutes from the April 11, 2012 meeting. After a brief discussion, the review was postponed until the conclusion of the public hearings.

Sunshine said that, due to the busy agenda, the Board will be fairly strict on the time limits for each hearing. He asked those attending each public hearing to sign in and reminded everyone that, if they wish to seek status as an interested person, there are specific criteria, per the Richmond Zoning Regulations, Section 7, which he reviewed. Sunshine then noted that anyone wishing to have interested person status should speak during the hearing.

Public Hearings

1, William Donovan, Inc. (hearing continued) - Application #12-029 for conditional use review for a parcel located at 282 River Road (RI0282) in the Commercial zoning district, per Richmond Zoning Regulations, Section 5.6 (Conditional Use Review, including Site Plan Review) to add a fast-food restaurant (mobile truck) as part of a mixed use of the property, and Section 4.9 (Non-Conforming Uses) to replace and to increase the square footage of an existing non-conforming single family house.

Because the public hearing was continued, Norton remained under oath from the last DRB hearing. Norton presented two documents during the public hearing: 1) a letter from William Donovan discussing the fact that Norton is leasing property at the Lucky Spot and would like to replace the mobile home with a new double wide mobile home. The letter also noted that the mobile home had been rented until the past winter when there were plumbing problems. 2) Notes from a site visit by Lay LeClair of Brault Mobile Homes in Colchester regarding how to make the mobile home and propane tank more flood resistant.

Norton briefly discussed each document. Werneke said it is critical to have documentation regarding when the trailer was abandoned and the DRB confirmed such information must be provided. Werneke suggested that any document that demonstrates the previous use of the mobile home as a residential use, such as an electric bill or a cable bill, would be valuable. Norton said that he did not have access to that type of information.

The DRB then discussed Donovan's letter. Sunshine and Cohen indicated that Donovan's letter does not authorize Norton to represent Donovan during the DRB hearing.

Norton and the DRB discussed the plan for making the proposed mobile home and propane tank more flood resistant. The plan is to follow the best option, namely to pour a steel-reinforced concrete foundation. The model they want to install is approved for that type of foundation. In response from the DRB, Norton said that there will be multiple access points beneath the mobile home to anchor it. For the propane tank, an anchored slab would be installed.

Motion by Werneke, seconded by Ackerman, to close the public hearing and to go into deliberative session, requiring that the applicant or co-applicant present written information (from Donovan or the previous tenant) to the DRB regarding the date when the mobile home was vacated and a letter of authorization from Donovan allowing Norton to serve a representative during the public hearing. Voting: 4 in favor; 0 opposed; 1 abstention (Fortune).

2. Ian Ryan (hearing continued) - Application #12-028 for parcel located at 32 Beaver Pond Hill Road (BV0032) in the Agricultural Residential zoning district, for final subdivision review for a 2-lot subdivision (original lot and 1 new lot).

1
2 Because the public hearing was continued, Scott Homsted (engineering consultant) and Annie Dwight
3 (attorney) remained under oath. Dwight said that, based on a request by the DRB during the April 11th public
4 hearing, she has revised the proposed road maintenance agreement, which she previously sent to Gent.
5 Dwight discussed the general standards in the agreement and noted that she has removed the reference to
6 the Hubbard v. Bolieau case. The DRB required that the road maintenance agreement be written in such a
7 way so that all owners with access to the road share equally in the maintenance of the road. Dwight said she
8 will revise the agreement accordingly.
9

10 Homsted presented a letter from Ryan, which authorizes Homsted to represent him during tonight's hearing,
11 since Ryan is out of town.
12

13 Homsted said that the letters from the police chief, president of Richmond Rescue, and the Fire Chief have
14 been obtained. The Planning Commission briefly discussed the letter from Fire Chief Tom Levesque, which
15 noted that fire vehicles cannot pass each other on Beaver Pond Hill Road. Homsted said that the road length
16 is about 500 feet, not 240 feet as stated in Levesque's letter. In response to a question from the DRB,
17 Homsted said that the cost to upgrade the road to meet the town's rural road standards is cost prohibitive,
18 and would likely cost \$50,000. He added that, if the road were required to be upgraded to a rural road, Ian
19 has said that would be a "deal killer."
20

21 Motion by Werneke, seconded by Cohen, to close the public hearing and go into deliberative session.
22 Voting: 4 in favor; 0 opposed; 1 abstention (Fortune).
23
24

- 25 3. Chittenden County Fish & Game Club (continued) - Application #12-025 motion to appeal and motion for
26 stay regarding the February 21, 2012 Notice of Zoning Violation by the Zoning Administrative Officer related
27 to the increased use of the shooting range at an outdoor recreation facility located at 1397 Wes White Hill
28 Road (WW1397) in the Agricultural Residential zoning district.
29

30 Sunshine stated that the DRB has received a copy of the stipulation which includes an agreement for a
31 mediation plan among the three parties (Chittenden County Fish and Game Club, neighbors, and the Town
32 of Richmond).
33

34 Motion by Werneke, seconded by Ackerman, to continue the DRB hearing for #12-025 until August 8, 2012
35 to allow for a 90-day period of mediation among the three parties. Voting: 4 in favor; 0 opposed; 1 abstention
36 (Fortune).
37
38

- 39 4. Robert & Christine Fischer – Application #12-045 for conditional use review for a new fence within the FEMA
40 Special Flood Hazard Area, per Richmond Zoning Regulations, Section 6.8 (Flood Hazard Overlay District),
41 at 242 Bridge Street (parcel BR0242) within the Residential/Commercial zoning district.
42

43 Sunshine swore in Robert Fischer, who provided an overview regarding the background for the application.
44 In 2002, Fisher and his wife obtained a permit to construct a fence for the front of the parcel and along
45 Esplanade. However, they installed only the front and corner posts and the zoning permit expired. At this
46 time, they want to continue the fencing along the south side (Esplanade Street) and north side (along the
47 neighbor's property). He noted that, there was a wire fence on the north side and clarified that the large
48 privacy fence along the northern property line belongs to the neighbor. Fischer said that the fence height will
49 be three feet and that there are a total of seven separate sections.
50

51 Ackerman said that he did a site visit and clarified that the corner section along Esplanade and Bridge
52 streets will be diagonal (angled) so as to not encroach on the corner. The corner section of the fence will be
53 8 feet in length. The fence along Esplanade will be located approximately five feet from the roadway. Fischer
54 discussed the anchoring system for the fence posts, referring to fence drawing #1 and #3 in the application.
55 The posts are cemented in place in post holes. He noted that, during tropical storm Irene, the water rose to
56 within 2 inches of the top of the existing fence, which is the same height as the new fence sections.
57

58 Sunshine opened the hearing to the public. No comments were offered.
59

60 Motion by Werneke, seconded by Cohen, to close the hearing and approve application #12-045. Voting: 5 in
61 favor; 0 opposed; 0 abstentions.

1
2 Fischer asked the DRB to waive the application fee, because of hardship. The DRB said they would discuss
3 that request. Fischer said that they hope to have the fence installed by July 4th and the DRB said they would
4 attempt to issue the decision in time for that to occur.
5

- 6 5. Vermont Agency of Transportation – Application #12-050 for conditional use review (including site plan
7 review), per Richmond Zoning Regulations, Section 5.5 (Conditional Use Review, including Site Plan
8 Review), as related to Public Facilities and Utilities (Section 5.10.4), for an expansion of the existing park
9 and ride facility off VT Route 2 and I-89, Exit 11 at 1610 Main Street (WM1610) within the
10 Agricultural/Residential zoning district.
11

12 Sunshine swore in Ko Ishikura (Green International Affiliates, Inc. – consultant), Tim Bigelow (Green
13 International Affiliates, Inc. – consultant), Julie Riemenschneider (Green International Affiliates, Inc. –
14 consultant), and Wayne Davis (VTrans). Davis provided an overview of the project, noting that Green
15 International Affiliates, Inc. is serving as the design consultant. The purpose of the project is to increase the
16 size of the original park and ride to 158 spaces. The island (with a circle in the middle) for the CCTA bus
17 turn-around is sized in order to accommodate new 48-foot long CCTA buses. Davis noted that, although it is
18 not part of the application package being considered by the DRB, permanent signalization will be placed at
19 the southbound off ramp and the southbound on ramp. The park and ride entrance will be signalized as part
20 of that project.
21

22 Ishikura introduced the Green International Affiliates, Inc. team and said that the company has a contract
23 with VTrans to improve traffic and safety between Route 117 and the I89 southbound ramp, which includes
24 the park and ride facility. He added that Green International Affiliates, Inc. believes the best approach to
25 deal with the overflow parking situation is to expand and improve the current park and ride facility.
26

27 Bigelow discussed the history of the park and ride and the current facility layout. He said the existing park
28 and ride, which was built in the late 1990s, provides 105 spaces with five handicapped spaces. As many as
29 150 cars actually park in the facility on any given day. With cars parking on the grass and other areas, there
30 is a safety concern with the current situation. There is an existing bus shelter and the buses have difficulty
31 turning around in the current facility. Currently, stormwater collects in swales and flows untreated into a
32 wetland near the front of the property. The current facility lighting does not meet current efficiency
33 standards. Bigelow then went on to describe the new facility, with 158 spaces and six handicapped spaces.
34 The facility will expand on all four sides, with a retaining wall at the back of the bus turn-around area. A
35 guardrail will be added along Route 2 to the area of the I89 southbound ramp, which will prevent people from
36 parking on the grass. Trees will be planted along the outside of the perimeter to keep people from gaining
37 access from other points of entry other than the main entrance. The existing bus shelter will be replaced with
38 a new bus shelter which is similar in design to the one on Shelburne Road in South Burlington. A photo of a
39 similar bus shelter was distributed to the DRB. The stormwater from the park and ride facility will go into new
40 dry swales along the perimeter and will be treated. Any excess treated stormwater will enter a wetland on the
41 other side of the southbound entrance ramp. The stormwater system meets ANR stormwater regulations. He
42 next described the new LED lights, which will be energy efficient and will reduce light pollution. Bigelow
43 noted that the electrical conduit for the lights is built to withstand a flood situation. He discussed the
44 numerous state permits that are either approved or under review. Bigelow said that the anticipated
45 construction schedule will begin in early 2013 and will likely be completed during the full construction
46 season.
47

48 Riemenschneider, a landscape architect, then described the landscape plan for the expanded park and ride.
49 Trees will be planted in the western area to screen the view from Route 2 and to provide some spatial
50 definition between the road and the parking lot. Trees will be planted along Route 2 and the entrance and
51 along the back of the facility. Virginia Creeper will be grown on the retaining wall. There will not be any
52 vegetation on the islands between the rows of vehicles, rather there will be stamped concrete on a low curb.
53 The bus turn-around will be flush at ground level for the turn around, but concave with stamped concrete in
54 the center, which will assist with plowing in the winter, yet provide a clear demarcation for the turn-around.
55

56 In response to a question from the DRB, Davis discussed the turning radius for the new buses, signage
57 along the perimeter of the bus turn around area, and enforcement of the no parking rules, which will involve
58 the bus driver calling either the Richmond police department or the state police. Werneke asked if buses
59 could move around the parking lanes, which would allow for more parking spaces to be added. Davis
60 responded that the buses cannot navigate around the parking perimeter due to the size of the buses.

1 Ishikura added that the number of parking spaces is based on the project directive to accommodate the
2 current overflow conditions, not to expand the facility beyond that.
3

4 The Virginia creeper and tree dimensions were discussed. Riemenschneider said that the retaining wall
5 blocks will be hidden by the Virginia creeper, which also reduces the placement of graffiti. The creeper will
6 screen the wall only in when the plan has leaves and has nice fall color. The growing zone for that plant
7 extends into Canada. The tree dimensions were discussed: coniferous will be 2.5 to 3 inches and deciduous
8 will be 6 to 8 inches. The DRB also reviewed the four U shaped bike racks.
9

10 Sunshine said that he did the site visit. He noted that a fence separates the park and ride from I89, which is
11 good for safety reasons. He confirmed that the height of the retaining wall is 4 to 5 feet. In response to a
12 question from the DRB, Davis said that no fill will be added to the facility site. In fact, fill will be removed
13 since about 20 to 30 feet of the hill is being partially removed to accommodate parking spaces.
14

15 Ishikura discussed the phasing for the project. The lower section will be done first, then the top side, then the
16 middle area. There will always be about 80 spaces available for vehicle parking during the project. The major
17 construction will take 4 to 5 months. In response to a question from Fortune, Ishikura said that Route 2 will
18 be widened and turning lanes added at the same time that the signals are placed in service. Davis said that
19 the project will likely be advertised and awarded this fall and the construction begin next year.
20

21 The DRB commended VTrans and the consulting team for their efforts.
22

23 Ishikura discussed the landscaping costs, which are about \$17,000. With a total project cost of \$850,000, the
24 landscaping represents 2% of the total project costs. The traffic light portion of the project is not included in
25 that total. With the Route 2 signalization improvements included, the total project package is \$1.8 million.
26

27 Sunshine opened the hearing to the public. Ann Bishop of Jericho spoke. She said she works in Montpelier
28 and that the current parking situation is dangerous at the park and ride and it's difficult with buses backing
29 out. She asked what happens if the expanded park and ride fills up. Davis responded that there are other
30 potential sites being pursued in Chittenden County, not in Richmond. He noted that the Chittenden County
31 Metropolitan Planning Organization completed a regional study for park and rides. Davis said that VTrans is
32 actively pursuing property in Williston for a park and ride there and VTrans wants that to happen. He also
33 pointed to the municipal park and ride program as a good tool to create new smaller park and ride facilities
34 which reduce travel distance and allow people to commute closer to home.
35

36 Motion by Cohen, seconded by Werneke, to close the hearing and approve application #12-050. Voting: 5 in
37 favor; 0 opposed; 0 abstentions.
38
39

- 40 6. 30 Main Realty LLC - Application #12-051 for an amendment to an approved site plan for parking area,
41 fence, shed and recycling/waste area, and landscaping at 30 West Main Street (parcel WM0030) within the
42 Village Commercial zoning district.
43

44 Sunshine recused himself from the public hearing because of a professional relationship with the applicant.
45 Werneke chaired the hearing and swore in Theriault, who said the reason for the amended site plan review
46 application is to get DRB approval for an as-built site plan for Richmond Family Medicine. Theriault
47 described some of the changes, including changes in the fence height in relation to a retaining wall, the
48 dimensions of the parking spaces, minor changes to the landscaping plan, and the location of accessory
49 structures. He clarified that the size of the parking spaces was altered because the DRB required the
50 installation of two handicapped spaces as part of its approval in 2011 for a site plan amendment. Gent
51 discussed changes in grade behind the building and the location of the retaining wall blocks. Theriault
52 confirmed that approval for the as-built will bring the site plan approval in conformance with how the project
53 was actually constructed.
54

55 Werneke opened the hearing to the public. No comments were offered.
56

57 Theriault made a verbal request for a waiver from the requirement that the site plan be prepared by a
58 professional.
59

60 Motion by Cohen, seconded by Ackerman, to close the hearing and approve application #12-051. Voting: 5
61 in favor; 0 opposed; 0 abstentions.

1
2 The DRB then took a five-minute recess.
3
4
5

- 6 7. Greensea Systems Inc. – Application #12-036 to appeal a March 12, 2012 Notice of Zoning Violation;
7 Application #12-035 for a site plan amendment for a temporary concrete barrier; and Application #12-052 for
8 a site plan amendment for a planter as a permanent traffic barrier in the driveway, a revised parking plan,
9 and other site plan changes and for conditional use approval per Richmond Zoning Regulations, Section 4.8
10 (Setback Modifications) to construct steps within the front setback at 10 East Main Street (EM0010) in the
11 Village Commercial zoning district.
12

13 Sunshine swore in Ben Kinnaman (owner), Roger Cohn (attorney), Don Welch (architect), Barbara
14 Herrington (office manager), and Gwynn Zakov (Zoning Administrative Officer).
15

16 Zakov explained the reasons why she issued the notice of zoning violation in March. The violation notice
17 came after quite a bit of back and forth actions and communications, which culminated when Greensea
18 installed a concrete barrier that was not easily movable and Zakov's understanding that Greensea had no
19 intention of removing it. The violation notice was done with respect to the barrier not being taken down.
20 Zakov also reviewed the chronology of a previous notice of violation which was issued in November after
21 Greensea installed cones to keep traffic from going through the property. In that instance, Greensea
22 complied within a day.
23

24 Kohn stated that Greensea is sorry about the controversy and that the business wants to fit into the town. He
25 added that no actions were done underhandedly and they communicated with town officials, however, the
26 situation was dangerous and Greensea decided, after a near-accident, to install the Jersey barrier.
27

28 Kohn stated that the applicant wished to withdraw application #12-035 for a site plan amendment to
29 construct a temporary concrete barrier because Greensea subsequently applied for a permanent plan via
30 application #12-052. Kohn said that the applicant does not believe that the installation of the barrier is a
31 violation of the original site plan. He also offered a legal opinion that the public does not have a legal right-of-
32 way. The fact that the driveway has been used by the public does not make it a public right-of-way. There
33 are no easements in the town records. For these reasons, Greensea does not believe there is a violation.
34

35 Sunshine said that there is no barrier shown on the original site plan approved by the DRB. Kinnaman said
36 they applied as soon as they could since the DRB does not meet often. Kinnaman described a situation in
37 November in which his two-year old son was almost hit by a vehicle cutting through the driveway to avoid the
38 traffic light at Route 2. According to Kinnaman, Police Chief Buck said the police would not enforce the law
39 because it is on private property and suggested that they put up the barrier. Cohen suggested that the police
40 can issue a ticket for avoiding the traffic light.
41

42 Herrington said that Greensea did not assume the Jersey barrier would be permanent. Rod West of
43 Blackfork Towing installed the barrier for them.
44

45 Motion by Cohen, seconded by Ackerman, to close the hearing and go into deliberative session regarding
46 the appeal of the notice of zoning violation, application #12-036. Voting: 5 in favor; 0 opposed; 0 abstentions.
47

48 The DRB then briefly discussed the second element of the notice of zoning violation, namely the use of small
49 robots in the driveway. Kinnaman explained that the machines are underwater controlled robots, about the
50 size of a small suitcase (about 12 inches by 6 inches). Kinnaman added that it did not occur to Greensea
51 that they could not operate the robots outside of the building. He said they have received permission by
52 Town Administrator Geoff Urbanik to operate the robots on volunteers green. He said that the building doors
53 at 10 East Main Street are not large enough to accommodate larger machines.
54

55 The DRB went on to discuss application #12-052 for a site plan amendment for a permanent solution.
56 Greensea presented a large version of a site plan, with numbers placed on the parking spaces (which are
57 not shown on the site plan submitted with application #12-052). Welch described the proposed changes to
58 the site plan. The plan is to turn a portion of the existing parking lot into a green area and to place a
59 permanent barrier along Route 2. He noted that the three new parking spaces (13, 14, and 8) along the
60 southeast corner measure a total of 27 feet, which is different than what Gent measured during an earlier
61 site visit. He stated that there is handicapped parking in the municipal parking lot. Spaces 9 and 10 will be

1 removed and new spaces 11 and 12 added. He said that VTrans is not concerned about those new parking
2 spaces. In terms of the handicap parking space, he said there is a five foot area behind spaces 13 and 14
3 with room for a wheelchair to navigate. Sunshine asked whether, if the front part of the driveway is cut off,
4 the plan would comply with Section 4.2 of the Richmond Zoning Regulations. He said he thinks a permanent
5 easement would be needed, allowing Greensea to use the municipal parking lot as ingress and egress.
6 Sunshine said he thinks this would need to be taken up with the Selectboard.

7
8 Kohn then suggested that Sunshine should consider recusing himself because of a conversation he had with
9 the applicant earlier this spring. Sunshine responded that he spoke with Rod West and that he has made his
10 decision in terms of participating in the hearing.

11
12 The DRB discussed whether Section 4.3 of the Richmond Zoning Regulations in terms of cutting off ingress
13 and egress. Kohn said that the regulations do not require access on a lot and that the parcel has lot frontage.
14 They have access from Main Street, but not vehicular access. The DRB decided to discuss this question
15 further during deliberative session.

16
17 The DRB discussed the green space. Welch said the green space will be at ground level and that the
18 pavement will be removed in those sections.

19
20 Gent reported that Road Foreman Peter Gosselin has reviewed the proposed site plan in terms of the
21 proposed changes to the municipal parking lot. She indicated that Gosselin believes the use of the municipal
22 lot will need approval from the Selectboard and that he had concerns about the how the changes might
23 affect the ability of vehicles to turn around within the lot, among other potential issues

24
25 The DRB discussed further the easement for spaces 13 and 14 and whether the town could decide to
26 remove the parking spaces. The DRB agreed that any plan might reserve the right for the town to remove
27 those spaces.

28
29 Sunshine opened the hearing to the public.

30
31 Heston asked the DRB to be as objective as possible in rendering a decision for this application. She added
32 that there may not be a consistent application of the bylaws in terms of signs.

33
34 Bressor, who noted he is on the Planning Commission, said he thinks this is an elegant solution to the
35 problem and would be a huge improvement.

36
37 Jack Linn said he participated in the approval for the On the Rise bakery and noted that they had to put in
38 the parking spaces for that business. He suggested that everyone should be treated equitably. In the case of
39 the bakery, they did call in the use of publically available spaces. He said there are times when the parking
40 spaces are used by the public for volunteers green. Werneke pointed out that the public spaces may also be
41 used for the bakery.

42
43 Rod West stated that Mike Cuomo, the former owner of 10 East Main Street, suggested that there should be
44 a downtown parking district in which parking space requirements are waived, because there is no way to
45 provide them. The current situation is holding back the growth of business and makes it difficult for the DRB
46 to approve parking spaces.

47
48 Cohen said the proposed green space would be a huge improvement. However, she added that, based on a
49 recent experience, turning left onto Bridge Street from the municipal parking lot is difficult and hazardous.
50 Cohen also said that going out from East Main Street would be safer. She requested that Town Attorney
51 Mark Sperry research the prescriptive easement issue. The other DRB members agreed to get a report from
52 Sperry on that question. She said that there are other deterrents to cutting through the property such as
53 speed bumps and enforcement.

54
55 Motion by Ackerman, seconded by Werneke, to close the hearing and go into deliberative session regarding
56 application #12-052. Voting: 5 in favor; 0 opposed; 0 abstentions.
57

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

8. OTHER BUSINESS

- Meeting Minutes: April 11, 2012

The DRB returned to its review of the meeting minutes. Several edits were offered. Motion by Cohen, seconded by Werneke, to approve the minutes as amended. Voting: 4 in favor; 0 opposed; 1 abstention (Fortune).

9. DELIBERATIVE SESSION

At 9:45 PM, motion by Webster, seconded by Ackerman, to enter deliberative session. So voted.

At 10:00 PM, motion by Ackerman, seconded by Fortune, to come out of deliberative session. So voted.

10. EXECUTIVE SESSION: ANNUAL STAFF REVIEW

At 10:01 PM, motion by Ackerman, seconded by Cohen, to go into executive session to discuss the staff evaluation. Gent left at this time. At 10:12 PM, motion by Ackerman, seconded by Cohen, to come out of executive session.

Gent returned to the meeting at this time.

11. ADJOURNMENT

At 10:13 PM, Ackerman made a motion, seconded by Cohen to adjourn the meeting. So voted.

Respectfully submitted by Cathleen Gent, Town Planner/Staff to the DRB

PLEASE SIGN IN

Town of Richmond Development Review Board Public Hearing Attendance Sheet May 9, 2012

Name PLEASE PRINT	Address	Agenda Item of concern
SEFFRE, Ubrani K	Town of Richmond Administrative Office 877 River Rd Richmond VT	CCFB Town of Richmond
MATHEW NORTON		
SCOTT POMSTEN	1065 SOUTHVIEW 164 MAIN ST. CACIPESSEN 05446	F.R./R/V
Ann Bishop	54A Starbird Rd Jericho, VT 05465	Park & Ride
JUNE HESTON	240 SOUTHVIEW RICHMOND	All
Annie Dwight	S. Burlington	Ian Ryan
Bob Fischer	242 Bridge St	Fische
Chris Fisches	244 Bridge	Fische
Gumpin Zahon	TOWN of Richmond	Greensea
GIL TARRAMANT	30 MAIN ST. RICHMOND	RICHMOND Family Medical
Babara Huntington	10 East main, Richmond	Greensea
Jay Kuhn	PO BOX 370 HINESBURG VT 05461	greensea
BOB KINNAMAN	10 EAST MAIN, RICHMOND	Greensea
Don Welch	408 SCRABBLE HILL RD BURLINGTON, VT 05476	"
Rod West	W. MAIN ST	
Ko Ishikura	Gran International 239 Littleton Road, Suite 3 Westford, MA 01886	Park & Ride.
Juli Riemenschneider	"	"
Thomas BIGELOW	"	"
Wayne & Daves	VTrans Montpelier	P-n-R
GARY BRESSOR	P.O. Box 1, 401 Bridge St. Rich	
JACK LUNN	Williams Hill Rd	